1	Nitrate source analysis of underground drinking water sources in Zhangjiakou main urban
2	area and its health risk assessment
3	
4	Guizhen Hao ^{1,2} , Xiaoying Xiong ² , and Li Xu ^{1,2,3}
5	¹ Hebei Key Laboratory of Water Quality Engineering and Comprehensive Utilization of Water
6	Resources, Hebei University of Architecture, Zhangjiakou 075000, Hebei, China
7	² Department of Municipal and Environmental Engineering, Hebei University of Architecture,
8	Zhangjiakou 075000, Hebei, China
9	³ School of Water Conservancy and Hydroelectric Power, Hebei University of Engineering, Handan
10	056000, Hebei, China
11	*Corresponding author: Li Xu

12 E-mail:*xuli0031@163.com*, tel: 86-15230333665

13 GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



14

15 ABSTRACT

In order to investigate the main pollution factors of underground drinking water sources in semi-arid 16 agricultural areas in China, and to reveal the threatening influence of pollutants on the normal water 17 security of local society. Single-factor evaluation index, principal component analysis, basic data 18 19 statistics are used to clarify the main pollution indicators of underground drinking water sources in 20 Zhangjiakou main city and discuss the main influencing factors of the change of their pollution 21 concentration, and evaluate the degree of health threat of its pollution to the drinking water of local residents. The results show that: the water sources of Taobeiying and Gushi are deeply affected by 22 23 human activities, and nitrate, as the only exceeding substance under drinking water standards, is the 24 most important indicator of groundwater pollution; and the nitrate con-centration of groundwater is 25 higher in the pasture and nearby agricultural activities; nitrate contamination levels in groundwater are within the health acceptability range for the vast majority of the population, with only newborns 26

- 27 in the Taobeiying drinking water source being exposed to a non-carcinogenic risk of nitrate. The
- 28 results of the study will help to maintain a stable groundwater environment.
- 29 Keywords: groundwater contaminants, nitrate contamination, land use, agricultural activities, human
- 30 health risk assessment

31 **1. Introduction**

32 Groundwater, as an important component of the Earth's water resources, provides all or part of the 33 drinking water for up to 50 percent of the world's population(IGRAC, 2018, Project, 2023). Especially 34 in arid and semi-arid areas where surface water resources are limited, groundwater has become the 35 most important source of drinking water for the continuation of normal human life activities. The main city of Zhangjiakou is located in the transition zone of forest and grassland in northern China, 36 37 and shoulders the important responsibility of water conservation and stabilizing the ecological 38 environment. The city's water supply is highly dependent on groundwater resources. Along with climate change and economic growth, the amount of groundwater extracted has risen year after year, 39 40 but recharge has been limited, so that by 2023, the underground water supply will account for 68.41% of the total water supply, with agriculture being the main source of water consumption, which will 41 account for 66.39% of the total (Jasechko and Perrone, 2021, Province, 2023). The non-sustainable 42 43 development of groundwater resources exposes them to problems such as restricted water circulation 44 and pollution of the natural water environment, which in turn threaten human health and industrial development(Castellazzi et al., 2016). Therefore, elaborating the current status of groundwater 45 46 environmental pollution in the region and evaluating the health risk of residents' drinking water will provide risk warning and scientific reference for maintaining the safety of drinking water for citizens 47 48 in the main urban area of Zhangjiakou and the stability of the overall water environment.

49 Nitrate pollution is a common problem in the groundwater environment, which faces problems such as difficult to manage the contamination of water bodies and weak resistance of the water 50 51 environment to damage due to the hydraulic cycle flow characteristics of groundwater(Benes et al., 52 1989). In recent years, the problem of groundwater nitrate pollution has become increasingly 53 prominent in North China, especially in rural areas where groundwater is used as a source of drinking water(Hu et al., 2005). According to Gan et al(Gan et al., 2022), who studied the distribution, sources 54 and health risks of nitrate in groundwater in the northern plains of China, it is clear that intensive 55 56 groundwater mining has been identified as a key factor in exacerbating nitrate contamination, as the 57 thicker envelope resulting from over-exploitation promotes the nitrification process. In addition, 58 Chen et al., 2010) clarified the significant impact of wastewater irrigation on groundwater 59 nitrate concentration levels based on analyzing the effects of land use changes and irrigation practices 60 on nitrate pollution in shallow groundwater. In summary, the changes of nitrate levels in groundwater 61 in North China are closely related to agricultural activities and groundwater resource management. 62 The undue attention to nitrate research stems from its harmful effects in the environment and in 63 humans. First of all, excessive intake of nitrate from drinking water combined with microorganisms 64 is converted into nitrite, which induces human methemoglobinemia, hypertension and cardiac system diseases, and so on, seriously endangering human health(Ebdrup et al., 2022, Lin et al., 2023); in 65 66 addition, the excess of nitrate in the water will also be a burden on the carrying capacity of the aquatic ecosystem, which will lead to the occurrence of eutrophication in the water body, resulting in an 67 imbalance of the aquatic ecosystem. Natural water bodies as a source of tap water, purification of 68 69 nitrate is difficult to remove, even in trace amounts, when repeated boiling when the conversion of nitrite is able to pose a hazard to the human body(Hughes and Marion, 2021, Severe et al., 2023). 70 With the development and popularization of contemporary hygiene and metrology, the impact of 71 72 nitrate on human health has been quantified, thus this paper introduces the Human Health Risk Assessment (HHRA) as a method for analyzing the health threat of nitrate. 73

Human health risk assessment is an important aspect of environmental and public health management that aims to establish data linkages between human health and the ecosystem(Monosson, 1996). Since long-term consumption of nitrate-contaminated groundwater can result in an elevated risk of certain diseases, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) developed the Nitrate Indicator Health Risk Determination (NIHRD) methodology, which combines oral (drinking intake) and dermal exposure routes to determine the carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic health risk results of nitrate contamination levels in local populations.

In order to investigate the environmental status of groundwater quality in the context of agricultural
activities in northern China, the main pollution indicators of the groundwater environment were

summarized, and on this basis, the analysis of the sources of pollution impact and health risk 83 84 assessment were carried out. In this paper, the source of underground drinking water in the main 85 urban area of Zhangjiakou is taken as the research object, the water quality indicators are standardized 86 by using the single-factor evaluation index, the main pollution contributing indicators and the 87 correlation of factors are calculated by using Principal Component Analysis (PCA), and the causes 88 of the changes in the concentration of the main pollutant indicators are discussed in terms of the land 89 use situation, etc., and the level of the impact of the pollution on the health risk of the local residents 90 is calculated in the end.

