

Optimization approach to evaluate the solar, UV and LED visible light Fenton processes for pollutant degradation in landfill leachate

Mohan M.¹, and Arulmathi P.^{2*}

¹Department of Civil Engineering, PSNA College of Engineering and Technology, Dindigul- 624 622, Tamil Nadu, India

²Department of Civil Engineering, Anna University, University College of Engineering Dindigul, Reddiyarchatram, Dindigul - 624 622, Tamilnadu, India

Received: 21/09/2022, Accepted: 18/10/2022, Available online: 02/11/2022 *to whom all correspondence should be addressed: e-mail: arul.mathip@gmail.com https://doi.org/10.30955/gnj.004490

Graphical abstract



Abstract

In the current study, three different photo-assisted sources were used to evaluate the photo-Fenton oxidation process for the pollutant degradation of mature landfill leachate. Solar, UV, and LED visible light photo sources were adopted in this Fenton process. Fenton dosages (FeSO₄:H₂O₂) 1:30, 1.5:30, 2:30, and 2.5:30g/L were used in the investigation, which was conducted under a variety of pH settings (2 to 3.5) and at experimental reaction duration of 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes. To analyze and compare the efficiency of the solar, UV, and LED visible light photo Fenton processes for pollutant degradation, Taguchi experimental design orthogonal arrays (L16) was employed. To analyse the significance of this experimental design for landfill leachate treatment, Larger the Better was selected. The highest levels of pollutant degradation in the Solar Photo Fenton method was identified when compared to UV, and LED visible light photo Fenton processes. The maximum removal of 95% color, 83% COD, 89% TSS, 94% Cr, 86% Cd, and 93% Cu was achieved in Solar Photo Fenton process at pH-3, Fenton dosage 1.5:30 g/L and reaction period 60 minutes.

Key words: Landfill leachate, UV, LED visible light photo Fenton process, solar, Taguchi method.

1. Introduction

The production of wastewater was mostly a result of human activity in the earlier days, it was not considered as

a serious issue. However, as urbanisation and population grew, these wastewaters later had a significant negative impact on the environment (Giusti, 2009). The increase in trash output on both developed and developing countries caused serious environmental, economic, and social issues (Dharmarathne and Gunatilake, 2013). Solid wastes in India have emerged as the major environmental issue because of its high population density. The landfill leachates were regarded as a significant environmental concern since they have a major impact on soil, surface and ground water (Mohan and Gokul, 2022). Leachate wastewater is highly contaminated, foul-smelling, darkbrown colored liquids that are formed when rainwater seeps through landfill layers and when organic wastes decompose (Ghaffariraad and Ghanbarzadeh Lak, 2021). The environment and aquatic organisms are harmed by the different organic chemicals, heavy metals, ammonia nitrogen, soluble salts, BODs, CODs, suspended particles, etc. that are present in landfill leachate (Christensen et al., 2001). The extremely concentrated ammonia nitrogen concentration of leachates creates a variety of issues, including rapid eutrophication, algae growth, and dissolved oxygen depletion (Niza et al., 2021). Landfill age, kind of trash disposed of, percolation, climatic conditions, and other variables all influence the composition of the leachate. Based on their age leachate from landfills are divided into three categories: young (5 years), intermediate (5-10 years), and mature or stable leachates (>10 years) (Amor et al., 2015).

Treatment of leachate is necessary to control the ground water pollution, soil pollution and air pollution; however it is challenging because of leachate's intricate structure. The numerous available procedures for the treatment of landfill leachates include biological treatment methods, membrane processes, coagulation, flocculation, and AOP (Avsar *et al.*, 2007). Biological treatments were considered as an efficient method for treating young leachates because of its higher BOD₅/COD ratio. However, it was not preferred for treating mature leachate (Li *et al.*, 2017)

Mohan M. and Arulmathi P. (2023), Optimization approach to evaluate the solar, UV and LED visible light fenton processes for pollutant degradation in landfill leachate, *Global NEST Journal*, **25**(2), 26-35.

