| 1 | PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF DRYING SHRINKAGE AND DURABILITY IN |
|----------|---|
| 2 | PAVEMENT QUALITY CONCRETE WITH RECYCLED AGGREGATE, GGBS AND I- |
| 3 | CRETE |
| 4 | B. Chittibabu ^{1,*} and Prof. K. Durga Rani ² |
| 5 | ¹ Research Scholar, Civil Engineering Department, Andhra university, Visakhapatnam, Andhra |
| 6 | Pradesh, India. |
| 7 | ² Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Andhra university, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, |
| 8 | India. |
| 9 | Corresponding author: B. Chittibabu, E-mail: <u>bchittibabu.rs@andhrauniversity.edu.in</u> |
| 10 11 | GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT |



12

13 ABSTRACT

14 The study examines replacement of Natural Aggregates (NA) with Recycled Aggregate (RA) in

15 Pavement Quality Concrete (PQC) M40 grade (M1) with 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% RA replacing

16 NA in M2, M3., M4 and M5 mixes. The compressive strength decreases with higher RA, but all

mixes reach the target strength at 28 and 90 days. M5 was tested by adding Ground Granulated 17 Blast furnace Slag (GGBS) in increments of 5% to 15% in M6, M7 and M8 to reduce the cement 18 19 content. The main performance parameters include compressive strength, drying shrinkage, water 20 penetration, Rapid Chloride Permeability Test (RCPT) at 28 and 90 days and sorptivity at 28 days. 21 M5 reduced compressive strength but increased drying shrinkage, sorptivity, penetration depth and 22 RCPT charge passage. Increasing the GGBS by 10% in M7 improved the strength, while increasing the GGBS by 15% in M8 decreased the strength compared to M1 and M5. To address strength 23 24 degradation, the study incorporated 2% I-Crete along with GGBS in 5% increments from 15% to 25 35% in M9 to M13 mixes. M11 mix (2% I-Crete, 25% GGBS) showed better strength, reduced 26 shrinkage and lower RCPT charge, ensuring better durability for SEM and XRD pavement 27 applications.

28 Keywords: RA, Drying shrinkage, GGBS, I-Crete, RCPT, Sorptivity, Penetration depth.

29 **1. Introduction**

30 The swift growth of global economies and construction activities has raised concern about the degradation of natural resources and management of concrete waste. The construction sector, a 31 major contributor to environmental deterioration, consumes a significant amount of natural 32 33 resources and generates around 10 billion tons of construction debris worldwide each year, around 34 thirty percent of the entire amount of solid waste (Mao et al. 2021;Singh & Singh., 2021).The 35 increasing environmental impact underscores the need for sustainable practices, such as 36 incorporating RA into concrete mixtures. By reducing total natural demand, RA has major positive impacts on the environment, economy and society (Silva et al., 2019; Tam et al., 2018). 37

38 This research continues to explore the optimal use of RA in highway pavement concrete,39 emphasizing its role in developing sustainable construction practices.

40 GGBS, a by-product of the iron and steel producing process, has been widely used as a
41 Supplementary Cementitious Material (SCM) in concrete construction due to its environmental

42 benefits and its ability to improve concrete durability (Lim.y et al., 2021). Studies have 43 demonstrated that partially replacing Portland cement with GGBS effectively reduces the carbon 44 footprint of the construction industry while supporting sustainable practices (Hwang .J et al., 2013). 45 The incorporation of GGBS, along with other SCMs. This includes fly ash and silica fume, to 46 significantly improve both the mechanical properties and durability of concrete. Replacing 30% of 47 cement with GGBS and 50% with coal fly ash has resulted in marked improvements in concrete 48 performance (Wang et al., 2021). The mechanical properties of the concrete mixture are lower at the 49 early stage (7 days) but reach maximum strength at a later stage (90 days) due to the lower strength 50 heat of hydration. The finer GGBS particles enhance the concrete's microstructure and pore 51 distribution, leading to reduced shrinkage, increased durability, and improved stability by making the concrete denser (Zhang et al., 2023; Hwang et al., 2013). 52

I-Crete, a high-quality mineral additive compliant with ASTM C1797 and IS 2645, is highly
reactive and has a well-regulated particle size, with less than 10% retained on a 45-micron sieve.

The present phase of research focuses on optimizing the use of GGBS in highway pavement 55 concrete, with an emphasis on its role in advancing sustainable construction practices. This study 56 analyze the impact of GGBS on the mechanical properties and durability of concrete, particularly 57 58 in the context of highway pavements. It investigates the optimal replacement levels of GGBS to 59 achieve maximum strength and durability, while also assessing the effects on shrinkage and stability 60 due to alterations in concrete's microstructure and pore distribution. The goal is to identify the most 61 effective use of GGBS to improving the performance and sustainability of highway concrete pavement. 62

The study investigates the optimal use of RA in PQC. It examines the effects of substituting NA with RA and further replacing cement with GGBS, in addition to incorporating I-Crete. The study aims to determine whether these substitutions help achieve the target strength and enhance concrete performance. By varying percentages of RA, GGBS, and I-Crete, the research evaluates their 67 impact on concrete strength and durability at both early and later stages. This approach seeks to 68 provide a sustainable solution for concrete pavements, improving long-term durability and 69 environmental benefits while addressing the increasing demand for recycled materials in the 70 industry.

71 1.1 Research Significance

The study advances sustainable PQC by exploring the maximum replacement of NA with RA. It addresses a key gap in understanding RA application in pavement construction. By utilizing GGBS as a partial cement replacement and incorporating I-Crete.

The study aims to improve the compressive strength and durability of PQC in this paper, the key parameters examined include compressive strength, drying shrinkage, durability, chloride ion diffusion resistance, sorptivity, and water penetration. Parameter of findings provides insights into reducing the carbon footprint of PQC, promoting environmental sustainability, and supporting the use of recycled materials in pavement construction.

80 2. Materials and mix Proportions

81 2.1. Data collection

In this research, RA with a nominal size of 20-10 mm was sourced from Construction and demolition waste plant, facility in Hyderabad, Telangana. River sand conforming to Zone-II as per IS 383-2016 and OPC 53 grade cement from Visakhapatnam were used. In the mix Additionally, GGBS and I-Crete were procured from Visakhapatnam and Chennai, respectively. Potable water and CONPLAST SP 430 chemical admixture were used as per IS 456-2000.

87 Based on the trial studies performed with different dosages of I-crete, the outcomes indicated that

- the optimum results are observed with 2% of I-Crete. Based on the test outcomes of trial studies,
- 89 2% I-crete was chosen as optimum percentage.



91

90

Figure 1 (a) GGBS spectrum (b) I-Crete Spectrum

92 2.2. Data measurement

The study adhered to standard testing protocols for durability parameters, including sorptivity, RCPT, and water penetration. Sorptivity was measured as per ASTM C1585, which quantifies the absorption of water by concrete over time. RCPT was conducted following ASTM C1202, which measures the charge passed through concrete as a proxy for chloride ion permeability. For water penetration depth, the study followed IS 516 : Part 2 : Sec 1 , ensuring consistency and accuracy in the evaluation of the concrete's resistance to water ingress, critical for assessing durability in pavement applications.