91 **2. Materials and methods**

92 2.1. Subsection

93 The study area is located in Zhangjiakou City in the northwestern part of Hebei Province, China, and 94 contains Chongli District and Zhangjiakou main urban area (Bridge-west district and Bridge-east 95 district), which are divided into three different zones according to the source of underground drinking water, and the groundwater monitoring points are the Taobeiying water source zone (TW, E114.92 96 97 N 40.89) and the Gushi water source zone (GW, E114.89 N 40.87) in the upstream Chongli District 98 and the Yaozhanbu water source zone (YW, E114.85 N 40.74) in Bridge-west district (Figure 1). The 99 groundwater in this area belongs to the subregion of pore and fissure groundwater resources in the 100 middle and upper reaches of the Yongding River basin, and the groundwater types of the underground 101 rock strata in Chongli are fissure water of mountain metamorphic rocks, fissure water of magmatic 102 rocks of mountains and hills, and near the downstream urban area, pore water of alluvial layers in the intermountain basins, and fissure water of karst mountain fissures and caves, which is very much 103 104 associated with the distribution of the water types and the ancient crustal activities of the earth's 105 surface topography and the climate of the area is temperate continental monsoon. The regional climate 106 is temperate continental monsoon climate, located in the semi-arid and arid forest-steppe transition 107 zone, with cool and dry temperatures, and the surface elevation decreases from northeast to southwest, 108 which has led to the formation of a certain scale of cities in the southwest flat zone.





110 **Figure 1.** Distribution of elevation and surface river systems and karst types in the study area

- 111 *2.2. Data sources and research methods*
- 112 *2.2.1. Data sources*

Groundwater monitoring wells were collected once a month from January 2021 to November 2023. 113 Each sampling uses a high-density polyethylene bottle and glass bottle cleaned according to the 114 collection requirements to collect 500ml of groundwater, respectively, stored in a 4 °C environment, 115 waiting for detection. When collecting water samples, the TDS analyzer is used to directly determine 116 the detection value. Filter with 0.45 µm filter membrane before detection. Metrohm MIC Ion 117 118 Chromatograph (Switzerland) were used to analyze the concentrations of ammonium and nitrate, chloride, fluoride, sulfate. The detection limits were 0.002 mg L⁻¹ and 0.15 mg L⁻¹, 0.15 mg L⁻¹, 0.1 119 mg L^{-1} , 0.75 mg L^{-1} , respectively. The oxygen consumption was detected by acidic potassium 120 permanganate titration, and the detection limit was 0.05 mg L⁻¹. The total hardness was also detected 121 by titration tube, and the minimum detection concentration was 1.0 mg L⁻¹. Iodide and nitrite nitrogen 122 were detected by spectrophotometry, and the detection limits were 0.001 mg L⁻¹. Sodium, copper, 123 124 manganese, zinc, aluminum and arsenic were determined by inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry. The detection limits were 6.36 μ g L⁻¹, 0.08 μ g L⁻¹, 0.12 μ g L⁻¹, 0.67 μ g L⁻¹, 1.15 μ g 125 L^{-1} and 0.12 µg L^{-1} , respectively. Radiation alpha and beta were measured by thick sample method 126

and thin sample method, and the detection limits were 0.016 Bq L⁻¹ and 0.028 Bq L⁻¹, respectively.
The above indexes were self-checked in the laboratory. Blank sample and parallel sample were
established, and the standard deviation value was less than 5 %.

130 China's multi-period land use/land cover data were produced by Esri in conjunction with Impact

131 Observatory and Microsoft's 10-meter-resolution satellite data based on Sentinel-2; atmospheric

- 132 rainfall, cultivated irrigated area, crop cultivation area, and livestock and poultry production data were
- 133 obtained from the 2015-2022 Hebei Statistical Yearbook.
- 134 2.2.2. Human health risk assessment

Human health risk assessment is used to quantitatively analyze the relationship between groundwater quality and local human health risk, and to assess the likelihood of damage to human health caused by exposure to chemical substances in a contaminated environment. Because groundwater environments are relatively confined, contaminants relative to the human body are generally considered only through two routes: oral and dermal absorption(Liu et al., 2021). In this study, calculations were performed using a risk model developed by the EPA.

141 Dose of non-carcinogens ingested through drinking water:

$$CDI = \frac{C_{w} \times IR \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT}$$
(1)

$$HQ_{Oral} = \frac{CDI}{RfD}$$
(2)

142 In:

143 CDI—Daily dose for chronic intake of drinking water ($(mg kg^{-1})d^{-1}$);

- 144 C_w —Mass concentration of non-carcinogenic substances (mg L⁻¹);
- 145 IR——Daily human intake of drinking water (L d⁻¹);
- 146 EF——Exposure frequency (365d a⁻¹);
- 147 ED—Exposure time;
- 148 BW——Average human weight (kg);

- 149 AT—Mean time to produce non-carcinogenic effects (d);
- 150 HQ_{Oral}——Non-carcinogenic risk index for drinking water intake;
- 151 RfD—Reference dose of pollutant (RfD for nitrate is $1.6(\text{mg kg}^{-1})d^{-1}$).
- 152 Dose of non-carcinogens absorbed through the skin:

$$CDD = \frac{C_{w} \times K_{i} \times SA \times EF \times ED \times EV \times ET \times CF}{BW \times AT}$$
$$HQ_{Dermal} = \frac{CDD}{RfD}$$

$$HI_{Total} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (HQ_{Oral} + HQ_{Dermal})$$

- 153 In:
- 154 CDD—Daily dose for chronic absorption through the skin ($(mg kg^{-1})d^{-1}$);
- 155 K_i —— Skin permeability coefficient in water (cm h⁻¹);
- 156 SA——Average human skin surface area exposed to contaminated environment (cm²);
- 157 EV——Average bathing frequency, based on 1 bath per day;
- 158 ET——Average bathing time;
- 159 CF——Volume conversion factor ($L (cm^3)^{-1}$);
- 160 HQ_{Dermal}——Non-carcinogenic risk index from dermal contact;
- 161 HI_{Total}——Total non-carcinogenic risk index;
- 162 N——Number of pollutants to be evaluated.
- 163 Carcinogenic risk through drinking water intake:

$$CR = CDI \times CSF \tag{6}$$

- 164 CR——Indicates cancer risk through oral intake;
- 165 CSF——Indicates carcinogenicity slope factor $(1 \times 10^{-5} ((\text{mg kg}^{-1})d^{-1})^{-1})$.

