

ENHANCING MORTAR PERFORMANCE USING RECYCLED PLASTIC FIBERS: A SUSTAINABLE SOLUTION FOR SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

Vandhiyan Radhakrishnan^{1*}, Rajesh Kumar Krishnamoorthy², Keerthipriyan Seenivasaga Prakasam³

^{1*}Department of Civil Engineering, AAA College of Engineering and Technology, Sivakasi - 626123, Tamilnadu, India (vandhiyan@aaacet.ac.in)

²Department of Civil Engineering, SR University, Anathasagar - 506371, Telangana, India (krk.prof@gmail.com)

³Department of Civil Engineering, AAA College of Engineering and Technology, Sivakasi - 626123, Tamilnadu, India (keerthipriyan@aaacet.ac.in)

ABSTRACT

The primary goal of the research was to determine how recycled plastic fiber's shape affected the functionality of mortar made from naturally occurring limestone residue. The identical length (20 ± 2 mm) and diameter (0.45 ± 0.07 mm) of two types of recycled fibers, straight and crimped, were employed. The fibers used for this study were taken in four different ratios 0.5%, 1%, 1.5%, and 2%. Compared to the crimped fibers, the straight fibers with concrete mix have high compressive and flexural strengths. i.e., 37.52 Mpa and 9.78 Mpa of compressive and flexural strength were observed for crimped fibers lower than the straight fibers (39.73 MPa and 10.48 Mpa) mixed with concrete mix. The results reveal that the geometry of the recycled plastic fibers is a key factor affecting the performance of the limestone residue mortar. The findings show that a restricted dose of 1% and 0.5% of the recycled plastic fiber is required to produce a workable mortar for both straight and crimped fibers. Incorporating recycled plastic fibers has been demonstrated to enhance the flexural and compressive properties of limestone residue mortar; nevertheless, the strength values of straight fiber mortar (SFM) are greater than those of crimped fiber mortar (CFM). The microstructure investigation validates the fiber mortar's excellent performance.

Keywords: Plastic Fibers, Limestone Mortar, Fiber Geometry, Strength analysis, Microstructure

1. INTRODUCTION

The use of recycled plastic fibers in mortar has gained attention due to growing concerns over plastic waste management and the push for sustainable construction materials. Enhancing mortar performance using recycled plastic fibers involves an experimental approach to assess the influence of these fibers on the properties of the mortar. In certain nations, the significant requirement for natural aggregates in the manufacturing of concrete poses a considerable challenge. When it comes to supplies,

32 builders need to look elsewhere for things like leftovers, garbage, and repurposed materials. The vast
33 amount of waste left behind from this crushing process is abundant in nature and is not used for building
34 or road construction. One of the primary factors preventing this waste from being used more often in the
35 production of concrete is its high fine content, which requires more water during mixing and can thus
36 adversely alter the characteristics of the concrete. But with river sand being overfished, which causes a
37 lot of ecological issues, using limestone residue as substitution sand becomes a good attempt to carry out
38 construction projects as well as a suitable answer for environmental and economic problems. It is
39 noteworthy to mention that several writers (Cepuritis et al., 2016) believe that crushed sand with fines
40 has a financial benefit because it does not require filler when used to make concrete. The beneficial
41 impact of crushed sand on mortar strength and durability has been linked to its fine content, as indicated
42 by other researchers, including Ma et al. (2022). Additionally, the increasing prevalence of plastic in
43 various human and industrial applications is leading to a daily rise in plastic waste, which is recognized
44 as one of the most critical solid waste concerns on a global scale. This poses a danger to both the
45 environment and public health. Plastic waste is a major source of environmental issues because of its low
46 biodegradability. However, recycling it in construction projects can help combat global warming, fight
47 ecological issues, and create cementitious products that have great potential for specific uses. By
48 addressing these issues, recycled plastic fibers can become a viable and sustainable alternative to
49 conventional reinforcements in mortar, contributing to both environmental and construction industry
50 advancements.