100 2.3. Physical Characterization

101 Physical characteristics of the materials were as follows: NA had a specific gravity of 2.84, bulk 102 density (Compacted) of 1800 kg/m³, aggregate impact value of 18.96%, and aggregate crushing 103 value of 18.01%. In contrast, RA exhibited a lower specific gravity of 2.60, bulk density (Compacted) of 1600 kg/m³, and higher impact and crushing values of 23.40% and 25.47%, 104 105 respectively. Cement had a specific gravity of 3.15 and a standard consistency of 30%, GGBS had a 106 specific gravity of 2.90 and a consistency of 32%, as shown in the EDAX spectrum in Figure (a). I-107 Crete had a specific gravity of 2.43 and a consistency of 22%, as illustrated by the EDAX spectrum 108 in Figure 1(b). RA having lower Physical and mechanical characteristics as compared to NA, RA 109 complies with IS 383-2016 and MORTH standards and was used under Saturated Surface Dry (SSD) conditions. The inclusion of I-Crete as an additive in the study plays a crucial role in 110 111 enhancing the durability of PQC, particularly when replacing higher proportions of natural aggregates with RA. Unlike traditional additives, I-Crete has been shown to reduce the detrimental effects of RA on compressive strength and drying shrinkage. By incorporating I-Crete, especially in mixes with higher RA content, the study found improvements in strength retention and reduction in shrinkage, which are essential for enhancing the long-term durability of concrete structures, particularly in pavement applications.

117 2.4 Mix Proportions

The mix design for M40 grade concrete followed IRC-44-2017, IRC-15-2017, and IS 10262-2019 standards. RA was used in a 60:40 ratio, with 60% of the coarse aggregate (20-10 mm) replaced by natural aggregate at 25% intervals, and the remaining 40% consisting of 10 mm natural aggregate. GGBS was used at 5% intervals, replacing 5-35% of OPC, and 2% I-Crete was added to improve bonding in PQC. All mix notations as shown in Table.1

- 123
- 124

Table.1 Mix notations

| | | 1 |
|---|---------------------|---------------|
| | Mix Id's | Mix notations |
| | MNAC | M1 |
| | MRCA-25 | M2 |
| | MRCA-50 | M3 |
| | MRCA-75 | M4 |
| | MRCA-100 | M5 |
| | MRCA-100+5%GGBS | M6 |
| | MRCA-100+10%GGBS | M7 |
| Y | MRCA-100+15%GGBS | M8 |
| | MRCA-100+15%GGBS+2I | M9 |
| | MRCA-100+20%GGBS+2I | M10 |
| | MRCA-100+25%GGBS+2I | M11 |

| MRCA-100+30%GGBS+2I | M12 |
|---------------------|-----|
| MRCA-100+35%GGBS+2I | M13 |

- 125Note: MNAC: Mix Notation of Natural aggregate Concrete, MRCA: Mix of Recycled Concrete126Aggregate, GGBS: Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag, RA=Recycled Aggregate,127SCM=Supplementary Cementitious Material, I=I-crete (2%)
- 128 2.5Studies on Hardened Concrete

Concrete compressive strength was measured using standard 100 x 100 x 100 mm cubes in accordance with IS 516: Part 1: Section 1: 2021. Fig.2 shows the experimental setup.Drying shrinkage is tested by IS 516 (Part 6): 2020, micrometer gauge or dial gauge to 0.001 mm. Samples were prepared with mould dimensions of 75 x 75 x 300 mm and a vibrating table operating at minimum 40 Hz (as per IS 2514) was used for filling.

134



135

Figure 2 Test setup for the Compressive strength

- 137 At least three samples were evaluated and the shrinkage was estimated as a percentage of strain.
- 138 Fig. 3 illustrates the experimental setup.



139

Figure 3 Test setup for Drying Shrinkage

141

140

Sorptivity was tested using disc specimen of 100±6 mm diameter and 50±3 mm depth, according to ASTM C1585-04. After oven-drying, the samples were partially submerged in water and their mass was measured regularly for 7 days to measure water absorption. Fig.4 shows the experimental setup.RCPT (ASTM C1202-12) used cylindrical specimens with 100 mm diameter and 50±3 mm depth aged 28 and 90 days (according to C192/C192M).



Figure 4 Test setup for Sorptivity

The samples were sealed with low- or high-viscosity sealants and the electrical conductivity was 149 measured at 60 V over a period of 6 hours. Figure 5 shows the experimental setup. Water 150 151 penetration depth was tested as per IS 516 (Part 2/Sec 1): 2018 using cylindrical specimen with 100 152 mm diameter and 100 mm depth.





148



Figure 5 Test setup for Rapid Chloride Permeability Test

The sample was held under a water pressure of 500±50 kPa for 72±2 hours, the sample was split 155

156 with the experimental setup shown in Figure 6. and the penetration depth was measured.



158

Figure 6 Test setup for Depth of penetration of water

159 3. Results and Discussion

160 3.1. Compressive strength

161 The compressive strength of concrete diminishes as natural aggregates (NA) are progressively replaced by recycled aggregates (RA) in 25% increments, up to 100%, as observed in the control 162 163 mix (M1) through M5. Specifically, compressive strength at 28 days decreased from 51.20 MPa to 164 48.30 MPa, and at 90 days from 52.81 MPa to 49.80 MPa, largely due to the weaker interfacial 165 transition zone (ITZ), higher porosity, and reduced mechanical properties of RA compared to NA (Jindal & Ransinchung, 2018; Wang et al., 2021; IRC:121-2017; Kou et al., 2011). However, all 166 167 RA-replaced mixes still met the strength criteria set by IRC 58:2017 and IRC-44:2017. The study 168 further analyzed the impact of ground granulated blast-furnace slag (GGBS) on mixes with 100% 169 RA, where GGBS replacement of cement in 5-35% increments resulted in a maximum compressive 170 strength reduction of 4.68% at 28 days and 4.75% at 90 days in the M7 mix. Improved strength in M7 over M5 is attributed to GGBS's fine particles and enhanced C-S-H gel formation (Hwang et 171 al., 2013; Kou et al., 2011; Sharma et al., 2021). In mixes with higher GGBS content, such as M6, 172 173 reduced early-age strength and delayed pozzolanic reactions led to a decline in compressive strength (Kumar et al., 2023; Sharma et al., 2021). Notably, mix M11, with 25% GGBS and 2% I-174 175 Crete, demonstrated improved compressive strengths of 51.30 MPa and 52.91 MPa at 28 and 90 176 days, respectively, I-Crete's role in promoting hydration and enhancing the concrete's microstructure. For visual reference, these results are depicted in Figure 7. 177

The selection of specific Recycled Aggregate (RA) replacement percentages, ranging from 25% to 100%, was based on evaluating the trade-offs between maintaining the compressive strength of Pavement Quality Concrete (PQC) while utilizing RA for sustainable construction. The impact of RA on compressive strength was observed to decrease as RA content increased. However, all mixes achieved the target strength at 28 and 90 days, demonstrating the feasibility of higher RA usage. The choice of these percentages allows a balanced approach to optimizing the use of RA without compromising on performance, while offering a solution to reduce reliance on natural aggregates.