(3)

(4)

(5)

166 In this study, only nitrate was used as a risk factor, and the number of pollutants to be evaluated was

167 N = 1. The selection of parameters and the categorization of the target population for evaluation are

168 shown in Table 1.

169

parameter	Infant (1 year old)	Children (average age 12 years)	Adult males (average age 30 years)	Adult females (average age 30 years)	reference source
IR	1	1.8	2.5	2.5	(USA.EPA, 1989, Han et al., 2021)
EF	365	365	365	365	(USA.EPA, 1989)
ED	1	12	30	30	(Wu and Sun, 2016)
BW	10	30	74	57	(Han et al., 2021, Institute of Sports Science, 2022)
AT	365	4380	10950	10950	-
RfD	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	(USA.EPA, 1989)
K _i	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	(Han et al., 2021)
SA	5000	12000	16000	15000	(China, 2019, Han et al., 2021)
EV	1	1	1	1	(Wu and Sun, 2016, China, 2019)
ET	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.5	(Han et al., 2021)
CF	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	(Liu et al., 2021, Han et al., 2021)

Table 1. Evaluation parameters of pollution for each target group

170 **3. Results**

171 *3.1. Analysis of routine water quality indicators*

172 From the data of groundwater source monitoring points in Zhangjiakou from January 2021 to
173 November 2023 (Table 2), it can be seen that the overall concentration level of oxygen consumption

in GW, TW, and YW areas is maintained at 0.75±0.28 mg L⁻¹, the concentration level of total hardness 174 (in terms of CaCO₃) is 349.29 ± 16.70 mg L⁻¹, the concentration of total dissolved solids (TDS) is 175 maintained at 472.63±74.23 mg L⁻¹, reducing organic matter in GW and TW at a higher level, total 176 177 hardness is the opposite, in the southern part of the city's groundwater belongs to the lowmineralization water, low salinity; water source monitoring indicators of halide to chloride (Cl⁻) as 178 the main body of the overall concentration of 36.84±7.78 mg L⁻¹, and sodium ions (Na⁺) to maintain 179 at the same level of concentration; sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) like substances concentration of 73.12±15.19 mg 180 L^{-1} , nitrate (NO₃⁻) in the inorganic nitrogen class occupies 97.53%~99.93% of the overall, nitrite 181 (NO_2) and ammonia nitrogen (NH_4) in the water source concentration level is almost the same, and 182 183 NO₃⁻ concentration in TW is significantly higher than the level of other monitoring water sources; metal elements and arsenic elemental content is maintained at the same level, zinc (Zn), aluminum 184 (Al) concentration is higher, respectively, for 0.0046 ± 0.0072 mg L⁻¹ and 0.0030 ± 0.0051 mg L⁻¹, and 185 the average concentrations of copper (Cu), zinc, aluminum, and arsenic (As) elements in groundwater 186 north of the city are higher than those south of the city; the drinking water source radiation 187 measurements are all kept below the guideline values, but with a greater degree of dispersion.GW 188 189 and TW are involved in a relatively close range, and the data bases and trends of changes in the 190 monitoring are somewhat similar, and they are subjected to anthropogenic activities. Strongly 191 influenced by anthropogenic activities, YW has low concentrations of organic and dissolved 192 substances, high total hardness, and is more deeply influenced by dissolution of natural subsurface rock formations. 193

Figure 2 shows the correlations between the water quality indicators, and the significance of most of the correlation indicators meets the probability limit of $p \le 0.01$ and 0.05, which is statistically significant. Among them, radiation alpha and radiation beta are highly significant correlation, the correlation coefficient is 0.86, positively promote each other, and at the same time radioactive substances and NO₂⁻ also have highly significant positive correlation, along with the increase of NO₂⁻ concentration level, the release of radioactive substances increased; Secondly, there is a highly

- significant positive correlation between SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^{-} , the correlation coefficient is 0.76, the two
- 201 have a very close correlation; NO₃⁻ and manganese (Mn), total hardness and Cu, but the correlations
- 202 were low, with correlation coefficients less than 0.4.



203

204

Figure 2. Heat map of significant correlations of water chemistry indicator data

205

Table 2. Statistics on groundwater quality indicators in the monitoring area

norm	items	GW	TW	YW	the total
COD_{Mn}	Mean/SD	0.83 ± 0.20	0.85 ± 0.17	0.56 ± 0.33	0.75 ± 0.28
CaCO ₃	Mean/SD	345.09±16.91	347.94±14.98	354.83±16.61	349.29±16.70
TDS	Mean/SD	477.57±83.12	476.51±67.44	463.8±70.32	472.63±74.23
Cl ⁻	Mean/SD	41.01±5.75	38.22 ± 8.83	31.30±4.56	36.84 ± 7.78
F ⁻	Mean/SD	0.71 ± 0.14	0.67 ± 0.11	0.61 ± 0.08	0.67 ± 0.12
I-	Mean/SD	0.003 ± 0.004	0.004 ± 0.005	0.005 ± 0.005	0.004 ± 0.005
Na^+	Mean/SD	34.41 ± 7.86	33.86±7.21	43.57±9.03	37.28±9.21
SO4 ²⁻	Mean/SD	76.55±13.19	80.16±17.85	62.67 ± 5.40	73.12±15.19
$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	Mean/SD	0.019 ± 0.027	0.019 ± 0.030	0.019 ± 0.028	0.019 ± 0.029
NO_2^-	Mean/SD	0.0020 ± 0.0031	0.0020 ± 0.0031	0.0020 ± 0.0031	0.0020 ± 0.0031
NO ₃ -	Mean/SD	10.97 ± 2.84	12.13±2.55	7.55±1.27	10.22 ± 3.03
Mn	Mean/SD	0.0006 ± 0.0009	0.0008 ± 0.0010	0.0024 ± 0.0020	0.0012 ± 0.0016
Cu	Mean/SD	0.0014 ± 0.0007	0.0013 ± 0.0005	0.0009 ± 0.0004	0.0012 ± 0.0006
Zn	Mean/SD	$0.0053 {\pm} 0.0073$	0.0063 ± 0.0081	0.0022 ± 0.0050	0.0046 ± 0.0072
Al	Mean/SD	0.0045 ± 0.0068	0.0032 ± 0.0047	0.0014 ± 0.0017	0.0030 ± 0.0051
As	Mean/SD	0.0007 ± 0.0006	0.0010 ± 0.0005	0.0009/0.0006	0.0009 ± 0.0006
radiation alpha	Mean/SD	0.073 ± 0.110	0.058 ± 0.082	0.048 ± 0.065	0.060 ± 0.089
radiation beta	Mean/SD	0.076 ± 0.090	0.069 ± 0.062	0.054 ± 0.062	0.067 ± 0.073