51 Plastic waste fibers have several advantages for the environment. Still, they may also increase the
52 mechanical properties of mortar and concrete and make them more ductile by lowering the likelihood of
53 breaking. Numerous instances found in the literature attested to these advantages. For example, the study
54 by Islam et al. (2023) indicated that PVC fiber reinforcing concrete increases its compressive, flexural,
55 and split tensile strengths. According to Suraweera et al. (2023), recycled PET fiber improves concrete
56 performance in a way that is comparable to that of virgin fibers. Additionally, recycled plastic waste
57 fibers greatly decreased the overall crack areas, average crack widths, and plastic shrinkage cracking of
58 mortar slab surfaces, as revealed by Folorunsho et al. (2024) prior experimental testing. According to
59 Pešić et al. (2016), the recycling of high-density polyethylene plastic fibers has resulted in diminished
60 plastic shrinkage, cracking, drying shrinkage, and water permeability. Furthermore, Hernández et al.,
61 (2024) suggest that utilizing longer fiber lengths and increased fiber doses can enhance load transfer
62 between the fiber and the matrix. Based on existing literature, recycling various waste resources is
63 typically necessary to produce environmentally acceptable building materials. This is the scenario in

64 which the study was carried out, using abundant limestone residue in place of the overused alluvial sand
65 to create an inexpensive mortar. Recycled plastic waste derived from the manufacturing of household
66 brooms was employed as fiber reinforcement to enhance the quality of the mortar. Implementing straight
67 and crimped fibers in construction presents several challenges, including practical considerations and
68 limitations. The addition of fibers, particularly crimped fibers, can reduce the workability of the mortar.
69 This may necessitate the use of additional water or chemical admixtures, potentially affecting the mix's
70 overall performance. Although recycled fibers are cost-effective compared to virgin materials, their
71 availability and consistent quality can be a concern, particularly in regions with limited recycling
72 infrastructure. While incorporating straight and crimped fibers into construction materials has the
73 potential to improve sustainability and mechanical properties, several challenges need to be addressed.
74 These include optimizing workability, ensuring durability, managing costs, and meeting regulatory
75 standards. Overcoming these limitations requires continued research, innovative solutions, and the
76 development of standardized guidelines to facilitate widespread adoption in the construction industry.
77 This experiment is unique in that it assesses how the shape of the waste affects the qualities of the mortar
78 both while it is fresh and when it has hardened. The resulting mortar can be used in various buildings
79 depending on its qualities. It is commonly recognized that the type, geometry, mechanical attributes, and
80 dose of fibers appear to influence how effective they are at improving the quality of the material.
81 Therefore, the goal of the current study is to assess how these factors impact the mechanical strength,
82 microstructure, and workability of the material. Two fiber geometries straight and crimped were
83 evaluated in this work at different doses of 0.5%, 1%, 1.5%, and 2% weight percentage.

84 **2. MATERIALS USED AND METHODS**

85 The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the influence of recycled plastic fibers on the
86 mechanical strength, microstructure, and workability of mortar. The study specifically compares two
87 fiber geometries, straight and crimped, incorporated at varying dosage levels of 0.5%, 1.0%, 1.5%, and
88 2.0% by weight of cement. This methodology ensures a comprehensive evaluation of the potential of
89 recycled plastic fibers to enhance mortar performance while promoting sustainability. The mortar utilized
90 for testing was prepared using a 0.2mm limestone residue, Portland cement CEM II/A rated at a strength
91 class of 42.5 MPa, and recycled plastic fibers. The sand used was obtained as a byproduct from limestone
92 rock crushing. This material exhibits the following properties: density of 2.41 g/cm³, an adsorption rate
93 of 4.2%, a modulus of fineness of 1.72, and 55% compactness. Approximately 27% of the residue
94 comprises particles smaller than 0.16mm, while 6% consists of particles less than 0.063mm. The
95 presence of clay minerals in this residue did not negatively impact the material's durability, as indicated

