Integrated Disaster Risk Management for Flood Detection on Remote Sensing Images using Deep Learning techniques
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GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT
ABSTRACT

Floods are one of the leading causes of damage, prompting mortality and substantial destruction to the structure and total economy of the affected nations. Remote sensing, satellite imagery, global positioning system, and geographic information system (GIS) are widely employed for flood identification to examine flood-related losses. Recently, accurate and automated flood detection models using remote sensing images have become effective for flood disaster management, risk manager, infrastructure planning, disaster rescue management, etc. Computer vision and deep learning (DL) models provide prompt and rapid flood detection in remote sensing images. In this aspect, this paper presents a multiverse optimization with a deep transfer learning-enabled flood detection (MVODTL-FD) technique for disaster risk management. In the proposed MVODTL-FD technique,
remote sensing images are investigated for the effectual detection of floods. To accomplish this, the presented MVODTL-FD technique applies a guided normal filter (GNF) based image preprocessing approach to eliminate the noise. In addition, the proposed MVODTL-FD technique uses a deep convolutional neural network-based Squeeze Net model for feature extraction, and the hyperparameter process is performed using the MVO algorithm. At last, the flood detection process is performed using support vector machine (SVM) classification. For establishing the improved version of the MVODTL-FD method, a wide-ranging experimental analysis is performed. The MVODTL-FD model is rated higher in the comparative analysis than other DL models.
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### 1. Introduction

Almost 80% of the world’s population is expected to live in urban regions, meaning one-third of the economic losses caused by natural disasters globally are caused by flood damage. Floods have accounted for 43% of all known disasters worldwide over the last 20 years. Flooding events are estimated to become more frequent and prevalent due to climate change, including extreme heavy precipitation events, quick spring snow melt, and severe storm surges. Jean R. et al., (2020) described the flooding has a variety of negative consequences such as the loss of human life, risks of road closures, erosion and landslides, threats to aquatic species, and water contamination posing health problems. This unprecedented gathering of people and infrastructure was shifting the emphasis of disaster risk management (DRM) research work toward cities. Santhanaraj R.K. et al., (2023) explained the ability of a system to recover, resist, absorb, and accommodate the impacts of a hazard in an efficient and timely manner is called resilience, and it is becoming crucial to reduce disaster risk. Li X. et al., (2020) was developed, the resilience even includes postevent processes that permit
communities to learn, reorganize, and change responding to an event. Later, improving the resilience of the community to natural hazards indicates enhancing its ability to anticipate menaces, reducing its complete vulnerability, and allowing the community to recovering from adverse effects when they occur.

Tanim A.H et al., (2022) implements the Remote sensing (RS) rapid tool to monitor larger areas and is vital for gaining geospatial data, which creates the base for risk management and assessment. Jain P. et al., (2020) elaborate the broadly utilized for several facets of the DRM, extending from vulnerability to rapid damage valuations, for various areas ranging from coastal environments to complicated urban settings, and for disasters as different as cyclones or landslides. Duhayyim M.A et al. (2023) developed the RS to provide information regarding the forthcoming disaster and event obstacles, along with an extraction of the flood region’s features. Munawar H.S. (2022) et al. execute the new disaster management becomes a multi-faceted and strategic process for response, mitigation, recovery, and preparedness for protecting the critical intrastate and vulnerable communities in several disasters. However, every disaster was connected to human beings coping with their significance. Chawan A.C. et al. (2020) defined failure and success relying on the implementation and planning of effectual disaster management observers. Tamilvizhi T. et al., (2022) implementing the disaster management deep learning (DL) becomes a significant force multiplier with the capability of protecting property and people in the disaster of tragedy and is surely the future of disaster management. AI can forecast floods before events arise. Compared to standard approaches, AI uses real-time observed data to forecast flooding and can prevent 30 to 50% of damage because flood warnings are broadcast in milliseconds. To accurately predict flooding, AI uses two main models: a
hydrology model to estimate river levels of water and an invasion model to prevent property damage and fatalities.