Figure 7 Variation of compressive strength Vs Mix notations for 28 and 90 days curing period To support the compressive strength results, statistical analysis such as standard deviation and coefficient of variation can provide insights into the variability of strength across different mixes. For example, while the compressive strength decreases with higher RA content (M5), the statistical data confirms that all mixes, including those with 100% RA, reach the target strength at 28 and 90 days. The analysis demonstrates the consistency of the results and supports the feasibility of using RA up to 100% in PQC without compromising structural integrity.

194 *3.2 Drying shrinkage*

The substitute of NA with RA in the control mix (M1) led to increased drying shrinkage. Specifically, when Compared to M1 mix, M5 mix showed approximately 4.92 times higher strain levels after 28 days and 3.71 times higher strain levels at 90 days. This substantial increase in strain for the M5 mix is attributed to the utilize of RA, as Drying shrinkage increases with the replacement of RA due to its higher porosity and weaker ITZ, which lead to greater moisture loss and internal
voids. (Duan and Poon, 2014; Mao et al., 2021; Yu.Y et al., 2021).

201 For M7 mix, strain values were approximately 3.64 and 2.60 times higher than M1 mix at 28 and 202 90 days, accordingly. Although the M7 mix showed increased drying shrinkage compared to the M1 203 mix, it was lower than that of the M5 mix. Adding GGBS to the mix decreases drying shrinkage by 204 improving the pore structure and reducing porosity through its pozzolanic reaction, which leads to a 205 denser matrix (Kumar and Mishra., 2022;Zhag.W et al., 2015;Mao et al., 2021). M11 mixture 206 exhibited 1.89 times greater strain value at 28 days and 1.31 times greater at 90 days than M1 207 mixture. The M11 mix showed the least increase in strain compared to the M1 mix, indicating more 208 stable performance over time. As RA content increases, the shrinkage also increases, as observed in 209 M5. The higher absorption capacity of RA leads to more significant volume changes during drying, which results in increased drying shrinkage. This behavior examines the need for additives like 210 211 GGBS and I-Crete to mitigate the adverse effects of RA on shrinkage and enhance the long-term stability of the concrete. This result highlights the effect of adding I-Crete, It reduces porosity and 212 improves the microstructure of concrete, and strengthens the ITZ, thereby reducing drying 213 shrinkage strain. The results are shown in Figure 8. GGBS and I-Crete work synergistically to 214 counteract the reduction in compressive strength with higher RA content by improving the 215 microstructure and reducing the effects of porosity. GGBS, as a SCM, enhances the hydration 216 217 process, improving the strength and durability of the concrete, while I-Crete enhances the bonding between particles and reduces shrinkage. In the M9 to M13 mixes, the combination of 2% I-Crete 218 219 and GGBS in varying proportions effectively mitigated the strength loss observed with high RA content, particularly in M11 (2% I-Crete, 25% GGBS), which showed improved strength and 220 221 reduced shrinkage





Figure 8Variation of Drying shrinkage (Strain in %) Vs Mix notations for 28 and 90 days curing
 period

The drying shrinkage behavior of each mix was compared to similar studies in the field of pavement concrete with RA. Studies have shown that higher RA content typically results in increased shrinkage due to the higher water absorption and porous nature of RA. The drying shrinkage observed in the M5 mix (100% RA) aligns with these findings, demonstrating that although higher RA content may improve sustainability, it introduces challenges in shrinkage, which must be mitigated with appropriate additives like GGBS and I-Crete to achieve better performance.

231 3.3The Rapid Chloride Permeability Test (RCPT)

Rapid Chloride Permeability Test (RCPT) and Water penetration values were measured using standard testing procedures outlined by ASTM : C1202. and IS:516:part2:sec1codes. The water penetration depth was evaluated by placing the concrete samples under a consistent water pressure for a specified period, The depth of water penetration into the concrete specimens under pressure was measured. The RCPT was performed by applying a constant 60V voltage across 100 mm diameter and 50 mm thick concrete specimens to measure the charge passed, which indicates permeability and chloride ion penetration resistance. Initial absorption was measured every half hour for up to six hours, and secondary absorption was measured every 24 hours for up to eight days.These tests were performed on all mixes at 28 and 90 days to evaluate the durability and potential for corrosion in different mixes.

As RA was incorporated in the M1 mix, the overall charge passed increased. However, all mixes M1 through M13 had charge passage levels within acceptable limits per ASTM C1202-12 for 28 and 90 days of curing. In mix M5, RCPT charge passes increased by 97.37% and 89.21% examined to M1 mix at 28 and 90 days, respectively. RA increases porosity because of the mortar debris on its surface, resulting in higher RCPT charge passage. This in turn, creates voids in the concrete and weakens its resistance to chloride diffusion when subjected to an electrical charge (Jun Phil Hwang et al., 2013; Wang.B et al., 2021).



249

Figure 9Variation of Charge passed Vs Mix notations for 28 and 90 days curing period For M7 mix, charge passage increased by 60.95% and 59.89% at 28 and 90 days compared to M1. However, compared to M5, the charge resistance improved for both curing periods due to as the GGBS percentage increases, It forms extra C-S-H gel when it combines with calcium hydroxide., which fills voids and reduces the porosity of the concrete. This denser microstructure hinders the movement of chloride ions (Kyong and Kyum, 2005).

Further tests on M9 to M13 mixes with 2% I-Crete and incremental GGBS additions revealed that the RCPT charge passage for the M11 mix was reduced by 0.37% and 1.35% at 28 and 90 days, respectively, compared to M1. This reduction due to the I-Crete heterogeneous mixture of calcium, silicon, and aluminum oxides, which create a dense pore structure through secondary hydration reactions beyond just C-S-H gel formation. Additionally, prolonging the curing age significantly 261 reduced charge passage from 28 to 90 days in RA with GGBS composites, as it results in a denser

bond at later stages (Jain.J et al.,2012.; Lim et al.,2021), a trend shown in Figure 9.

263 3.4 Sorptivity

The Replacement of RA in the control mix M1 leads to an increase in sorptivity. The sorptivity was higher in M5 mix in both primary and secondary observations at 28 days of curing as comparison to M1 mix. RA content, adherent mortar and weak ITZ structure, contribute to this increase. Similar trends have been observed by other researchers, indicating that as RA increases, sorptivity also increases (Kanellopoulos et al., 2014; Olorunsogo and Padayachee, et al., 2002).