206 3.2. Analysis of major groundwater contamination indicators

Since different water quality indicators have different concentration limits that cause harm to human beings, each country has limited different indicator limits in combination with multiple conditions and uses. According to the drinking function of groundwater in the study area, the Class III standard in China's *Groundwater Quality Standard (GB/T 14848-2017)* and the *Hygienic Standard for Drinking Water for Daily Life (GB 5749-2022)* were adopted as the unification conditions for the indicators, respectively, so as to eliminate the differences in the concentrations of different quantities, and the processed data were brought into the box-and-line diagrams for analysis.

214 Harmonized formulae refer to single-factor pollution indices for water quality evaluation P_i:

$$P_i = C_i / S_i$$

In: C_i is the measured concentration of the ith water quality indicator (mg L⁻¹); S_i is the evaluation standard of the ith water quality indicator (mg L⁻¹).

217 As can be seen from Error! Reference source not found., the indicators under the Class III standard in the Groundwater Quality Standard (GB/T 14848-2017) are all less than 1, while only nitrate 218 appears to be greater than 1 under the Health Standard for Hygienic Standard for Drinking Water for 219 Daily Life (GB 5749-2022) as the standard, which indicates that only NO_3^- appears to be more than 220 the drinking water hygiene standard under the two standards, and the other indicators are all under 221 the concentration range specified by the target water function. This indicates that under the two 222 223 standards, only NO₃⁻ exceeds the drinking water health standard, while the other indicators are in the 224 concentration range specified by the target water use function, and NO₃⁻ has appeared in the 225 groundwater of Zhangjiakou City, which affects the normal use of drinking water. Besides, nitrate 226 has the widest interquartile range and no outliers, so the results are good.

Using SPSS 25 for PCA calculation, the KMO value of the 18 indicators involved in the calculation was 0.6, Bartlett's spherical test P < 0.001, and the correlation matrix conformed to the normal distribution, which fulfilled the pre-calculation requirements. The eigenvalue mapping and ellipse confidence were shown in **Error! Reference source not found.**(a). The top two main influencing

(7)

231 factors from groundwater pollution were 20.5% and 14.0% contribution rate respectively, in which NO₃⁻ had the highest correlation with Factor 1 and was positively correlated; SO₄²⁻ and NO₃⁻ indicator 232 eigenvalues tended to converge, and the enhancement of Factor 1 sources would promote the 233 elevation of SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, COD_{Mn}, and Cl⁻ concentrations; Zn showed the main positive correlation 234 235 in Factor 2, followed by radioactive substances showed negative correlation, in which the 236 downscaling tendency of NO_2^{-1} almost overlapped with radioactive substances with high correlation. 237 The data downscaled elliptic confidence intervals of GW and TW overlap to a high degree, and the 238 TW confidence interval is contained within the GW interval. There are some similarities in groundwater chemistry types and pollution sources between the two places, and the downscaled 239 240 projection of YW indicators is more concentrated, forming two different systems with TW and GW 241 groundwater environments.

Principal components extracted from the two-dimensional data projection formed in the ellipse 242 confidence, choose the Kaiser normalized maximum variance method for the rotation of the 243 component matrix, and converge after nine iterations, to get the rotated component matrix in Error! 244 Reference source not found.. The maximum correlation index of the highest contributing principal 245 component, factor 1, was nitrate with a correlation coefficient of 0.808, followed by positive 246 correlations of 0.795 for SO_4^{2-} and 0.738 for Cl⁻, and an increase in the source of factor 1 leads to an 247 248 increase in the concentration of salts, and the preliminary type of the factor 1 source was residential 249 sewage or inputs from inorganic fertilizers in agriculture; Indicators closely related to the source of 250 factor 2 are radioactive substances, which show positive correlation, NO_2^- shows a correlation of 0.72 in factor 2, which comes from radionuclide decay in the natural environment; Al and Cu are the main 251 252 correlation indicators of factor 3; the top three component factors occupy 39.301% of the total 253 variance after rotation, and based on the eigenvalues, the top seven screening factors selected greater than 1, the occupied 69.843% of the total variance. 254

255 Comprehensive single-factor indicator range distribution and PCA factor analysis results show that 256 the increase in nitrate concentration is the main cause of pollution of underground drinking water

sources in Zhangjiakou City, and the correlation indicators of SO₄²⁻ and Cl⁻ among the maximum 257 pollution factors did not appear to exceed the limiting standards in the actual measurement. Combined 258 259 with the monthly trend of NO₃⁻ concentration from 2021 to 2023 (Error! Reference source not found.(b)), the overall fluctuating upward trend of NO₃⁻ concentration in GW and TW, except for 260 some months the NO₃⁻ concentration in drinking water sources north of the city exceeded the limit 261 262 value of drinking water health standards. The degree of concentration variability was higher in TW than in GW, and the NO₃⁻ concentration in YW remained stable between 6 mg L⁻¹ and 8 mg L⁻¹ for 263 264 most of the time, with the lowest level of contamination. Overall, TW showed obvious water quality 265 problems, and the exceedance of NO3⁻ concentration was the main manifestation of pollution 266 problems in this shallow groundwater, and there was a trend of superimposed increase in NO₃⁻ concentration in the groundwater with the increase of time. 267



268

269Figure 3. Box plots for each indicator based on the results of single factor calculations for (a) drinking