96 by a Methylene Blue value of 0.13 mL/g. Figure 1 displays the particle size distribution of the utilized
97 residue, while Table 1 presents the chemical composition of cement and limestone residue. The fibers
98 used in this study were sourced from discarded plastic, specifically polypropylene (PP) fibers obtained
99 as a byproduct of the manufacturing process for domestic cleaning tools. Two types of fibers with
100 identical dimensions (20 ± 2 mm in length and 0.45 ± 0.07 mm in diameter) were utilized. Figure 2
101 represents the fibers used in this study along with their microscopic view. Table 2 provides a detailed
102 characterization of the employed fibers. Four different dosages were tested: 0.5%, 1%, 1.5%, and 2% by
103 weight for each type of fiber. The mortar mixtures were prepared following a systematic approach to
104 ensure homogeneity and repeatability. The freshly prepared mortar was cast into molds to produce
105 prismatic specimens. The samples were compacted using vibration to eliminate air voids and ensure
106 consistency. The specimens were then covered with plastic sheets and stored in a controlled environment
107 at $23 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ and 95% relative humidity for 24 hours before demolding. To evaluate the workability of the
108 mortar, the flow time for each mix was recorded using a workability meter B, following the guidelines
109 set forth by French Standards NF P18-452. The measurements were repeated three times per mixture to
110 ensure accuracy, and the mean values were reported. Mechanical strength assessments were conducted
111 at different curing ages (3, 7, 14, 28, and 91 days) using a Universal Testing Machine (UTM – 2000 kN).
112 The compressive strength tests were performed on half-prisms measuring 40x40x80 mm, derived from
113 the initial prismatic specimens. Flexural strength was assessed using prismatic samples measuring
114 40x40x160 mm, in compliance with European Standards EN 196-1. Each strength value reported
115 represents the mean of three specimens tested, with a standard deviation of approximately 6%. A
116 microstructural analysis of the mortar matrix was conducted using Scanning Electron Microscopy
117 (SEM). This analysis focused on evaluating the fiber-matrix interaction, the distribution, and anchoring
118 of fibers within the cementitious matrix, and the presence of potential voids or defects that could impact
119 mechanical performance. The SEM images provided insights into the bonding mechanisms between the
120 recycled fibers and the cementitious matrix, highlighting any morphological changes due to fiber
121 incorporation. This methodological framework ensures a systematic and reproducible approach for
122 assessing the effects of recycled plastic fibers on mortar performance. The combination of workability,
123 mechanical, and microstructural analyses provides comprehensive insights into the feasibility of using
124 such fibers in sustainable construction applications.




Figure 1 Limestone residue size distribution

(a) (b)
(c) (d)

Figure 2 (a) Straight, (b) Crimped fibers, Microscope view of (c) Straight and (d) Crimped fiber




Figure 3 (a) UTM, (b) Flexural strength, and (c) Compressive strength testing machines

Table 1 Properties of cement, plastic fibers, and limestone residue

Properties of cement		Plastic fibers and their properties		Limestone residue composition	
Type of property	Distribution in %	Type of property	Distribution in %	Type of property	Distribution in %
CaO	64.59	Specific density (g/cm ³)	0.98	CaCO ₃	89.28

SiO ₂	20.41	Tensile strength (N/mm ²)	210 – 250	SO ₃ ²⁻	0.41
Al ₂ O ₃	4.51	Elasticity Modulus (GPa)	4 – 5	Insoluble Materials	6.26
Fe ₂ O ₃	3.88	Water absorption (%)	Nil		
MgO	1.54				
SiO ₃	0.92				
K ₂ O	0.58				
Na ₂ O	0.09				

130

Table 2 Mix the proportion of mortars and their details (w/c ratio 0.45) as per IS 456: 2000