since it contains high molecular weight contaminants, higher concentration of N2 and other organic matter (Klein et al., 2017) which are not easily biodegradable. One of the efficient methods to treat mature leachates was the physicochemical treatment process. Among the various physicochemical processes, AOP method was most preferred since it was cost effective and has good removal efficiency. Biodegradability of mature leachate was improved by AOP (Deng and Englehardt, 2008). AOP uses Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) for the mineralization of organic contaminants (Ma et al., 2021). By using reactive chemicals species like hydroxyl radicals (OH⁻), the most recalcitrant molecules were degraded into bio-degradable compounds (Gogate and Pandit, 2004). The various technologies of AOP include photo catalytic oxidation, Fenton and Fenton-like oxidation, ozonation, electrochemical oxidation and SR-AOPs. In general, combined AOP technologies were preferred over individual AOP because it exhibits higher oxidation efficiency.

The ROS was efficiently generated by different AOP combinations that include TiO_2/UV , $H_2O_2/$ UV. UV/Fe²⁺/H₂O₂, UV/O₃, Fenton/ Photo Fenton, Fenton/ Electro Fenton and so on (Abdelhaleem and Chu, 2020; Rocha et al., 2011). Henry J. Fenton was discovered the Fenton process in 1894 (Vorontsov, 2019). One of the efficient technologies used in AOP is the Photo Fenton method. The Fenton technique was frequently enhanced by using a variety of photo sources. Based on the applied photo sources, the Photo Fenton process is classified as Solar photo Fenton, Visible light photo Fenton and UV light photo Fenton. Hydroxyl radicals are used as the main oxidizing agent in this process. The combination of ferrous ions and H_2O_2 forms the Fenton reagent. The Fenton's reagent always uses a catalyst for the reaction process. The effective removal of contaminants was achieved by combining high concentrated H₂O₂ and low concentrated ferrous ions. However, it cannot be directly applied to the contaminated surface since it kills the surface microorganisms (Hartmann et al., 2010). The regeneration of ferrous ions and exhibiting of more hydroxyl radicals in the Photo Fenton process Eq.(1) and (2) highly enhances the degradation of organic contaminants when compared to any other conventional Fenton methods (Primo et al., 2008 and Bautitz et al., 2007). To produce the hydroxyl radicals, Hydrogen peroxide was being decomposed by ferric ions under the presence of UV irradiation and under acidic conditions (Poblete and Perez 2020).

$$Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH^- + {}^{\bullet}OH$$
(1)

$$Fe^{3+} + H_2O + hv \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + {}^{\bullet}OH + H^+$$
(2)

In these reaction cycles complexes and hydroxides of iron ions were the major contributors. This reaction can be driven by visible and UV light because of the broad absorption bands of these complexes. Generally, Design of experiments (DOE) was used to examine the association of multiple input factors and key output responses. There are several methods available to analyze the design in DOE. The most commonly used methods are Response Surface Methodology and Taguchi OA method of analysis. The orthogonal array experimental optimisation design was put forwarded by Taguchi. In this design the effect of various factors on the performance characteristic were examined in a condensed set of experiments. The parameters which affect a process and the levels at which these factors should be fluctuated can be found by Taguchi method. Selection of the proper orthogonal array was the most important process in TOA methodology. It was selected by number of parameters and their levels (Fraley et al., 2006). Compared with other DOE methods, Taguchi was quite easy and convenient to use. Results obtained from Taguchi analysis closely match the actual values. Taguchi analysis was performed using many software's like design expert and Minitab. However, Minitab statistical software has many graphical outputs and user-friendly tools to analyze. Data transformation, analysis of variance, correspondence analysis, regression analysis, multivariate analysis of variance, analysis of covariance, factor analysis, neural network, correlation was also done by minitab software (Okagbue et al., 2021). The present study focusses on solar, UV, and LED visible light photo Fenton processes used to treat landfill leachate. It also compares and examines the pollutant degradation effects of each process by using Taguchi statistical experimental method in Minitab software (Version 21.1.0).