270



water standards and (b) groun dwater class III standards

271 Figure 4. (a) Indicator factor fits and confidence intervals for principal component analysis and (b)

changes in monthly nitrate indicator concentrations in water sources

273

272

Table 3. Rotated component matrix

norm	Faction 1	Faction 2	Faction 3	Faction 4	Faction 5	Faction 6	Faction 7
				, í			
F⁻	0.282	-0.226	-0.043	0.493	-0.485	-0.091	0.138
Na^+	-0.341	-0.004	-0.363	-0.204	-0.605	-0.007	-0.085
SO_4^{2-}	0.795	0.244	0.022	0.080	-0.110	0.001	-0.073
$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	0.142	0.013	-0.043	-0.049	-0.017	0.859	0.052
NO ₂ -	0.187	0.720	-0.086	-0.200	-0.008	0.131	-0.228
NO ₃ -	0.808	0.183	0.062	-0.114	0.090	-0.056	0.059
CaCO ₃	-0.305	-0.117	-0.053	0.815	-0.109	-0.035	-0.118
Mn	-0.502	0.097	0.028	0.046	0.036	0.632	-0.073
Cu	0.204	0.090	0.714	-0.363	-0.016	-0.313	0.131
Zn	0.329	-0.379	0.394	-0.059	-0.118	0.183	0.302
Al	-0.014	-0.097	0.800	0.085	-0.065	0.083	-0.233
As	-0.035	0.075	-0.250	-0.050	0.733	-0.022	-0.060
radiation alpha	0.102	0.917	0.026	-0.035	0.020	-0.001	0.124

radiation beta	0.124	0.906	-0.026	-0.074	0.151	-0.009	0.018
I-	-0.100	-0.007	-0.102	0.089	-0.065	-0.002	0.856
TDS	0.131	-0.066	0.002	0.618	0.258	0.033	0.344
Cl	0.738	-0.013	0.088	-0.072	0.063	0.042	-0.059
COD_{Mn}	0.443	0.314	0.301	0.100	0.342	-0.051	-0.254

274 3.3. Analysis of the causes of changes in nitrate concentrations

275 Most studies have shown that synthetic fertilizers, livestock manure, domestic and industrial 276 wastewater, atmospheric deposition, natural organic matter of soil, septic tanks and landfills are the 277 main sources of nitrate pollution(McLay et al., 2001, Gutiérrez et al., 2018, Gan, 2023). Zhangjiakou, as a typical city focusing on primary industry economy, the study area has frequent agricultural 278 279 farming activities, the water surface is covered with a large area of facility farmland, and the 280 utilization of land is crucial to the impact of groundwater environmental pollution. The common 281 dissolved nonpoint source pollution in agricultural watersheds includes two major sources, anthropogenic and natural, and the lost part of the natural source is not a pollutant in the strict sense, 282 283 but the tracing of the pollution source inevitably needs to determine the contribution of the natural 284 source(Nie, 2016).

285 The anthropogenic sources of pollution in the study area include fertilizer and drug application losses 286 from crops, leakage of manure wastewater from livestock and poultry farming, and rural and urban 287 domestic sewage discharges, while the natural sources include atmospheric precipitation, natural 288 organic matter of the soil, inputs, runoffs, and outputs of terrestrial sources such as subsurface karst 289 and other substances in the groundwater system, as well as physiological survival of plants, animals, 290 and microorganisms. In the following, we will analyze the reasons affecting the level of nitrate 291 concentration in groundwater from the aspects of land use, precipitation changes and agricultural 292 activities.

293 *3.3.1. Impact of land use status and migration on nitrate pollution*

According to Figure 5, it can be seen that the upper part of the main urban area has grassland as the main land use type, and the cultivated area is distributed in the flat beaches along both sides of the river, and the urban settlements are scattered, sparsely populated, and have not formed a large-scale city; Bridge-west district and Bridge-east district have built-up areas and grassland as the main land use types, followed by cultivated land distributed in the lower part of the city. Forest land is small and concentrated in the northwest corner away from urban agglomerations.TW, GW and YW land cover types are very different.

301 The buffer zones of 300 m, 500 m, 800 m, 1000 m, and 1200 m distance were divided respectively 302 with the monitoring point as the center, and the land use transfer matrix of the buffer zones in 2021-303 2022-2023 was established (Figure 5). The land types of the buffer zones from 300 m to 1000 m in TW were built-up area and grassland, and with the increase of buffer area, the percentage of built-up 304 area was gradually decreasing and grassland becomes the main land use type in the area with simple 305 306 land use transfer. Grassland accounts for the largest proportion of land use in the vicinity of GW, and the proportion of built-up area and cropland area gradually increases with the increase of buffer 307 308 distance, and there is a mutual transfer of the utilization of grassland and cropland, and on the whole, 309 the area of cropland shows a significant increase with the change of time, and the use of grassland for 310 clearing the land is the main source of its contribution. the area of built-up area of YW occupies an 311 absolute dominant position in the buffer zones of different distances, the share of watershed is 312 decreasing in the growing buffer area, the growth and utilization matrix of grassland type changes 313 significantly below 800 m distance, a significant urban expansion occurs in 2022, erosion of grassland 314 area, between 800 m, 1000 m, and 1200 m distance buffer ranges, cropland becomes a secondary land 315 use type other than built-up area, and the land use transfer situation is complex.

The urbanization of the study area deepens year by year, and the town land starts to spread to the surrounding, the degradation of the grassland is affected by the cultivated land clearing and urban expansion, the water collection area of the study is less affected by the mountain forest cover, the forest land has been in a low development and high protection use state, and the agricultural cultivation area is still increasing. The TW and GW collection areas upstream of the urban area are mainly influenced by grassland, with simple land use composition, clear and simple matrix changes, and low and flat terrain; the main land use type of the downstream YW collection area is construction area, which is little influenced by land cover with high soil permeability coefficient such as grassland cropland, and the terrain is higher and subject to hydraulic impacts from the upper reaches and hills on the two sides. Changes in the proportion of land use types are closely related to local agricultural and economic development, climatic conditions, urban planning and other environmental policies.

327 Combined with the contamination level of nitrate in different areas, the low concentration level of 328 nitrate indicator in YW groundwater may be related to the high degree of land hardening in the 329 construction area, the small permeability coefficient of the soil, and the slow rate of contamination input from human activities, and in the YW and TW areas, which are dominated by grass, the nitrate 330 level of the groundwater is high, and there is a prolonged period of exceeding the standard, and the 331 high-frequency contamination from the nearby areas of human agricultural activities aggregation and 332 pollution transformation products from the natural environment seeped into the groundwater through 333 334 the loose soil. According to Xu et al.'s study on the water quality of surface rivers in Zhangjiakou urban area(Xu et al., 2023), the overall concentration of total nitrogen in surface water averaged 5.87 335 mg L⁻, and the nitrogen pollution of groundwater was rather more serious than that of the surface, and 336 337 the inputs of nitrate from surface water to groundwater were almost small, and the pollution mainly entered the groundwater system through soil. 338