When GGBS was added up to 10% in the M7 mix, the sorptivity coefficient decreased. The M7 mix achieved optimum strength with GGBS because the ultra-fine particles of GGBS filled the voids, densified the microstructure, increased homogeneity, and promoted C-S-H gel formation. The improved binding and refined pore structure resulted in reduced sorptivity, although it remained higher at 28 days than in the M1 mix (Rama Krishna et al., 2021; Majhi and Naik, 2019; Jindal and Ranginchang, 2017).



Figure 10Variation of Absorption with Vs Time ($\sqrt{\text{Sec}}$) for all four mixes at 28 days curing period

277 Addition of I-Crete from M9 to M13 revealed that M11 mix exhibited significant reduction in 278 sorptivity with a lower value than M1 mix. I-Crete acts as a highly reactive blended material, 279 forming a dense structure by filling voids and undergoing secondary reactions beyond the formation 280 of a C-S-H gel. The reduction in sorptivity coefficient in the M11 mix highlights the beneficial 281 impact of mineral admixtures on performance of concrete pavements. As curing periods increase, 282 Sorptivity decreases with extended curing as a denser structure forms, and GGBS's low heat of hydration aids bond formation in later stages and For all four optimum mixes, durability and 283 284 sorptivity classes are rated as good to excellent, measured in absorbance mm/hr^0.5 according to 285 source classification (Krishna et al., 2021), as the result are shown in Figure 10.

286 *3.5 Water depth penetration of water under pressure*

The depth of water penetration increases with the percentage of incorporated RA up to M5 mix, where the value of penetration at 28 days for M5 mix is 30 mm. According to DIN 1048 and source data from (Krishna et al.,2021) this represents an intermediate classification. For the M5 mix, examined to the M1 mix, the penetration depth increases by 2.72 and 2.69 times at 28 and 90 days of curing, respectively. However, the total water permeability values were low for all the mixes except M5 mix. This increase in penetration can be attached to the pore structure, weak ITZ and mortar adhering to the aggregate (Thomas et al., 2013; Zega et al., 2014).





295 Figure 11 Variation of Depth of penetration with different curing periods for different mixes In M7 mix containing optimum ratio of GGBS and RA, compared to M1, the penetration depth was 296 297 2.21 and 2.19 times higher at 28 and 90 days, respectively. However, it shows better penetration depth compared to M5. This decrease is due to the fine particles of GGBS, which generate less heat 298 299 during hydration and contribute to the creation of C-S-H gel, filling the microstructure (Majhi and 300 Naik, 2019). The addition 2% of I-Crete in mixes M9 to M13, especially mix M11, This led to a 301 noticeable decrease in penetration depth by 1.03 and 1.02 times at 28 and 90 days of curing, 302 respectively, examined to M1. The M11 mix performed better than the control mix. I-Crete 303 improves the bonding structure and helps to form extra bonds than C-S-H gel, making the structure 304 denser. As the concrete ages and undergoes curing, the penetration depth decreases (Thomas et al., 305 2013; Zega et al., 2014). This trend is illustrated in Figure 11.

306 4. Morphological analysis

307 4.1. Scanning Electron Microscopic (SEM) Analysis

308 SEM is a popular technique for examining the microstructure of solids, providing high-resolution 309 images that reveal object shapes and variations in chemical composition, as per the guidelines of 310 Designation: C1723 - 10. SEM was used to study the fracture surfaces of RA with different mineral contents, focusing on samples cured for 28 days. Figure 12 (a) illustrates the microstructure of M1. 311 312 mix at the virgin aggregate-cement interface, highlighting the presence of C-S-H gel and hydroxide 313 compounds. In contrast, Figure 12 (b) depicts the M5 mix, which differs from ordinary concrete due to the mortar adhering from the old cement matrix, leading to the creation of two distinct ITZs. The 314 315 M5 mix shows abundant needle-like and hexagonal ettringite, as well as more Portlandite calcium 316 hydroxide particles. This mix also exhibits excess calcium hydroxide with incomplete hydration, 317 voids, and a weak ITZ. This weak ITZ, due to the loosely bonded mortar, disrupts the aggregate 318 bond, reducing overall strength (Bonifazi et al., 2015; Ahmad et al., 2022).

319



- 320
- 321 Fig.12 (a) MNAC (M1) Mix

Fig.12 (b) MRCA-100(M5) Mix



322

323 Figure 12 (c) MRCA-100+10%GGBS (M7) MixFig.12 (d) MRCA-100+25%GGBS+2I (M11) Mix

325 Figure 12(c) shows the M7 mix, revealing finer GGBS particles, which improve the creation of C-326 S-H gel, fill the gaps in voids and ITZ, enhance packing efficiency, reduce voids, and distribute 327 stress more evenly. The smooth surfaces and improved workability of these particles contribute to strong ITZ bonding. Previous studies have shown that pozzolanic materials and chemical 328 329 admixtures can increase ITZ density by producing secondary C-S-H gel, thereby improving the 330 structural integrity of RA with GGBS (Ahmad et al., 2022). Figure 12 (d) illustrates the M11 mix, 331 which contains 25% GGBS and 2% I-Crete. The mixture exhibits elevated levels of C-S-H and 332 calcium hydroxide (CH), accelerating hydration and producing more hydration products, including ettringite. This results in improved compressive strength and durability, particularly beneficial for 333 334 hard pavement applications, due to reduced voids and enhanced durability.

335 4.2. X-ray diffraction (XRD) Analysis

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was performed using X'Pert High Score and OriginPro software to determine the chemical composition of the concrete mixtures with 2θ degrees on the x-axis and intensity in arbitrary units (a.u.) on the y-axis. Fig. 13(a) M1 exhibits a mixture with sharp peaks of quartz, ettringite, C-S-H and calcite, Portlandite all of which contribute to strength and energy development. (Majhi & Naik, 2019; Krishna et al., 2021). Portlandite peaks confirm the presence of calcium hydroxide.



342

Figure 13(a) X-ray diffraction (XRD) diffractogram of the M1 concrete mix

Fig. 13(b) Replacement of 100% NA with RA (M5 mix) slightly increases the ettringite and quartz intensities, while decreasing the C-S-H intensities. The M5 mix exhibits stable ettringite and quartz peaks, but portlandite intensity increases significantly due to hydrated cement in RA, resulting in higher calcium hydroxide content and voids (Wang et al., 2020).





349

Figure 13(b)X-ray diffraction (XRD) diffractogram of the M5 concrete mix

Fig. 13(c) GGBS, which contains more silica than OPC, has been shown to increase quartz and calcium carbonate, increasing the durability of M7.GGBS reduces the creation of C-S-H and ettringite, but its pozzolanic reaction weakens portlandite peaks by consuming calcium hydroxide. Fig. 13(d) M11 shows that the mix contains more silica, quartz and ettringite, C-S-H gel ,calcite which increases strength. However, increasing RA content increases calcium hydroxide levels, leading to higher porosity at the RA-mortar interface.