S. No.	Type of mix	Cement (g)	Sand (g)	Water (mL)	Super-plasticizer (g)	Fiber Straight (g)	Fiber Crimped (g)
1.	SFM5	300	900	135	10	6.7	-
2.	SFM10	300	900	135	10	13.3	
3.	SFM15	300	900	135	10	20.02	-
4.	SFM20	300	900	135	10	26.70	-
5.	CFM5	300	900	135	10	-	6.7
6.	CFM10	300	900	135	10	-	13.3
7.	CFM15	300	900	135	10	-	20.02
8.	CFM20	300	900	135	10	-	26.70


131

132 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

133 3.1. Fresh mortar properties

134 Figure 4 (a) illustrates how adding recycled plastic fibers to mortar affects its workability. With
 135 an increase in fiber content, mortar flow time is seen to rise. The significant impact of fiber dosage on
 136 workability is evident in Figure 4 (b), which displays the percentage increase in flow time of various
 137 mortars in comparison to the control mortar. The detrimental impact on workability probably arises from

138 the physical characteristics of the fibers used within the mortar, as they act as inclusions possessing a
139 high specific surface area. This finding is supported by the great majority of literature studies (Ahmad et
140 al., 2023; Mohamed et al., 2024; Ahmad et al., 2022; Ahmad et al., 2021; Seddigi et al., 2021).



141 **Figure 4 (a) Flow time variations for plastic fibers in mortar, (b) Increase in flow time**

142 Crimped fibers, characterized by their irregular structure that enhances the bonding between the
143 fiber and the matrix while also retaining greater amounts of water, yield less plastic mortar than straight
144 fibers (Figure 5). When examining fiber doses of 0.5%, 1%, and 1.5%, it is observed that the flow time
145 for crimped fibers is more than 40% greater than that for straight fibers. However, this percentage
146 decreases to 10% when 2% of fibers is administered. Establishing the optimal composition that yields
147 both efficient and durable materials is essential, as workability represents the primary characteristic of
148 cementitious materials in their fresh state and also influences their properties once hardened.
149 Experimental results and visual assessments suggest that to guarantee the workability of mortar, the fiber
150 content by weight should be kept at 1% for straight fibers and 0.5% for crimped fibers.



151

Figure 5 Crimped fiber mixed with the fresh mortar mix

3.2. Characteristics of hardened mortar


3.2.1. Flexural strength

The flexural strength progression for mortar with straight fibers and mortar with crimped fibers is depicted in Figures 6 and 7, respectively. Every mortar that has been tested, regardless of the fiber's shape, has shown a consistent rise in strength with age. The increase in strength is undoubtedly a result of the ideal curing circumstances for the continuance of the hydration reaction, a favorable humidity of $80 \pm 5\%$ where the samples were preserved. Additionally, this preservation enhances the matrix-fiber transition zone's quality, which has a favorable impact on the fiber mortar's mechanical strength. It was demonstrated by Jackson et al. (2017) that although the matrix strength requires a longer curing period, the interface fiber matrix reaches its full strength after just two days. For both kinds of mortars, an improvement in strength is also shown with increased fiber dose. As seen in Figure 8, straight fiber mortar (SFM) has superior flexural strength values across all age groups. As opposed to straight fiber mortar, crimped fiber mortar is less workable, which might account for this outcome. A more compact material with improved mechanical strengths cannot be obtained because of the limited workability, which causes certain challenges during installation and moulding operations. Comparing recycled plastic fiber mortars to control mortars, In Table 3, the flexural augmentation percentage of the prior data is displayed. In mortar SFM20, for instance, the flexural strength increases by around 34% and 25% after 28 days, respectively, whereas in mortar CFM20, it increases by about 24% and 38% after 90 days. The mechanical advantages of adding 2% recycled plastic fibers to mortar made of limestone residue were validated by these findings. According to Camille et al. (2021), the high energy absorption capacity of synthetic fibers is undoubtedly the reason behind their positive effect on flexural behavior, which multiple studies have proved (Zhang et al., 2024; Azevedo et al., 2024; Khalighi et al., 2023; Ng LF et al., 2024).