Figure 5. Land use types in the study area, 2021, 2022, 2023

341



342 Figure 6. Land use migration in the last three years under 300m, 500m, 800m, 1000m, 1200m buffer

343

diameters for TW, GW, YW

344 *3.3.2. Effect of precipitation on nitrate pollution*

Soil infiltration and surface water replenishment and discharge are closely related to atmospheric 345 precipitation, which is the main source of replenishment of local groundwater resources. The 346 groundwater level in the study area is controlled by precipitation, and the water level drops to the 347 lowest value in December, and the highest value of the water level of the whole year can generally 348 349 be reached in August to September(Chen, 2020). The statistical yearbook data shows that the average annual precipitation in 2022 and 2023 is 378.2 mm, which is in the dry year and mostly concentrated 350 351 in June to September in the form of showers, with poor groundwater recharge conditions. The study 352 area is the demarcation zone between semi-arid and semi-humid, and the demarcation zone between forest vegetation and grassland vegetation. 353

The hydrodynamic forces generated by atmospheric rainfall drive the transport of soil nitrogen into the groundwater environment through infiltration and runoff. Many studies have shown that in forested watersheds with low precipitation, the soil retains most of the rainwater, resulting in nitrification reactions that increase the NO_3^- content of the soil water, which ultimately enters the groundwater body through leaching and infiltration(Phillips and Koch, 2002, Kumar et al., 2004, Pardo et al., 2004). For vegetable agriculture planting areas, sustained heavy rainfall will elute the remaining NH_4^+ in the air-packed zone, and nitrification produces more NO_3^- into the shallow

groundwater, and isotope traceability shows that the proportion of NO₃⁻ in the shallow groundwater 361 362 during periods of heavy precipitation from manure increases, and the proportion of NO₃⁻ from soil 363 organic nitrogen decreases(Chen et al., 2023). After linear fitting, precipitation and groundwater 364 nitrate concentration showed a poor negative linear relationship, which may be due to the sudden 365 precipitation situation to increase the amount of surface water, and the different sources of the combined effect of supplemental dilution strengthened, accelerated the rate of circulation of 366 367 underground and surface water bodies, and improved the environmental conditions of groundwater. 368 Moreover, according to the field research, surface farming in the study area is dominated by facility 369 farmland, and the agricultural greenhouses and mulch erected for cash crops affect the infiltration of 370 precipitation into the soil and soil water migration.

371 *3.3.3. Impact of agricultural activities on nitrate pollution*

Based on the statistical yearbook data from 2015 to 2022 that the area under crop cultivation rises 372 slowly after 2021, which is consistent with the annual change in the area of land use type of arable 373 land, and cereals and vegetables are the main types of cultivated plants; the amount of agricultural 374 375 fertilizers applied decreases and stays at 129,000 tons after reaching a peak in 2018 and 2019, and the amount of fertilizers per unit of arable land decreases from 2021 to 2022; the local area is mainly 376 377 dominated by cattle as the large livestock farming, livestock farming volume is in a trough between 378 2017 and 2020, and has rebounded in the past two years. Influenced by the type of surface cover, agricultural activities are still one of the main influencing factors for the change of pollutant 379 380 concentration in the water collection area. The microbial life activities under different precipitation 381 seasons, types of cultivated crops, livestock and poultry activities, selection and regulation of 382 fertilizer application, as well as different soil temperatures, humidity, and acidity and alkalinity 383 differed. According to Duan's study(Duan et al., 2023), the main sources of nitrate pollution in surface 384 water of the lower Wei River and groundwater in the river floodplain, alluvial plain and loess plateau 385 areas were livestock and poultry manure and domestic sewage (32.6%), animal manure and domestic 386 sewage (43.7 %), animal manure and domestic sewage (59.1 %), and atmospheric deposition (55.5

%) respectively. Nitrate from agricultural activities is transported to surface or groundwater systems 387 388 through, for example, soil leaching. Identification of the source of nitrate in soil filtrate under 389 conditions that limit microbial transformations revealed that 18% to 41% of nitrate originated from 390 rainfall, 38% to 57% from mineralization of soil organic matter in the rhizosphere of non-leguminous 391 plants, and 18% to 40% of nitrate originated from mineralization of soil organic matter in the 392 rhizosphere of leguminous plants(Oelmann et al., 2007). Using water chemistry methods and 393 environmental isotope techniques, the analysis of shallow groundwater of different land use types in 394 Wanzhou District, Chongqing shows that nitrate in shallow groundwater of arable land mainly comes 395 from chemical fertilizers (36.3%), sewage manure (35.4%) and soil organic nitrogen (24.7%), and 396 that the sources of nitrate in shallow groundwater of the study area are dominated by chemical fertilizers and sewage manure as a whole(Fan et al., 2023). In general, the sources of nitrate pollution 397 398 in the context of agricultural activities are closely related to the application of agricultural fertilizers, 399 the treatment of livestock and poultry manure, the discharge of rural sewage, and the organic 400 mineralization of soil.

401 *3.4. Human health risk assessment*

According to the EPA's health risk assessment standards, when $HI_{Total} < 1$, the non-carcinogenic 402 health risk of pollutants to human beings is acceptable, the pollutant does not cause significant 403 404 damage to humans; when $HI_{Total} > 1$, it indicates that the non-carcinogenic risk caused by the 405 pollutant has exceeded the acceptable level, and that the non-carcinogenic health risk increases with the increase of HI_{Total}, and there is a increasing trend(Feng et al., 2020). According to the calculation 406 407 results in 0(a), it can be seen that the non-carcinogenic health risk of nitrate contamination of 408 groundwater as a source of drinking water is not high. In the vicinity of GW and YW, monthly 409 samples of infants, children, adult males, and adult females meet the standard; only in TW, there is a 410 non-carcinogenic health risk of nitrate contamination for infants that exceeds the acceptable level, 411 which is 1.04 (May) and 1.12 (September) in 2023, respectively. The non-carcinogenic health risk 412 due to nitrate groundwater contamination in GW and TW is low for children, adult males, and adult

females, but cannot be ignored for newborns, and there is a high likelihood that this non-carcinogenic risk will continue to increase based on the trend of contaminant growth from year to year. And according to the conclusion of Jane et al (Lin et al., 2023), it is known that low and medium concentrations of nitrates in drinking water may increase the risk of preterm birth, some specific congenital malformations.

The carcinogenic risk CR value is in the range of $1 \times 10^{-6} \sim 1 \times 10^{-4}$, the risk of occurrence is at an acceptable level; if CR > 1×10^{-4} , the carcinogenic risk exceeds the maximum acceptable level (Jiang et al., 2017). According to Figure 7(b), the carcinogenic risk values of the study subjects were in the range of acceptable levels, with zero exceedance and very low risk values.