Figure 13(c) X-ray diffraction (XRD) diffractogram of the M7 concrete mix Addition of GGBS and I-Crete to RA reduces the formation of ettringite and C-S-H, further reducing strength. However, the pozzolanic reaction of GGBS with amorphous silica consumes calcium hydroxide, improving durability. Increased crystalline silica and calcium carbonate formation further enhances the filling effect, increasing the durability of RA with GGBS and I-Crete.



363

364

Figure 13(d) X-ray diffraction (XRD) diffractogram of the M11 concrete mix

365 **5.** Conclusion

The findings of this study have important practical implications for large-scale pavement applications, especially in terms of sustainability and durability. The use of RA in combination with additives like GGBS and I-Crete can reduce material costs, conserve natural resources, and improve the performance of concrete in pavements. The study demonstrates that with proper mix design and the incorporation of durability-enhancing additives, high RA content in PQC can lead to durable, cost-effective, and environmentally friendly pavements, making it a viable option for large-scale infrastructure projects. The findings of this research are

1. The PQC of M40 mix had a compressive strength of 51.20 MPa and 52.81 MPa at 28 and 90

days for M1 mix. The replacement of 100% NA with RA in the M5 mix reduced the compressive

375 strength by 5.66% and 5.60% at 28 and 90 days, respectively, due to higher voids and weaker ITZ

from the adhered mortar. When 10% of cement in M5 was replaced with GGBS in M7 mix, the compressive strength decreased by 4.68% and 4.75% compared to M1, but improved over M5 mix. This improvement is due to the fine particles of GGBS filling the voids and creating a dense structure. Replacing cement with 25% GGBS and adding 2% I-Crete to the M11 mix slightly increased the compressive strength by 0.19% and 0.185% compared to M1, demonstrating that I-Crete provides a sustainable alternative without compromising strength.

2. M1 mixture exhibited drying shrinkage strains of 9.63 x 10⁻⁵ (%) and 15.40 x 10⁻⁵ (%) at 28 and 90 days. The M5 mix had 4.92 times greater strains at 28 days and 3.71 times greater strains at 90 days – due to increased porosity and weaker ITZ from RA. M7 mix showed 3.64 and 2.60 times more reduced strains than M1 at 28 and 90 days, indicating better performance. M11 mix with Icrete showed even better stability with 1.89 and 1.31 times higher strains respectively, than M1, reflecting improved performance while maintaining strength.

3. The RCPT charge is 385.55 and 375.2 coulombs for M1 at 28 and 90 days, accordingly. In the 389 M5 mix, due to the higher void content, the charge increased by 97.37% and 89.21% compared to 390 M1. M7 showed an improvement of the mix, the charge increased by 60.95% and 59.89% 391 compared to M1, to the filling of GGBS vacancies and the formation of C-S-H gel. M11 mixture 392 showed a slight decrease in charge passage of 0.37% and 1.37% compared to M1, attributed to the 393 improved bonding and secondary reactions of I-Crete, highlighting the role of admixtures in 394 improving concrete durability.

4. M1 mix has better water absorption (Sorptivity) resistance at 28 days. However, replacement of NA with RA in the M5 mixture resulted in higher absorption due to increased voids. M7 mix, with 10% GGBS, showed better resistance compared to M5, but less than M1 because GGBS reduces voids. Supplementation with 25% GGBS and addition of 2% I-Crete in M11 led to even lower absorption overtaking M1. This improvement is due to I-Crete improving bond strength, demonstrating an inverse Relationship among water absorption and compressive strength. 5. The depth of water penetration for M1 was 11 mm and 10.33 mm at 28 and 90 days. The penetration depth increased with 100% RA in M5 mixture, reaching 30 mm and 27.80 mm at 28 and 90 days, respectively. It is 2.72 and 2.69 times higher than M1. Adding GGBS to RA reduced the penetration by 2.21 and 2.19 times, while adding I-Crete with 25% GGBS in M11 reduced it by 1.03 and 1.02 times compared to M1. These compounds improve durability, making the mixture suitable for sustainable pavement construction.

6. SEM analysis shows that GGBS and I-Crete significantly improve the ITZ of RAC by improving
particle packing, reducing voids and increasing C-S-H content. This leads to higher compressive
strength and durability, especially for hard pavement applications, compared to mixes with weak
ITZ due to stuck mortar and incomplete hydration.

411 7. XRD analysis concludes that incorporating GGBS into RAC significantly enhances durability by 412 consuming excess calcium hydroxide and refining the microstructure. However, increased RCA 413 content reduces concrete strength due to increased porosity at the RCA-mortar interface. The M11 414 mix, with higher GGBS content, exhibits superior strength and durability, making it an ideal choice 415 for sustainable construction.

416 Substituting Natural Aggregates (NA) with Recycled Aggregates (RA) has significant 417 environmental benefits, primarily in reducing the demand for virgin resources and minimizing the 418 environmental impact of quarrying. The use of RA in pavement concrete not only helps in recycling 419 construction and demolition waste but also reduces the carbon footprint associated with extracting 420 and processing NA. The study suggests that higher RA content in PQC mixes could contribute to 421 sustainable pavement construction by reducing landfill waste, conserving natural resources, and 422 lowering greenhouse gas emissions.

423 Future Recommendations

Future studies should explore the long-term performance of RAC with different GGBS and I-Crete ratios, focusing on freeze-thaw resistance, fatigue behavior, and life-cycle cost analysis to further validate their use in sustainable pavement construction.

427 Acknowledgement

- 428 The authors thank Sri Vishnu Sai Saravana Enterprises, Visakhapatnam, for supplying GGBS, 429 Navodya Private Limited, Chennai, for providing I-Crete, and the C&D Waste Plant, Hyderabad, 420 food diversion of the second se
- 430 for delivering recycled aggregate. We also thank Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, for providing
- 431 laboratory facilities.
- 432 **Reference**
- 433 Ahmad, J., Kontoleon, K. J., Majdi, A., Naqash, M. T., Deifalla, A. F., Ben Kahla, N., ... & Qaidi,
- 434 S. M. (2022). A comprehensive review on the ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) in
- 435 concrete production. *Sustainability*, *14*(14), 8783.
- 436 Bonifazi, G., Capobianco, G., Serranti, S., Eggimann, M., Wagner, E., Di Maio, F., & Lotfi, S.
- 437 (2015). The ITZ in concrete with natural and recycled aggregates: Study of microstructures based
- 438 on image and SEM analysis. *Proc. 15th Euroseminar Microsc. Appl. to Build. Mater*, 299-308.
- 439 Designation: C 1585 04 Standard Test Method for Measurement of Rate of Absorption of Water
- 440 by Hydraulic Cement Concretes¹.
- 441 Designation: C1202 12 Standard Test Method for Electrical Indication of Concrete's Ability to
- 442 Resist Chloride Ion Penetration¹.
- 443 Designation: C192/C192M 18 C192/C192M 19 Standard Practice for Making and Curing
- 444 Concrete Test Specimens in the Laboratory¹.
- 445 Designation: C1723 10 Standard Guide for Examination of Hardened Concrete Using Scanning
 446 Electron Microscopy¹.
- 447 Duan, Z. H., & Poon, C. S. (2014). Properties of recycled aggregate concrete made with recycled
 448 aggregates with different amounts of old adhered mortars. *Materials & Design*, 58, 19-29.
- 449 Hwang, J. P., Shim, H. B., Lim, S., & Ann, K. Y. (2013). Enhancing the durability properties of
- 450 concrete containing recycled aggregate by the use of pozzolanic materials. KSCE Journal of Civil
- 451 *Engineering*, *17*, 155-163.
- 452 <u>https://www.ecomaterials.in/products-i-crete.php</u>