Table 3 Flexural strength of mortar mixes and its augmentation in different ages

S. No.	Type of mortar mix	Ages (days)				
		3	7	14	28	91
1.	SFM5	2	14	9	7	23
2.	SFM10	20	14	9	10	26
3.	SFM15	26	15	11	17	31
4.	SFM20	37	33	35	34	38


5.	CFM5	2	3	1	3	3
6.	CFM10	12	6	6	5	9
7.	CFM15	13	8	3	8	10
8.	CFM20	28	27	30	25	24



177

178


Figure 6 Flexural strength of the straight fiber mortar



179

180

Figure 7 Flexural strength of the crimped fiber mortar



181 **Figure 11 Changes in Flexural strength at different days (a) 3, (b) 7, (c) 28, (d) 91**

182 **3.2.2. Compressive strength**

183 The compressive strength differences between mortars with straight fibers and those with crimped
 184 fibers are presented in Figures 9 and 10, respectively. These mortars have been kept covered with plastic
 185 film to ensure a controlled environment at a temperature of $25 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$. This method has enabled the
 186 hydration process to advance, enhancing the maturity of the mortars and leading to an increase in their
 187 compressive strength over time. While both forms of recycled plastic fibers typically have a positive
 188 impact on the compressive behavior of mortar, straight fibers have a marginally greater impact (Figure
 189 11). These results align with a few literature research (Nicolás et al., 2024; Fraternali et al., 2014).
 190 Nonetheless, several published studies have claimed that while fibers may decrease a material's
 191 compressive strength, they do not influence it (Yang et al., 2021; Islam et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2022;
 192 Qian et al., 2023; Hossein et al., 2023). However, their little impact on compressive strength is

193 meaningless because the addition of fibers to concrete is intended to enhance its tension behavior and
 194 decrease cracking. According to this, the kind, dose, geometric factors, and orientation of fibers appear
 195 to determine how they affect compressive behavior. The significant degree of flexibility exhibited by the
 196 recycled plastic fibers utilized in this study, when compared to other types of fibers, facilitates their easy
 197 arrangement into the mortar's mass without compromising its density and subsequently contributes to its
 198 effective improvement of compressive behavior. Table 4 compares the improved compressive strength
 199 to the control mortar and displays the result as a percentage (%). A mechanical analysis indicates that
 200 incorporating 2% recycled plastic fibers into mortar is the optimal dosage, as shown in Table 6. This
 201 addition leads to an increase in compressive strength of about 20% for mortar containing straight fibers
 202 and 14% for mortar with crimped fibers at 28 days relative to the control mortar. At 90 days, the
 203 enhancements are recorded at 15% and 13%, respectively.

204 **Table 4 Compressive strength of mortar mixes and their augmentation in different ages**

S. No.	Type of mortar mix	Ages (days)				
		3	7	14	28	91
1.	SFM5	5	3	6	2	7
2.	SFM10	7	6	7	5	13
3.	SFM15	7	10	9	5	19
4.	SFM20	9	10	12	20	15
5.	CFM5	-18	-10	-5	-8	3
6.	CFM10	-2	-7	-7	-3	10
7.	CFM15	3	1	3	3	13
8.	CFM20	5	6	11	14	13




Figure 9 Compressive strength of the straight fiber mortar




Figure 10 Compressive strength of the crimped fiber mortar



205

206

207

208

209




210

211 **Figure 11 Changes in Compressive strength at different days (a) Straight Fibers and (b) Crimped**
 212 **Fibers**