Shehata M. et al., (2021) develop, the disaster response planning can be suggestively influenced by ecology, morphology, weather conditions, the machinery’s available resources, and other factors. It can be suggested to utilize operations research and management science criteria for enhancing resilience in emergency relief whereas concerning the effect of relief resource allotment over the population. Rambour C., et al., (2020) showed numerous studies assessing the use of DL. The crisis response condition in other nations differed from India. Thus, there comes a necessity to prioritize and find the data required to compel crises in natural disasters. The right way to reduce the effect of catastrophe is readiness, vulnerability, prevention, and resilience in disaster management. Shafique A et al., (2022) explained that the AI and geographic information systems (GIS) were essential tools utilized by several researchers for plotting the spatial distribution of flood hazards and vulnerability to flooding. The GIS serves as a facilitator that manages, inputs, incorporates, delivers, and stores spatial information for real-time decision-making and strategic planning for effective and timely hazard readiness and flood disaster management.

This paper presents a multiverse optimization with a deep transfer learning-enabled flood detection (MVODTL-FD) technique for disaster risk management. The demonstrated MVODTL-FD technique applies a guided normal filter (GNF) based image preprocessing approach to eliminate the noise. In addition, the demonstrated MVODTL-FD technique uses a deep convolutional neural network-based Squeeze Net model for feature extraction. For demonstrating the improved version of the MVODTL-FD model, an extensive experimental analysis is carried out. The comparison analysis noted that the MVODTL-FD model outperformed other DL models. The gap in the current work is the overall
accuracy and the process results must be improved. The image quality using datasets is not satisfactory and compared to the MVODTL-FD approach, which employs a deep convolutional neural network-based Squeeze Net model. The Objectives of the proposed work,

- To develop a new MVODTL-FD technique for the flood detection process through remote sensing images.
- To eliminate the noise through GNF based image pre-processing approach.
- To compare the study report of MVODTL-FD model over other models.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows, Section 2 analysis the related works involved in Flood Detection techniques. Section 3 describes the Proposed MVODTL-FD technique. Section 4 then analyses the Results and discussion, including a performance comparison with alternative methodologies. Finally, Section 5 concludes the critical results of the proposed research.

2. Related Works

Surendran R. et al., (2023) suggested today’s AI systems and geospatial technology were advanced and can be effective in crises. Khan A. A. et al. (2022) address these problems by utilizing an innovative CNN-related structure. The presented network includes either spatial or spectral data that compiles two subnetworks, which are spectral-CNN and spatial-CNN. Furthermore, a multiscale spatial CNN structure was proposed using various kernels to make the features more robust. Li W. et al. (2019) inspected a DL-related classification technique for RSI, mainly for high spatial resolution remote sensing (HSRRS) images having multiscene classes and various changes. To be specific, the author concerns with the 4 DNNs, which are CNN and CapsNet, similar methods with diverse training rounding related to CNN (SMDTR-CNN), and the same approach with various trained rounding
related to CapsNet (SMDTR-CapsNet) to help develop the respective classification techniques in urban built-up areas. Munawar H.S., et al. (2021) introduce a new approach by combining ML and image processing for detecting flood-affected regions through a set of images. The three-step method offered in this work depends on landmark detection from pictures, training of an ML technique, and categorizing images from an area into non-flooded and flooded. Bhadra T. et al., (2020) devised flood detection in the real world by using SAR data and multispectral images utilizing DL method and CNN. CNN has revealed outstanding performance in image-based tasks such as feature extraction, classification, and segmentation. Currently, the DL methods are utilized widely in RSI because of their high resolution and the former’s broad computational capability. Hashemi-Beni L et al., (2021) solved the problem by presenting a combined CNN and region growing (RG) technique for mapping underneath vegetation and visible flooded areas. The CNN-related classification can be utilized for extracting flooded regions through optical images. At the same time, the RG technique can be enforced for predicting the extent of floods underneath vegetation that are invisible from images using the digital elevation method. Katiyar V. et al. (2021) utilized this dataset for improving flood detection with the help of segmentation structures, namely, UNet and SegNet networks. Moreover, this study offered a deep understanding of which group of polarized band combinations was appropriate for distinguishing permanent water along with flooded regions from the SAR imagery. The complete performance of the method with a variety of bands and various types of labels for detecting all surface water areas is measured. Ahmad K et al. (2019) solved the issue of flood aftermath detection and flood classification related to both satellite images and social media. But still, automatic recognition of disasters like floods becomes complicated. The concentration is on recognizing roads or passable routes during floods. The studies were differentiating and detecting non-
passable and good roads using imagery from 2 complementary information sources and catching images offering evidence for road possibility. As an initial challenge, the author mostly depends on the object and scene-level features derived by many deep methods pretrained on ImageNet and Place data.