- 453 IRC:44-2017: Guidelines for Cement Concrete mix Design for pavements.
- 454 IRC:121-2017: Guidelines for use of Construction and Demolition Waste in road sector.
- 455 IS516(Part2/sec1): 2018 determination of depth of penetration of water under pressure.
- 456 IS516(Part6):2020 Determination of drying shrinkage of concrete and moisture movement of
- 457 concrete samples
- 458 IS2645:2005 Indian Standard integral waterproofing compounds for cement mortar and concrete—
- 459 specification
- 460 IS 1199: Part 2 : 2018: Fresh Concrete— Methods of Sampling, Testing and Analysis Part 2
- 461 Determination of Consistency of Fresh Concrete (First Revision)
- 462 IS 2514: Specification for concrete vibrating tables.
- 463 IS 12089: Specification for granulated slag for the manufacture of Portland slag cement
- 464 IS. 456 : 2000 Indian Standard Plain and Reinforced Concrete Code of practice.
- 465 IRC:15-2017 Code of practice for construction of jointed plain concrete pavements.
- 466 IS 10262 : 2019: Concrete Mix Proportioning Guidelines .
- 467 IS383:2016 Coarse and fine aggregate for concrete-Specification.
- 468 IS 516 : Part 1 : Sec 1 : 2021: Hardened concrete methods of test part 1 testing of strength of
- 469 hardened concrete section 1 compressive, flexucal and split tensile strength.
- 470 Jain, J., Verian, K. P., Olek, J., & Whiting, N. (2012). Durability of pavement concretes made with
- 471 recycled concrete aggregates. *Transportation research record*, 2290(1), 44-51.
- Jindal, A., Ransinchung RN, G. D., & Kumar, P. (2017). Study of pavement quality concrete mix
 incorporating beneficiated recycled concrete aggregates. *Road Materials and Pavement Design*, 18(5), 1159-1189.
- Jindal, A. (2016). Durability studies of PQC mix incorporating recycled concrete aggregate and
 mineral admixtures.

- Kanellopoulos, A., Nicolaides, D., & Petrou, M. F. (2014). Mechanical and durability properties of
 concretes containing recycled lime powder and recycled aggregates. *Construction and Building Materials*, 53, 253-259.
- Kou, S. C., Poon, C. S., & Agrela, F. (2011). Comparisons of natural and recycled aggregate
 concretes prepared with the addition of different mineral admixtures. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 33(8), 788-795.
- Krishna, N. R., Rao, A. S., & Sasidhar, C. (2021, April). Experimental Investigations On
 Mechanical And Durability Properties Of Blended Concrete. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 1130, No. 1, p. 012036). IOP Publishing.
- Kumar, A., & Singh, G. J. (2023). Improving the physical and mechanical properties of recycled
 concrete aggregate: A state-of-the-art review. *Engineering Research Express*, 5(1), 012007.
- Kumar, G., & Mishra, S. S. (2022). Effect of recycled concrete aggregate on mechanical, physical
 and durability properties of GGBS–fly ash-based geopolymer concrete. *Innovative Infrastructure*
- 490 Solutions, 7(4), 237.
- Lim, Y. Y., & Pham, T. M. (2021). Effective utilisation of ultrafine slag to improve mechanical and
 durability properties of recycled aggregates geopolymer concrete. *Cleaner Engineering and Technology*, 5, 100330.
- Majhi, R. K., & Nayak, A. N. (2019). Bond, durability and microstructural characteristics of ground
 granulated blast furnace slag based recycled aggregate concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 212, 578-595.
- Mao, Y., Liu, J., & Shi, C. (2021). Autogenous shrinkage and drying shrinkage of recycled
 aggregate concrete: A review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 295, 126435.
- 499 Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (MORTH) Standards.
- 500 Nandanam, K., Biswal, U. S., & Dinakar, P. (2021). Effect of fly ash, GGBS, and metakaolin on
- 501 mechanical and durability properties of self-compacting concrete made with 100% coarse recycled
- 502 aggregate. Journal of Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste, 25(2), 04021002.

- 503 Olorunsogo, F. T., & Padayachee, N. (2002). Performance of recycled aggregate concrete 504 monitored by durability indexes. *Cement and concrete research*, **32(2)**, 179-185.
- 505 Sarma, V. V. S., Subhan Alisha, S., Vijay, K., Gireesh Kumar, P., & Sai Kumar, K. S. (2024).
- 506 Mechanical performance enhancement of recycled aggregate concrete using GGBS and fly ash for
- 507 sustainable construction. *Multiscale and Multidisciplinary Modeling, Experiments and Design*, **7(3**),
- 508 1693-1700.
- 509 Sharma, P., Verma, M., & Sharma, N. (2021, April). Examine the mechanical properties of recycled 510 coarse aggregate with MK GGBS. In *IOP conference series: materials science and* 511 *engineering* (Vol. 1116, No. 1, p. 012152). IOP Publishing.
- 512 Silva, R. V., De Brito, J., & Dhir, R. K. (2019). Use of recycled aggregates arising from
 513 construction and demolition waste in new construction applications. *Journal of Cleaner*514 *Production*, 236, 117629.
- 515 Singh, Y., & Singh, H. (2021). Recycling Construction and Demolition Waste: Potential
 516 Applications and the Indian Scenario. In *Integrated Approaches Towards Solid Waste*517 *Management* (pp. 273-281). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- 518 Tam, V. W., Soomro, M., & Evangelista, A. C. J. (2018). A review of recycled aggregate in 519 concrete applications (2000–2017). *Construction and Building materials*, *172*, 272-292.
- 520 Teng, S., Lim, T. Y. D., & Divsholi, B. S. (2013). Durability and mechanical properties of high
- 521 strength concrete incorporating ultra fine ground granulated blast-furnace slag. Construction and
- 522 Building Materials, 40, 875-881.
- 523 Thomas, C., Setién, J., Polanco, J., Alaejos, P., & De Juan, M. S. (2013). Durability of recycled 524 aggregate concrete. *Construction and building materials*, *40*, 1054-1065.
- 525 Wang, B., Yan, L., Fu, Q., & Kasal, B. (2021). A comprehensive review on recycled aggregate and
- 526 recycled aggregate concrete. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 171, 105565