213 **3.3. Examination of mortar microstructures**

214 A highly effective approach to elucidating the mechanical properties of fiber materials, such as
 215 flexural strength, toughness, and fracture energy, involves the analysis of their microstructure. This
 216 investigation offers a clear method for evaluating the quality of the fiber surface, the characteristics of
 217 the transition zone between the matrix and the fiber, as well as the distribution of fibers within the matrix.
 218 After mortar samples have undergone mechanical testing, an SEM examination is carried out. In this
 219 research, the polypropylene plastic fibers, which are available in both straight and crimped forms, exhibit
 220 a smooth surface that contributes to a reduced quantity of paste being present on them, as shown in Figure
 221 12 (a). Moreover, a slight porosity of approximately $5\mu\text{m}$ can be observed between the fibers and the
 222 matrix (demonstrated in Figure 12 (b)). When fibers have insufficient anchoring in the fracture zone, this
 223 porosity contributes to their pulling out of the matrix (Figure 12 (c)). The absorptivity of the material is
 224 increased when pores are present in the transition zone between polypropylene fiber and mortar, as
 225 described by Wang et al., 2023. Some fibers are pulled out of the matrix during the microstructure
 226 inspection; this is likely because the fibers are orientated randomly into the mortar, and the efficiency of
 227 the length that is being used increases the likelihood that more fibers will be orientated in the direction
 228 of failure load. The successful mechanical behavior of fiber mortars in the preceding sections already
 229 supports all of these findings. After its pull-out, the contact surface fiber matrix shows no signs of
 230 damage, as seen in Figure 12 (d). Because of their shape, recycled polypropylene plastic fibers have a

231 high capacity for absorbing energy. They begin to fail before the load is transferred to the matrix,
232 confirming once more how effective they are at enhancing the material's flexural behavior both during
233 peak loading and after (Figure 12 (d)). The dense microstructure of the mortars under test is evident in
234 Figures 12 (e, f). This is likely attributed to the way the hydration process progressed and the fact that
235 the sand made from limestone waste, which comprises 27% tiny particles smaller than 0.16 mm, was
236 utilized. The purpose of these particles is to enhance the mortar's compactness by filling up its pores. The
237 high strength values in all mortars at 28 days of engaging, which surpasses 6.7 MPa for flexure and 31
238 MPa for compression, may be explained by observation.



239 **Figure 12 Microstructure studies of (a, b) CM, (c, d) SFM, and (e, f) CFM mixes**

240 Future research could focus on optimizing fiber content and surface treatment methods to enhance
241 bonding strength within the matrix. Additionally, long-term durability studies under different
242 environmental conditions, such as freeze-thaw cycles and chemical exposure, could further validate the
243 viability of these fibers in real-world applications. Investigating alternative waste-derived fibers and their
244 hybridization with other reinforcements may also provide innovative solutions for sustainable
245 construction materials.

246 4. CONCLUSION

247 The fine aggregate used in this study's mortar preparation was sourced from plastic waste, with
248 fibers also derived from recycled materials. Various fiber shapes and weight dosages were evaluated to
249 assess the workability and mechanical properties of cementitious materials, both of which are critical
250 characteristics. For optimal workability, the recycled plastic fiber content in the mortar should not exceed
251 1% by weight for straight fibers and 0.5% for crimped fibers. The CFM 20 mix exhibited significantly
252 higher flexural and compressive strength compared to the standard mix. Additionally, incorporating
253 straight and crimped fibers in varying ratios individually further enhanced the mechanical strength of the
254 mortar mix. Straight fibers positively influenced the mortar's mechanical properties, improving setting
255 times and workability at the same dosage. Increasing recycled plastic fiber content in limestone residue
256 mortar also contributed to enhanced mechanical strength. Microstructural analysis revealed a robust
257 material with effective fiber lengths and well-organized fiber orientations, further validating the high
258 performance of the fiber-reinforced mortar.