422 Although exceedances of the nitrate standard occurred in the GW and TW, the pollution level was low, the health risk evaluation value for the local residents was low, and the majority of the residents 423 424 were given a non-carcinogenic risk and a low level of carcinogenic risk, but for newborn babies, the low concentration of nitrate can still cause physiological adverse effects for this group. Combining 425 the land use and temporal node characteristics of the high-risk samples, TW is located in the lower 426 reaches of the Qingshui River Basin in Chongli District, where the land cover is dominated by 427 grasslands, and more clustered villages are distributed along the arable land and around the river, and 428 429 after a field visit, it was found that the ground surface is covered with a large area of agricultural land 430 dominated by large greenhouse cash crops, such as maize and colorful peppers, and that the roots of non-soybean crops play a stronger role in mineralization of nitrogen in the soil, the Rural domestic 431 432 sewage, greenhouse plastic film, agricultural inorganic synthetic wastes, and livestock manure play a 433 co-influence on the growth of local groundwater nitrate concentrations. The months when the risk value exceeded the standard coincided with the beginning and the end of the cultivation period. 434 435 Frequent agricultural activities and the wet and warm climate increased the nitrogen load in the soil 436 and accelerated the rate of recycling and seepage of nitrogen accumulated in the soil in the previous 437 period, which led to a sudden increase in the nitrate concentration in the groundwater.





441 **4. Discussion**

438

442 Contaminants generally enter the groundwater system through the soil. It is inferred that nitrate contamination is more influenced by rural human activities, livestock farming, and cultivation from 443 grasslands and nearby than from municipal domestic sewage and industrial water from more 444 445 urbanized built-up areas, and that the state of the soil will control nitrate inputs. Nitrate has relatively low pollution concentrations, and is characterized by non-overlap in time with traditionally indicated 446 sources such as: flood season and periods of high agricultural activity. The reason for this may be due 447 to the retention properties of the soil, which can trap pollutants from the surface, e.g. the 448 449 transformation of some organic nitrogen species in the soil requires the involvement of 450 microorganisms, however, the longer winters keep microbial activity depressed for a long period of 451 time. According to Llovet et al.(Margalef Marti et al., 2021)' s study of soil extracts after the 452 application of pig slurry, it is known that soil mineralization and migration of contaminants by 453 percolation to groundwater requires time for migration.

Combined with the results of the HHRA, the risk of disease from underground drinking water is higher for people living in non-urban areas. Under the current known conditions, the downstream drinking water in the main urban area has a pre-treatment process, but the nitrate removal efficiency does not reach the desired level, which is due to the adjustment of the national limit values for 458 drinking water and the time difference between the upgrading of the process in the water plant; the 459 upstream water source point pollution problem is more serious, and the groundwater as a source of 460 drinking water directly into the villagers' homes, there is no centralized treatment, and the use of 461 groundwater in the form of villages as a unit, it is It is difficult to manage it as a whole. The study 462 area suffers from unsupported urban water supply, lack of rural water supply facilities, and 463 unbalanced development of regional water supply. Rural areas should receive more attention as a 464 vulnerable area in terms of resource tilting and water pollution damage, and it is also a key part of the 465 problem for the region to build a sustainable city with a healthy balance of clean water sources and 466 water resource control.

467 **5.** Conclusions

The groundwater in TW and GW is more deeply affected by human activities than in YW, forming 468 Ca-HCO₃ type high salinity and low hardness water quality, and there is a significant correlation 469 between some of the water quality indexes; only the nitrate indexes exceeded the drinking water 470 functional limit in TW and GW, which is also the main pollution problem faced by the groundwater 471 472 of the whole region, and the nitrate concentration of the groundwater had a tendency to increase with the time scale. The cause of the change in nitrate concentration from the land use aspect can be 473 474 considered as the land use type with better soil permeability, low hardening of road surface and 475 frequent human agricultural activities such as grassland and nearby human rural activities have a 476 higher impact on the pollution compared to the urban built-up areas. Moreover, pollutants mainly 477 enter the groundwater system through the soil, and there is a certain delay in the outbreak of pollution concentration, and precipitation will dilute and purify the quality of groundwater. In the face of the 478 479 emergence of nitrate contamination of underground drinking water sources, only newborns in the 480 vicinity of TW will be threatened by the non-carcinogenic risk of nitrate, but due to the existence of 481 an increasing trend of nitrate concentration in the upstream water sources of the urban area, the non-482 carcinogenic health risk will correspondingly appear to be synchronously elevated.