- 527 Wang, J., Xie, J., Wang, C., Zhao, J., Liu, F., & Fang, C. (2020). Study on the optimum initial 528 condition GGBS curing for fly ash and based geopolymer recycled aggregate 529 concrete. Construction and Building Materials, 247, 118540.
- Yeau, K. Y., & Kim, E. K. (2005). An experimental study on corrosion resistance of concrete with
 ground granulates blast-furnace slag. *Cement and Concrete Research*, *35*(7), 1391-1399.
- 532 Yu, Y., Wang, P., Yu, Z., Yue, G., Wang, L., Guo, Y., & Li, Q. (2021). Study on the effect of 533 recycled coarse aggregate on the shrinkage performance of green recycled 534 concrete. *Sustainability*, **13(23)**, 13200.
- 535 Zega, C. J., Di Maio, Á. A., & Zerbino, R. L. (2014). Influence of natural coarse aggregate type on
- the transport properties of recycled concrete. *Journal of materials in civil engineering*, 26(6),
 04014006.
- Zhang, P., Sun, X., Wang, F., & Wang, J. (2023). Mechanical properties and durability of
 geopolymer recycled aggregate concrete: A review. *Polymers*, *15*(3), 615.
- 540 Zhang, W., Hama, Y., & Na, S. H. (2015). Drying shrinkage and microstructure characteristics of
 541 mortar incorporating ground granulated blast furnace slag and shrinkage reducing
 542 admixture. *Construction and building materials*, 93, 267-277.
- 543 Zhang, W., Zakaria, M., & Hama, Y. (2013). Influence of aggregate materials characteristics on the
 544 drying shrinkage properties of mortar and concrete. *Construction and building materials*, 49, 500-
- 545 510.
- 546 **Response to Reviewer and Editor Comments:**

| REVIEWER (I) | Authors | Amended text |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | |
| Why 2% of I-crete was chosen | The reason for choosing 2% I- | The reason for 2% I-Crete was |
| is not mentioned. can place | crete is mentioned. The error | added in section 2.1 under |
| 1 | | |
| | | |
| error bars on all the test results | bars are placed on all the | data collection in the last |
| | | |
| represented in graphs. | applicable graphs. | paragraph and highlighted in |
| | | green color. Additionally, |
| | | |

| | | error bars have been |
|---|---|---|
| | | implemented in Figures 7, 8, |
| | | 9, and 11. |
| Can improve the writing | The writing language and the | The writing language The |
| language and can improve the | quality of the graphs were | quality of the graphs in |
| graph's quality and | enhanced for better | Figures 1 to 12 has been |
| presentation. | presentation | improved to the best possible |
| | | standard. highlighted in green |
| | | color |
| | | |
| REVIEWER (D) | |) · |
| Are the references correctly | References have been revised | References have been revised |
| written? its not in as per | to comply with the specified | |
| | | |
| guideline | guidelines. | |
| guideline Does the Graphical Abstract | guidelines. The Graphical Abstract has | The graphical abstract has |
| guideline Does the Graphical Abstract correspond the central idea of | guidelines. The Graphical Abstract has been revised to align with the | The graphical abstract has been revised and pasted in the |
| guideline Does the Graphical Abstract correspond the central idea of the paper? not matched | guidelines. The Graphical Abstract has been revised to align with the central idea of the paper | The graphical abstract has been revised and pasted in the revised manuscript. |
| guidelineDoes the Graphical Abstractcorrespond the central idea ofthe paper? not matched1. Provide a clearer rationale | guidelines. The Graphical Abstract has been revised to align with the central idea of the paper Recycled aggregate (RA) | The graphical abstract has been revised and pasted in the revised manuscript. The rationale for selecting the |
| guidelineDoes the Graphical Abstractcorrespond the central idea ofthe paper? not matched1. Provide a clearer rationalefor selecting the specific RA | guidelines. The Graphical Abstract has been revised to align with the central idea of the paper Recycled aggregate (RA) replacement percentages range | The graphical abstract has been revised and pasted in the revised manuscript. The rationale for selecting the specific RA replacement |
| guideline Does the Graphical Abstract correspond the central idea of the paper? not matched 1. Provide a clearer rationale for selecting the specific RA replacement percentages and | guidelines. The Graphical Abstract has been revised to align with the central idea of the paper Recycled aggregate (RA) replacement percentages range from 25% to 100% to assess | The graphical abstract has been revised and pasted in the revised manuscript. The rationale for selecting the specific RA replacement percentages and their potential |
| guidelineDoes the Graphical Abstractcorrespond the central idea ofthe paper? not matched1. Provide a clearer rationalefor selecting the specific RAreplacement percentages andtheir potential impact on | guidelines. The Graphical Abstract has been revised to align with the central idea of the paper Recycled aggregate (RA) replacement percentages range from 25% to 100% to assess concrete performance. At | The graphical abstract has been revised and pasted in the revised manuscript. The rationale for selecting the specific RA replacement percentages and their potential impact on performance has |
| guideline Does the Graphical Abstract correspond the central idea of the paper? not matched 1. Provide a clearer rationale for selecting the specific RA replacement percentages and their potential impact on performance. | guidelines. The Graphical Abstract has been revised to align with the central idea of the paper Recycled aggregate (RA) replacement percentages range from 25% to 100% to assess concrete performance. At lower RA levels, the impact is | The graphical abstract has been revised and pasted in the revised manuscript. The rationale for selecting the specific RA replacement percentages and their potential impact on performance has been discussed in the last |
| guideline Does the Graphical Abstract correspond the central idea of the paper? not matched 1. Provide a clearer rationale for selecting the specific RA replacement percentages and their potential impact on performance. | guidelines. The Graphical Abstract has been revised to align with the central idea of the paper Recycled aggregate (RA) replacement percentages range from 25% to 100% to assess concrete performance. At lower RA levels, the impact is minimal, while higher levels | The graphical abstract has been revised and pasted in the revised manuscript. The rationale for selecting the specific RA replacement percentages and their potential impact on performance has been discussed in the last paragraph of section 3.1 under |
| guideline Does the Graphical Abstract correspond the central idea of the paper? not matched 1. Provide a clearer rationale for selecting the specific RA replacement percentages and their potential impact on performance. | guidelines. The Graphical Abstract has been revised to align with the central idea of the paper Recycled aggregate (RA) replacement percentages range from 25% to 100% to assess concrete performance. At lower RA levels, the impact is minimal, while higher levels significantly affect concrete | The graphical abstract has been revised and pasted in the revised manuscript. The rationale for selecting the specific RA replacement percentages and their potential impact on performance has been discussed in the last paragraph of section 3.1 under compressive strength and |
| guideline Does the Graphical Abstract correspond the central idea of the paper? not matched 1. Provide a clearer rationale for selecting the specific RA replacement percentages and their potential impact on performance. | guidelines. The Graphical Abstract has been revised to align with the central idea of the paper Recycled aggregate (RA) replacement percentages range from 25% to 100% to assess concrete performance. At lower RA levels, the impact is minimal, while higher levels significantly affect concrete behavior | The graphical abstract has been revised and pasted in the revised manuscript. The rationale for selecting the specific RA replacement percentages and their potential impact on performance has been discussed in the last paragraph of section 3.1 under compressive strength and highlighted in blue color. |