Li J. et al. (2022) devised a novel, precise water-extracting structure related to NDWI of multispectral images and unsupervised DL. Binarized NDWI imagery is utilized for identifying influential water bodies, and DL training can be executed by these labels and pseudo samples. This procedure will realize the change from unlabeled to noisy label learning. Firstly, the authors devised a simple and fast binarization method for segmenting several natural water bodies in NDWI imagery. Next, the group of water confidence valuation rules has been built from the four aspects of the range, shape spectrum, and aggregation. Surendran R. et al., (2023) calculate the hyperparameter tuning process is performed using the MVO algorithm. At last, the flood detection process is completed by utilizing of support vector machine (SVM) technique.

3. The Proposed Model

In this research work, a proposed MVODTL-FD system was established for the flood detection process. In the proposed MVODTL-FD technique, remote sensing images are investigated for the effectual detection of floods. To accomplish this, the proposed MVODTL-FD technique utilized GNF based image preprocessing approach to get rid of the noise. In addition, the proposed MVODTL-FD technique uses a deep convolutional neural network-based SqueezeNet model for feature extraction, and the hyperparameter tuning process is performed using the MVO algorithm. At last, the flood detection process is performed using the SVM classifier. Fig. 1 demonstrates the block diagram of the MVODTL-FD algorithm.
3.1. Image Pre-processing

Firstly, the presented MVODTL-FD technique utilized GNF based image preprocessing approach to eliminate the noise. The GNF approach is an adapted one-stage algorithm. The procedure through which the noise removal process employs the GNF model is denoted by $(\text{update normal } + (\text{update vertices})^\text{viter}^\text{iter})$, and it is evaluated using the following.

$$\bar{n}_i = \frac{1}{K_i} \times \sum_{f \neq \Omega_i} A_i W_C(\|c(f_i) - c(f_j)\|, \sigma_C) W_S(\|g_i - g_j\|, \sigma_S)n_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

In Eq. (1), $g_i$ indicates a guided normal vector of $f_i$ and is intended to be vital for noise. The chosen patch has the average direction, and the selective patch was utilized as the normal guidance $g_i$ for the face $f_i$. The GNF preserving feature is superior to BNF since $g_i$ is vital for the noise than $n_i$. Remarkably, $\Omega_i$ is employed in GNF while facing in $\Phi_i$ is assumed in BNF.

3.2. Feature Extraction
The SqueezeNet model, based on deep convolutional neural networks, is used by the reported MVODTL-FD technique to extract features. While requiring fifty times as many variables as AlexNet, SqueezeNet is a convolutional network that performs better derived by Shaiba H. (2022). Among the 15 layers that make up SqueezeNet are one global average pooling layer, one softmax output layer, two convolution layers, three max-pooling levels, and eight fire layers. The training parameter is selected and fed into a pretrained SqueezeNet model, which learns from the adapted data. It was implemented by Mirjalili S (2016). The DCNN SqueezeNet contains eighteen layers. The SqueezeNet is a design which guarantees better efficacy while handling a constrained amount of network parameters. SqueezeNet initiates with a single convolutional layer (conv1), the following eight Fire modules (fire2–9), and the last another convolutional layer. Afterward, in conv10, fire8, fire4, and conv1 layers, SqueezeNet implements max-pooling with a stride of 2. The accessible field of filter size can be denoted as K × K notation in SqueezeNet design, while the feature map length is signified as l and the stride size is signified as s. The RGB channels and 227×227 size of the network input are present. The image is then used to specialise in information using convolution and max pooling. Zhang X et al. (2021) used 3×3 kernels, the convolutional layer connecting the input volume's weight and constraint regions. The actual part of each convolutional layer's argument is carried out through element-by-element activation. Fire layers with squeeze and extended stages are used by SqueezeNet in between the convolution layers. Equal input and output tensor scales apply to the fire. 1×1 filters are used during the squeeze phase, while 3×3 and 1×1 filters are used during the expansion phase. First, the input tensors H, W, and C are squeezed with C/4 of the input tensor channels' worth of convolutions. The data moves to extension in the first step, where its depth is raised to C/2 of the resulting tensor depth. The ReLu units support the squeezing and expanding processes. The devised
approach increases the depth while maintaining the same feature size as the squeeze scheme reduces it. The expanded results are then stacked in the input tensor's depth dimension using the concatenated method similar to Akshaya, K. G et al. (2023).