259 REFERENCES

- 260 1. Rolands Cepuritis, Stefan Jacobsen, Bård Pedersen, Ernst Mørtzell (2016). Crushed sand in concrete
261 – Effect of particle shape in different fractions and filler properties on rheology. *Cement and Concrete*
262 *Composites*, 71, 26 – 41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2016.04.004>
- 263 2. Zhiming Ma, Jiabin Shen, Changqing Wang, Huixia Wu (2022). Characterization of sustainable
264 mortar containing high-quality recycled manufactured sand crushed from recycled coarse aggregate.
265 *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 132, 104629.
266 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2022.104629>
- 267 3. Islam T, Safiuddin M, Roman RA, Chakma B, Al Maroof A (2023). Mechanical Properties of PVC
268 Fiber-Reinforced Concrete—Effects of Fiber Content and Length. *Buildings*, 13(10): 2666.
269 <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings13102666>

- 270 4. S.M.D.V. Suraweera, Sudhira De Silva (2023). Effect of Recycled Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET)
271 fibres on fresh and hardened properties of concrete: a review. *International Journal of Sustainable*
272 *Engineering*, 16 (1), 269 – 284. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19397038.2023.2257735>
- 273 5. Folorunsho AB, Kim S, Park C (2024). A Review on the Performance of Fibers on Restrained Plastic
274 Shrinkage Cracks. *Buildings*, 14 (8) : 2477. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings14082477>
- 275 6. Ninoslav Pešić, Stana Živanović, Reyes Garcia, Panos Papastergiou (2016). Mechanical properties
276 of concrete reinforced with recycled HDPE plastic fibres. *Construction and Building Materials*, 115,
277 362 – 370. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.04.050>
- 278 7. Hernández C, Beltrán G, Botero E (2024). Use of Recycled Plastic Fibers to Control Shrinkage and
279 Desiccation Cracking in Clayey Soils. *Sustainability*, 16(9) : 3853.
280 <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16093853>
- 281 8. Jawad Ahmad, Zhiguang Zhou, Ahmed Farouk Deifalla (2023). Structural properties of concrete
282 reinforced with bamboo fibers: a review. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 24, 844 –
283 865. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2023.03.038>
- 284 9. Mohamed O, Zuaiter H (2024). Fresh Properties, Strength, and Durability of Fiber-Reinforced
285 Geopolymer and Conventional Concrete: A Review. *Polymers*, 16(1) : 141.
286 <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16010141>
- 287 10. Ahmad J, Majdi A, Deifalla AF, Ben Kahla N, El-Shorbagy MA (2022). Concrete Reinforced with
288 Sisal Fibers (SSF): Overview of Mechanical and Physical Properties. *Crystals*, 12(7) : 952.
289 <https://doi.org/10.3390/cryst12070952>
- 290 11. Ahmad J, Zaid O, Aslam F, et al (2021). Mechanical properties, and durability assessment of nylon
291 fiber reinforced self-compacting concrete. *Journal of Engineered Fibers and Fabrics*, 16,
292 [10.1177/15589250211062833](https://doi.org/10.1177/15589250211062833)
- 293 12. Seddiqi, H., Oliaei, E., Honarkar, H. et al (2021). Cellulose and its derivatives: towards biomedical
294 applications. *Cellulose* 28, 1893–193. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-020-03674-w>
- 295 13. Patrick R. Jackson, Donald W. Radford (2017). Effect of initial cure time on toughness of geopolymer
296 matrix composites. *Ceramics International*, 43 (13), 9884 –9890.
297 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2017.04.173>
- 298 14. Christophe Camille, Dayani Kahagala Hewage, Olivia Mirza, Fidelis Mashiri, Brendan Kirkland,
299 Todd Clarke (2021). Performance behaviour of macro-synthetic fibre reinforced concrete subjected
300 to static and dynamic loadings for sleeper applications. *Construction and Building Materials*, 270,
301 121469. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.121469>