| significance of I-Crete | additives, I-Crete, with its | and discussed in the last |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| addition for durability | lower dosage, enhanced the | paragraph of section 2.3 and |
| enhancement compared to | durability behavior. | highlighted in blue color. |
| traditional additives. | | |
| 3. Include more statistical | Statistical analysis, such as | Statistical analysis, such as |
| analysis to support the | standard deviation, is | standard deviation, was |
| compressive strength results | performed on each mix, and it | performed on each mix and |
| across different mixes. | is presented as error bars on | presented as error bars on the |
| | the respective graphs. | respective graphs. This is |
| | / | discussed below Figure 7 and |
| | | highlighted in blue color. |
| 4. Provide additional | Parameters such as higher | Additional explanation on |
| explanation for how drying | water demand, higher | how drying shrinkage is |
| shrinkage is impacted by | porosity, and weaker | impacted by higher RA levels |
| higher RA levels. | Interfacial Transition Zone | has been added in section 3.2 |
| | affect drying shrinkage at | and highlighted in blue color. |
| | higher RA levels. | |
| 5. Check for grammatical | Yes, the grammatical errors | Yes, the grammatical errors |
| errors throughout the | were rectified. | have been rectified and |
| manuscript to improve | | highlighted in blue color. |
| readability. | | |
| | | |
| 6. Ensure all formatting | The article is properly aligned | Yes, all possible formatting |
| adheres to the journal's | with the journal guidelines. | has been adjusted to adhere to |
| guidelines, particularly in the | | the journal's guidelines, |
| tables and figures. | | particularly in the tables and |

| | | figures, and highlighted in |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | blue color. |
| 7.Clarify the methodology | The water penetration depth | The water penetration depth |
| used for measuring water | was measured according to | was measured as per |
| penetration and RCPT values | IS:516:part2:sec1:2018 while | IS:516:Part 2:Sec 1:2018, and |
| in all tested samples. | the RCPT was conducted | the RCPT was conducted |
| | following ASTM C1202, with | following ASTM C1202. This |
| | the total charge passed | is added in section 3.3 and |
| | through 50-mm thick discs | highlighted in blue color in the |
| | subjected to a 60 V DC | first paragraph. |
| | current for 6 hours to assess | \mathcal{S} |
| | chloride ion penetration. | |
| 8. Verify the accuracy of all | The accuracy of all the units is | The accuracy of all the units is |
| units presented in tables, | rectified, and necessary | rectified. |
| especially in the compressive | changes are incorporated. | |
| strength and shrinkage data. | | |
| 9. Include a discussion on the | Discussion is added. | A discussion on the |
| environmental impact of | | environmental impact of |
| substituting NA with RA in | | substituting natural aggregates |
| pavement concrete. | | (NA) with recycled aggregates |
| | | (RA) in pavement concrete |
| | | has been added after the |
| | | conclusion and highlighted in |
| | | blue color. |
| 10. Specify the testing | The codes of conduct for | The testing standards followed |
| standards followed for each | sorptivity and RCPT were | for each durability parameter, |

| durability parameter, such as | ASTM-C-1202 and ASTM-C- | such as sorptivity and RCPT, |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| sorptivity and RCPT. | 1585-04. | have been specified in section |
| | | 2.2 under data measurement |
| | | and highlighted in blue color. |
| 11. Improve the clarity of | The suggestions are | All technical terms and |
| technical terms, ensuring all | considered, and all the | abbreviations have been |
| abbreviations are defined on | abbreviations are mentioned in | clarified, with definitions |
| first use. | their first use. | provided on first use in the |
| | | abstract, in Table 1, and at the |
| | / | beginning of paragraphs for |
| | | new technical terms. |
| 12. Provide a comparison of | The revised manuscript now | A comparison of the drying |
| the drying shrinkage behavior | includes a comparative | shrinkage behavior of each |
| of each mix with other studies | analysis of drying shrinkage | mix with other studies in |
| in similar domains. | behavior across mixes, | similar domains has been |
| | highlighting reduced | discussed in section 3.2 below |
| | shrinkage with recycled | Figure 8 and highlighted in |
| | aggregates, GGBS, and I- | blue color. |
| | Crete in line with similar | |
| | studies. | |
| 13. Elaborate on how GGBS | The elaboration of how GGBS | An explanation of how GGBS |
| and I-Crete combine to | and I-Crete counteract the | and I-Crete work together to |
| counteract the reduction in | reduction in compressive | counteract the reduction in |
| compressive strength with | strength is mentioned in the | compressive strength with |
| higher RA content. | manuscript. | higher RA content has been |
| | | added above Figure 8 and |

| | | highlighted in blue color. |
|--|---|--|
| 14. Highlight the practical | Yes, the practical implications | The practical implications of |
| implications of this research | of applications are added in | this research for large-scale |
| for large-scale pavement | the manuscript. | pavement applications have |
| applications. | | been discussed and added to |
| | | the beginning of the |
| | | conclusion, highlighted in blue |
| | | color. |
| 15. Conduct a spell check as | The spell check is done. | A spell check has been |
| some terms have minor | | completed in the revised |
| spelling errors, affecting the | | manuscript. |
| manuscript's professionalism. | | |
| | | |
| ASSOCIATE EDITOR (A) | | |
| Barely the environmental | the revised manuscript now | The environmental |
| implications of the | includes an expanded | implications of the |
| replacement must be better | discussion on the | replacement have been |
| discussed | environmental implications of | discussed below the |
| | material replacements, | conclusion and highlighted in |
| | | |
| | highlighting their benefits for | blue color. |
| | highlighting their benefits for sustainability and carbon | blue color. |
| | highlighting their benefits for sustainability and carbon footprint reduction | blue color. |
| Graphical Abstract, novelty of | highlighting their benefits for sustainability and carbon footprint reduction the revised manuscript now | blue color. As per the reviewer's |
| Graphical Abstract, novelty of the paper, Are figures and | highlighting their benefits for sustainability and carbon footprint reduction the revised manuscript now incorporates all suggested | blue color. As per the reviewer's suggestion, the graphical |
| Graphical Abstract, novelty of the paper, Are figures and tables, Methods, paper's | highlighting their benefits for sustainability and carbon footprint reduction the revised manuscript now incorporates all suggested improvements, including an | blue color. As per the reviewer's suggestion, the graphical abstract, novelty of the paper, |

clear statement of the paper's tables, methods, and the novelty, enhanced figures and paper's contribution have been tables, refined methods, and a discussed in the revised more detailed explanation of manuscript. the paper's contribution, ensuring alignment with the reviewer's recommendations.