In this study, the hyperparameter tuning process is performed using the MVO algorithm, Karthikeyan B. et al. (2023) developed the MVO as a nature-based model. This process is the primary motivation for the proposed concept of multiverse in astrophysics. According to the MVO, the extended bang procedure has different universes, whereas worm, white, and black holes interconnect those universes. The researchers claimed that the matter of the MVO moves in a universe to others through black or white holes such that white and black holes emit and attract correspondingly. Wormholes interconnect two sides of the universe. The key terms of these theories are given in the following: every universe is a solution, whereas all solutions are encompassed by the sequence of generations, iterations, or objects utilized to determine the time, and the inflation rate is used to determine the value of all things in a specific universe. In such theories, the solution is equivalent to a galaxy with different wormholes, or white spots or black holes. For improving the values of objects, white holes are considered highly possible in a specific solution that shows the highest value. At the same time, black holes are highly potentially designed in objects with the worst deal that creates the communication of importance of the variable with a satisfactory solution. This improves the probability of weaker solutions that are sequentially transformed into a better mean value of each key.

\[
x_i' = \begin{cases} 
  x_k' r_1 < NI(U_i) \\
  x_i' r_1 \geq NI(U_i)
\end{cases}
\]

(2)

In Eq. (2), \( X_i^j \) characterizes the \( j \)th object of the \( i \)th universe, \( r_1 \) shows the random value in a predetermined spectrum range within \([0,1]\), \( NI(U_i) \) as equivalent to the normalizing inflation rate of the \( i \)th universe, and \( X_k^j \) characterizes the \( j \)th objects of the \( k \)th universe.
\[ x'_i = \begin{cases} 
 x_j + TDR \times ((Ub_b - Lb_b) \times r_4 \times Lb_b) \times r_3 < 0.5 | r_2 < WEP \\
 x_j - TDR \times ((Ub_b - Lb_b) \times r_4 \times Lb_b) \times r_3 \geq 0.5 | r_2 < WEP \\
 x'_i \times r_2 \geq WEP
\] (3)

In Eq. (3), \( X_j \) indicates the \( jth \) centroid of the finest universe, UB signifies the upper bound, LB equals the Wormhole Existence Probability (WEP), minimal determined, and Traveling Distance Rate (TDR) are coefficient, \( r_2, r_3 \), and \( r_4 \) represents random numbers within zero and one.

As well, the process of MVO signifies the best solution to apply and optimize to impact other solutions. During this study, the author claimed that wormholes are established in each universe. Then, it improves the probability of having access to the best solution, and maintaining the best solution is accomplished by the optimized approach. The precondition of resolving the aforementioned equation above is replacing the parameters among different solutions. It is notable that if the equation is employed in the same pattern (exploitative or exploratory) yield the same outcomes. The MVO comprises the subsequent process to suitably focus on different ways in the optimization pattern in Eq. (4).

\[ WEP = \text{Min} + \text{Iteration} \times \left( \frac{\text{Max} - \text{Min}}{L} \right) \] (4)

\[ TDR = 1 - \frac{\text{Iteration}^{1/p}}{L^{1/p}} \] (5)

From Eq. (5), \( p \) indicates the exploitation components. Two kinds of adaptive parameters are presented in MVO: TDR and WEP. To increase the accuracy of exploitation or local in defining the optimal solution, TDR must be improved in different iterations. WEP rises according to the frequency of iteration to improve exploitation. Therefore, MVO is regarded as a revolutionary model for exchanging matters. It results in an unexpected change in the universe, which enhances the exploration and keeps the universe’s diversity when implementing the iteration. Afterward, recognizing the better universe, all universes take a sequence of parameters in random methodology. This technique shows the mutation, a revolutionary model. Sequentially, the mutation operation leads to small alternations
for better solutions and exploitation. Elitism is an extreme operator used for maintaining a better solution accomplished by an optimization technique. The elitism accomplishes by discovering a better universe.