483 Funding

484 This research was funded by THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT GUIDES LOCAL SCIENCE AND

485 TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT FUND PROJECTS ,246Z3612G.

486 **References**

- BENES, V., PĚKNý, V., SKOREPA, J.et al.(1989). Impact of diffuse nitrate pollution sources on
 groundwater quality--some examples from Czechoslovakia. *Environmental health perspectives*, 83, 5-24.
- 490 CASTELLAZZI, P., ARROYO-DOMÍNGUEZ, N., MARTEL, R.et al.(2016). Land subsidence in 491 major cities of Central Mexico: Interpreting InSAR-derived land subsidence mapping with 492 hydrogeological data. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and* 493 *Geoinformation*, 47, 102-111.
- 494 CHEN, H., LI, Y., GAO, Z.et al.(2023). Sources of nitrate in shallow groundwater and its response
 495 to heavy rainfall events in vegetable planting areas of northern Henan Province. Journal of
 496 Ecology and Rural Environment, 1-20.
- CHEN, S., WU, W., HU, K.et al.(2010). The effects of land use change and irrigation water resource
 on nitrate contamination in shallow groundwater at county scale. *Ecological Complexity*, 7,
 131-138.
- 500 CHEN, Y. 2020. Numerical Simulation of Groundwater in North Water Source (Water Source) of
 501 Zhangjiakou City. master, Hebei GEO University.
- 502 CHINA, M. O. E. E. O. T. P. S. R. O. 2019. Technical guidelines for soil pollution risk assessment
 503 of construction land. *National Environmental Protection Standards of China*.
- 504 DUAN, L., WU, Y., FAN, J.et al.(2023). Identification of nitrogen pollution sources and transport
 505 transformation processes in groundwater of different landforms using C, H, N, and O isotope
 506 techniques: an example from the lower Weihe River. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*, 30, 29442 507 29457.
- 508 EBDRUP, N. H., SCHULLEHNER, J., KNUDSEN, U. B.et al.(2022). Drinking water nitrate and
 509 risk of pregnancy loss: a nationwide cohort study. *Environ Health*, 21, 87.
- FAN, Z., WEI, X., ZHOU, Y.et al.(2023). Analysis of nitrate source and transformation process in
 shallow groundwater in typical mountainous agricultural area. *Research of Environmental Sciences* 36, 1946-1956.
- FENG, W., WANG, C., LEI, X.et al.(2020). Distribution of Nitrate Content in Groundwater and
 Evaluation of Potential Health Risks: A Case Study of Rural Areas in Northern China. Int J
 Environ Res Public Health, 17.
- GAN, L. 2023. Nitrate sources and health risks of groundwater in typical alluvial-proluvial fans in
 North China Plain. master, Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences.
- GAN, L., HUANG, G., PEI, L.et al.(2022). Distributions, origins, and health-risk assessment of
 nitrate in groundwater in typical alluvial-pluvial fans, North China Plain. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29, 17031-17048.
- GUTIÉRREZ, M., BIAGIONI, R. N., ALARCÓN-HERRERA, M. T.et al.(2018). An overview of
 nitrate sources and operating processes in arid and semiarid aquifer systems. *Science of The Total Environment*, 624, 1513-1522.
- HAN, C., GAO, Z., LIU, J.et al.(2021). Groundwater chemical characteristics and health risk
 assessment for nitrate in Tancheng area. *Earth and Environment*.
- HU, K., HUANG, Y., LI, H.et al.(2005). Spatial variability of shallow groundwater level, electrical
 conductivity and nitrate concentration, and risk assessment of nitrate contamination in North
 China Plain. *Environment International*, **31**, 896-903.
- HUGHES, S. E. & MARION, J. W.(2021). Cyanobacteria Growth in Nitrogen- & Phosphorus-Spiked
 Water from a Hypereutrophic Reservoir in Kentucky, USA. *Journal of Environmental Protection*, 12, 75-89.

- 532 IGRAC. 2018. The Netherlands: International Groundwater Resources Assessment Centre.
 533 Available: <u>https://www.un-</u>
- 534 <u>igrac.org/sites/default/files/resources/files/Groundwater%20overview%20-</u>
- 535 <u>%20Making%20the%20invisible%20visible_Print.pdf</u> [Accessed 24 July 2018].
- INSTITUTE OF SPORTS SCIENCE, G. A. O. S. O. C.(2022). The National Physical Fitness
 Monitoring Center issued the Fifth National Physical Fitness Monitoring Bulletin [Online].
 Available: <u>https://www.sport.gov.cn/n315/n329/c24335066/content.html</u> [Accessed June 6
 2022].
- JASECHKO, S. & PERRONE, D.(2021). Global groundwater wells at risk of running dry. *Science*,
 372, 418-421.
- JIANG, Y., CHAO, S., LIU, J.et al.(2017). Source apportionment and health risk assessment of heavy
 metals in soil for a township in Jiangsu Province, China. *Chemosphere*, 168, 1658-1668.
- KUMAR, S., RAMESH, R., BHOSLE, N. B.et al.(2004). Natural isotopic composition of nitrogen
 in suspended particulate matter in the Bay of Bengal. *Biogeosciences*, 1, 63-70.
- LIN, L., ST CLAIR, S., GAMBLE, G. D.et al.(2023). Nitrate contamination in drinking water and
 adverse reproductive and birth outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 13, 563.
- LIU, J., PENG, Y., LI, C.et al.(2021). Characterization of the hydrochemistry of water resources of
 the Weibei Plain, Northern China, as well as an assessment of the risk of high groundwater
 nitrate levels to human health. *Environmental Pollution*, 268, 115947.
- MCLAY, C. D. A., DRAGTEN, R., SPARLING, G.et al.(2001). Predicting groundwater nitrate
 concentrations in a region of mixed agricultural land use: a comparison of three approaches.
 Environmental Pollution, 115, 191-204.
- 555 MONOSSON, R. T. D. G. E. 1996. Interconnections Between Human and Ecosystem Health.
- NIE, Z. 2016. Source Apportionment of Nitrate Nitrogen and Fluorescent Dissolved Organic Matter
 in Typical Agricultural Non-point Source Pollution Rivers. doctor, ZHEJIANG
 UNIVERSITY.
- OELMANN, Y., KREUTZIGER, Y., BOL, R.et al.(2007). Nitrate leaching in soil: Tracing the NO3–
 sources with the help of stable N and O isotopes. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **39**, 3024 3033.
- PARDO, L. H., KENDALL, C., PETT-RIDGE, J.et al.(2004). Evaluating the source of streamwater
 nitrate using δ15N and δ18O in nitrate in two watersheds in New Hampshire, USA.
 Hydrological Processes.
- PHILLIPS, D. L. & KOCH, P. L.(2002). Incorporating concentration dependence in stable isotope
 mixing models. *Oecologia*, 130, 114-125.
- 567 PROJECT, T. G.(2023). *The Importance of Groundwater* [Online]. Ontario, Canada. Available:
 568 <u>https://gw-project.org/the-importance-of-groundwater/</u> [Accessed 2024].
- PROVINCE, W. R. D. O. H.(2023). Water resources bulletin of Hebei province in 2023 [Online].
 Available: <u>http://slt.hebei.gov.cn/resources/43/202406/1718703788651035929.pdf</u>
 [Accessed 2024].
- SEVERE, E., ERRIGO, I. M., PROTEAU, M.et al.(2023). Deep denitrification: Stream and
 groundwater biogeochemistry reveal contrasted but connected worlds above and below.
 Science of The Total Environment, 880, 163178.
- 575 USA.EPA.(1989). Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund Volume I Human Health Evaluation
 576 Manual(Part A) [Online]. Available: <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-</u>
 577 <u>09/documents/rags_a.pdf</u> [Accessed 2024].
- WU, J. & SUN, Z.(2016). Evaluation of Shallow Groundwater Contamination and Associated Human
 Health Risk in an Alluvial Plain Impacted by Agricultural and Industrial Activities, Mid-west
 China. *Exposure and Health*, 8, 311-329.
- XU, L., HAO, G., LI, S.et al.(2023). Prediction and sensitivity analysis of chlorophyll a based on a
 support vector machine regression algorithm. *Environ Monit Assess*, 195, 698.