- 302 15. Peng Zhang, Zhe Feng, Weisuo Yuan, Shaowei Hu, Peng Yuan (2024). Effect of PVA fiber on
303 properties of geopolymer composites: A comprehensive review. *Journal of Materials Research and*
304 *Technology*, 29, 4086 – 4101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2024.02.151>
- 305 16. de Azevedo ARG, Cruz ASA, Marvila MT, de Oliveira LB, Monteiro SN, Vieira CMF, Fediuk R,
306 Timokhin R, Vatin N, Daironas M (2021). Natural Fibers as an Alternative to Synthetic Fibers in
307 Reinforcement of Geopolymer Matrices: A Comparative Review. *Polymers*, 13(15) : 2493.
308 <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13152493>
- 309 17. Khalighi, A., Izadifard, R.A. & Zarifian, A (2023). Role of macro fibers (steel and hybrid-synthetic)
310 in the residual response of RC beams exposed to high temperatures. *SN Appl. Sci.* 2, 1981.
311 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-020-03790-z>
- 312 18. Ng LF, Yahya MY, Muthukumar C, Parameswaranpillai J, Ma Q, Muhammad Asyraf MR, Abdul
313 Majid R (2024). Mechanical Characterization, Water Absorption, and Thickness Swelling of
314 Lightweight Pineapple Leaf/Ramie Fabric-Reinforced Polypropylene Hybrid Composites. *Polymers*,
315 16 (13) :1847. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16131847>
- 316 19. Flores Nicolás A, Menchaca Campos EC, Flores Nicolás M, Martínez González JJ, González Noriega
317 OA, Uruchurtu Chavarín J (2024). Influence of Recycled High-Density Polyethylene Fibers on the
318 Mechanical and Electrochemical Properties of Reinforced Concrete. *Fibers*, 12(3) : 24.
319 <https://doi.org/10.3390/fib12030024>
- 320 20. Fernando Fraternali, Saverio Spadea, Valentino P. Berardi (2014). Effects of recycled PET fibres on
321 the mechanical properties and seawater curing of Portland cement-based concretes. *Construction and*
322 *Building Materials*, 61, 239 – 302. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.03.019>
- 323 21. Jian Yang, Baochun Chen, Camillo Nuti (2021). Influence of steel fiber on compressive properties
324 of ultra-high-performance fiber-reinforced concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 302,
325 124104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.124104>
- 326 22. Md. Jahidul Islam, Kamrul Islam, Md. Shahjalal, Ehsani Khatun, Somaiya Islam, Anika Binte
327 Razzaque (2022). Influence of different types of fibers on the mechanical properties of recycled waste
328 aggregate concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 337, 127577.
329 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2022.127577>
- 330 23. Wenhui Zhao, Zexing Liu, Ruiqi Wang (2022). Effect of Fibers on the Mechanical Properties and
331 Mechanism of Cast-In-Situ Foamed Concrete. *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering*, ID:
332 238187. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/2238187>

- 333 24. Qian Y, Jiang M (2023). The Influence of Fiber on the Mechanical Properties of Controllable Low-
334 Strength Materials. *Materials*, 16(15): 5287. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16155287>
- 335 25. Hossein Abdollahiparsa, Ali Shahmirzaloo, Rijk Blok, Patrick Teuffel (2023). Influence of moisture
336 absorption on tensile and compressive properties of natural fiber-reinforced thermoplastic
337 composites. *Polymer-Plastics Technology and Materials*, 62 (16), 2138 – 2142.
338 <https://doi.org/10.1080/25740881.2023.2250845>
- 339 26. Qinghe Wang, Yucheng Wang, Bo Zhou, Liangzhi Wang, Yanfeng Fang, Suning Xu (2023).
340 Influence of polypropylene fibers on the mechanical properties of radiation shielding concrete with
341 barite aggregates. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 79, 107820.
342 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobbe.2023.107820>

343