A fitness function (FF) is enhanced using the MVO system to achieve better classifier results. It specifies a positive number to represent the candidate outcomes that performed the best. In this instance, it is assumed that FF is given Eq in order to minimize the classifier error rate (6).

\[

\text{fitness}(x_i) = \text{ClassifierErrorRate}(x_i) = \frac{\text{number of misclassified samples}}{\text{Total number of samples}} \times 100
\]

(6)

3.3. Flood detection using SVM

Finally, the flood detection process is performed using the SVM classifier. A multiclass SVM was utilized as the classification mechanism. SVM functions on the standard of disaster risk management. It is better suitable for classification tasks once the sampling size is not that big. The main idea is to discover the optimum hyperplane that distinguishes the trained dataset into two double categories by increasing the margin. In a multiclass classifier problem, the dataset except the targeted one is integrated for creating dual classifier situations. Fig. 2 depicts the hyperplane of SVM. The dataset point positioned nearby the imaginary line is called a support vector.
Figure 2. Hyperplane SVM

For the provided set of information \((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2), \ldots, (u_n, v_n)\), \(u_i \in \mathbb{R}^n\) are taken into account as input, whereby \(u\) and \(v\) represent corresponding data points of support vector, and \(v_i \in (-1, +1)\) indicates the output for every \(u_i\). It is expressed in Eq. (7).

\[(w \cdot u) + b = 0, \tag{7}\]

Eq. (8), \(w\) indicates a vector with dimension \(N\), and \(b\) shows a scalar quantity. In general form, the equation of hyperplane for \(v_i = 1\) and \(v_i = -1\) is indicated below Eq. (9).

\[
\begin{align*}
(w \cdot u_i) + b & \geq 1, \quad \text{(8)} \\
(w \cdot u_i) + b & \leq 1. \quad \text{(9)}
\end{align*}
\]

By a positive margin,

\[
(w^T \cdot u_2) + b = +1. \tag{10}
\]

For negative margin,

\[
(w^T \cdot u_1) + b = -1. \tag{11}
\]

By deducting Eq. (11) from Eq. (10), obtain the maximal margin by Eq. (12).

\[
w^T (u_2 - u_1) = 2, \tag{12}
\]

\[
\frac{w^T}{\|w\|} (u_2 - u_1) = \frac{2}{\|w\|}. \tag{13}
\]

This is the enhanced function that should be increased in Eq. (13).

Error optimization: For novel testing dataset \(v_i\), one gets

\[
v_i * (w^T \cdot u_i) + b_i \geq 1. \tag{14}\]

Once the abovementioned condition is not fulfilled in Eq. (14), it denotes a case of misclassification. In such cases, it is necessary to add the error term for calculating \(w\) and \(b\).

\[
(w^*, b^*) = \min \|w\|^2 + C_i \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i. \tag{15}
\]

In Eq. (15), \(C\) indicates the error penalty, and \(\varepsilon\) denotes the slack parameter.

4. Results and Discussion
The flood detection results of the MVODTL-FD model are tested using a dataset comprising 400 samples. A few sample images are portrayed in Fig. 3.

![Sample images](image)

**Figure 3.** Sample images

The flood detection results of the MVODTL-FD model are represented in the form of a confusion matrix in Fig. 4. On run-1, the MVODTL-FD model has categorized 190 samples into flooded classes and 195 pieces into non-flooded types. Concurrently, in run-2, the MVODTL-FD approach has categorized 184 pieces into flooded types and 195 samples into non-flooded classes. Simultaneously, in run-3, the MVODTL-FD technique categorized 188 pieces into flooded classes and 196 pieces into non-flooded types. Finally, in run-4, the MVODTL-FD approach categorized 189 pieces into flooded type and 198 pieces into non-flooded type.
Figure 4. Confusion matrices of MVODTL-FD approach (a) Run1, (b) Run2, (c) Run3, (d) Run4, and (e) Run5

Table 1 provides the overall flood detection results of the MVODTL-FD model under five distinct runs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F-Score</th>
<th>G-Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Run-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flooded</td>
<td>96.25</td>
<td>97.44</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td>96.20</td>
<td>96.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Flooded</td>
<td>96.25</td>
<td>95.12</td>
<td>97.50</td>
<td>96.30</td>
<td>96.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>96.25</td>
<td>96.28</td>
<td>96.25</td>
<td>96.25</td>
<td>96.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flooded</td>
<td>94.75</td>
<td>97.35</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>94.60</td>
<td>94.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Flooded</td>
<td>94.75</td>
<td>92.42</td>
<td>97.50</td>
<td>94.89</td>
<td>94.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>94.75</td>
<td>94.89</td>
<td>94.75</td>
<td>94.75</td>
<td>94.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flooded</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>97.92</td>
<td>94.00</td>
<td>95.92</td>
<td>95.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 5 demonstrates the classification results of the MVODTL-FD model under run-1. The MVODTL-FD model has identified flooded samples with $acc_y$ of 96.25%, $prec_n$ of 97.44%, $rec_l$ of 95%, $F_{score}$ of 96.20%, and $G_{mean}$ of 96.24%. Besides, the MVODTL-FD model has identified non-flooded samples with $acc_y$ of 96.25%, $prec_n$ of 95.12%, $rec_l$ of 97.50%, $F_{score}$ of 96.30%, and $G_{mean}$ of 96.24%. Moreover, the MVODTL-FD model has attained an average $acc_y$ of 96.25%, $prec_n$ of 96.28%, $rec_l$ of 96.25%, $F_{score}$ of 96.25%, and $G_{mean}$ of 96.24%.

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5.** Result analysis of MVODTL-FD approach under run-1.

Fig. 6 establishes the classification results of the MVODTL-FD technique under run-2. The MVODTL-FD algorithm has identified flooded samples with $acc_y$ of 94.75%, $prec_n$ of 97.35%, $rec_l$ of 92%, $F_{score}$ of 94.60%, and $G_{mean}$ of 94.71%. Moreover, the MVODTL-FD method has identified non-flooded samples with $acc_y$ of 94.75%, $prec_n$ of 92.42%, $rec_l$ of 97.50%,...
$F_{\text{score}}$ of 94.89%, and $G_{\text{mean}}$ of 94.71%. The MVODTL-FD approach has gained an average $acc_y$ of 94.75%, $pre_{c_n}$ of 94.89%, $reca_l$ of 94.75%, $F_{\text{score}}$ of 94.75%, and $G_{\text{mean}}$ of 94.71%.

**Figure 6.** Result analysis of MVODTL-FD approach under run2

Fig. 7 illustrates the classification results of the MVODTL-FD method under run-3. The MVODTL-FD technique has identified flooded samples with $acc_y$ of 96%, $pre_{c_n}$ of 97.92%, $reca_l$ of 94%, $F_{\text{score}}$ of 95.92%, and $G_{\text{mean}}$ of 95.98%. As well, the MVODTL-FD algorithm has identified non-flooded samples with $acc_y$ of 96%, $pre_{c_n}$ of 94.23%, $reca_l$ of 98%, $F_{\text{score}}$ of 96.08%, and $G_{\text{mean}}$ of 95.98%. Likewise, the MVODTL-FD method has gained an average $acc_y$ of 96%, $pre_{c_n}$ of 96.07%, $reca_l$ of 96%, $F_{\text{score}}$ of 96%, and $G_{\text{mean}}$ of 95.98%.
Fig. 8 reveals the classification results of the MVODTL-FD method under run-4. The MVODTL-FD approach has identified flooded samples with $\text{acc}_y$ of 96.75%, $\text{prec}_n$ of 98.95%, $\text{reca}_t$ of 94.50%, $F_{\text{score}}$ of 96.68%, and $G_{\text{mean}}$ of 96.72%. In addition, the MVODTL-FD method has identified non-flooded samples with $\text{acc}_y$ of 96.75%, $\text{prec}_n$ of 94.74%, $\text{reca}_t$ of 99%, $F_{\text{score}}$ of 96.82%, and $G_{\text{mean}}$ of 96.72%. Additionally, the MVODTL-FD approach has reached an average $\text{acc}_y$ of 96.75%, $\text{prec}_n$ of 96.84%, $\text{reca}_t$ of 96.75%, $F_{\text{score}}$ of 96.75%, and $G_{\text{mean}}$ of 96.72%.

Figure 7. Result analysis of MVODTL-FD approach under run3

Figure 8. Result analysis of MVODTL-FD approach under run4
Fig. 9 portrays the classification results of the MVODTL-FD method under run-5. The MVODTL-FD approach has identified flooded samples with $acc_y$ of 96.50%, $prec_n$ of 97.94%, $rec_a$ of 95%, $F_{score}$ of 96.45%, and $G_{mean}$ of 96.49%. Moreover, the MVODTL-FD algorithm has identified non-flooded samples with $acc_y$ of 96.50%, $prec_n$ of 95.15%, $rec_a$ of 98%, $F_{score}$ of 96.55%, and $G_{mean}$ of 96.49%. In addition, the MVODTL-FD method has reached an average $acc_y$ of 96.50%, $prec_n$ of 96.54%, $rec_a$ of 96.50%, $F_{score}$ of 96.50%, and $G_{mean}$ of 96.49%.

![Figure 9. Result analysis of MVODTL-FD approach under run5](image)

The training accuracy (TRA) and validation accuracy (VLA) acquired by the MVODTL-FD approach under the test dataset is exemplified in Fig. 10. The experimental outcome implicit MVODTL-FD algorithm has gained maximal values of TRA and VLA. Seemingly, the VLA is greater than TRA.
The training loss (TRL) and validation loss (VLL) obtained by the MVODTL-FD technique in the test dataset are displayed in Fig. 11. The experimental outcome denotes the MVODTL-FD approach has exhibited the least values of TRL and VLL. Specifically, the VLL is lesser than TRL.

A transparent precision-recall investigation of the MVODTL-FD approach in the test dataset is described in Fig. 12. The figure denoted the MVODTL-FD algorithm has resulted in enhanced precision recall values under all classes.
A brief ROC study of the MVODTL-FD approach under the test dataset is portrayed in Fig. 13. The results denoted the MVODTL-FD algorithm has displayed its capability in classifying different class labels in the test dataset.

Finally, a detailed comparative $acc_y$ examination of the MVODTL-FD model with recent models is made in Fig. 14. The experimental values infer that the SMVD-CNN, RF, AHP, and SVM models have reached lower $acc_y$ values of 84.55%, 86.94%, 84.47%, and 85.43% respectively. The CNN-LE model has obtained a slightly improved $acc_y$ of 90.47%. Then, the DNN model reached a reasonable $acc_y$ of 91.55%.

However, the MVODTL-FD model has shown enhanced performance with a maximum $acc_y$ of 96.75%. These results ensured the superior flood detection performance of the MVODTL-FD model.

5. Conclusion

In this study, a new MVODTL-FD technique was developed for the flood detection process. In the demonstrated MVODTL-FD technique, remote sensing images are investigated for the effectual
detection of floods. To accomplish this, the demonstrated MVODTL-FD technique utilized GNF based image preprocessing approach to eliminate the noise. In addition, the proposed MVODTL-FD technique uses a deep convolutional neural network-based Squeeze Net model for feature extraction, and the hyperparameter tuning process is performed using the MVO algorithm. At last, the flood detection process is performed using the SVM classifier. To demonstrate the enhanced version of the MVODTL-FD model, a wide-ranging experimental analysis is performed. The comparison study reported the better version of the MVODTL-FD model over other DL models. In the future, the performance of the MVODTL-FD model was extended to utilize hybrid DL models for enhanced detection efficiency at real-time.
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