2. Material and methods

2.1. Materials

2.1.1. Raw leachate wastewater collection and chemicals used

The matured landfill leachate samples were obtained from a dumpsite in Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, at various time periods between the months of March and April at same point of source. In order to stop the material from decomposing, it was kept at 4°C. Every chemical utilised in analytical grade was acquired from Merck. Chemicals utilised in this study included diluted HCl (1.0 N), sodium chloride solution (0.1 N), Ferrous sulphate powder (FeSO₄·7H₂O=278.01), buffer solution, hydrogen peroxide (30% W/V), and NaOH (1.0 N). Treated supernatant wastewater samples were filter through Wattman filter paper No.40 and pollutant concentrations in leachate samples before and after treatment were determined, and the effectiveness of the three methods (Solar Photo Fenton, UV Photo Fenton and LED visible Fenton process) for removing pollutants was compared.

2.1.2. Analytical procedures

Physico-chemical characteristics of collected leachate sample were studied before and after treatment according to the guild lines of standard methods (APHA, 2013). Turbidity was measured using Portable turbidity meter (model: 331, make: Deep Vision) and values were recorded in nephelometric turbidity units (NTU). pH meter(model:111120306,make:Deep vision) used to measure and also adjust the pH value, UV-visible spectrophotometer(Model: DR6000, make: HACH, CO., USA) was used to calculate color removal, gravimetrical method to determine total solids, total suspended solids and total dissolved solids, Heavy metal concentration in before and after the treatment of leachate sample was studied using Atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) (model name – Shimadzu Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer AA6300;ROM version-1.03).

2.2. Experimental setup

2.2.1. Solar photo Fenton, UV photo Fenton and LED visible Fenton process

Solar photo Fenton study was carried out in the open sunlight at terrace of main building, University college of Engineering, Dindigul. The study was performed with 1000ml glass beaker with magnetic stirrer to achieve even mixing (Pignatello et al., 2006). 500ml wastewater sample was taken for each study. UV and visible light Fenton process carried out with artificial light sources of Super 395nm UV light (size of UV lamp - 3.5 cm × 8.5 cm) and Wipro LED B22 Bulb Base with 50 lumen coverage. Stable radiation was maintained in UV and LED visible light Fenton process at room temperature in laboratory. pH level was varied from 2 to 3.5, FeSO₄ concentration varied from 1 to 2.5 g/L while H₂O₂(30% W/V) concentration was maintained at 30 g/L, reaction times of 30, 60, 90, and 120 were chosen for this study. Based on the findings of the preliminary investigation, the control variable limitations were fixed. Bubbles rising during the advanced oxidation process served as indicators that the oxidation process had begun in a solution. Leachate wastewater sample was combined with prepared Fenton Solution, and then the sample was taken into open atmosphere to conduct solar Photo Fenton experiment. The UV and visible light photo Fenton processes were identical to the solar photo Fenton experiment, but artificial photo sources were used. In place of sunlight, Wipro LED B22 Bulb Base with 50 lumen coverage and Super 395nm UV light (3.5 cm x 8.5 cm in size) were utilised in the UV and LED Visible Light Fenton procedure. Using the following equation, the percentage elimination of the response variables Color, COD, TSS, Cr, Cd, and Cu was computed (3).

Prepared Fenton Solution was mixed with leachate wastewater sample, then the sample was taken out to open place to triggering the oxidation process. UV and visible light photo Fenton process were same as solar photo Fenton process, but UV radiation was artificially used. Super 395nm UV light (size of UV lamp – $3.5 \text{ cm} \times 8.5 \text{ cm}$) and Wipro LED B22 Bulb Base with 50 lumen coverage light sources were used in UV and LED Visible Light Fenton process as a replacement for sun light. The percentage removal of response variables Color, COD, TSS, Cr, Cd and Cu was calculated using the following Eq. (3).

$$R = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} \text{Initial Value of response variable} \\ - \text{Final value of response variable} \end{pmatrix}}{(\text{Initial value response variable})} \times 100$$
(3)

Where, R(%) - Removal efficiency in percentage.

Table 1. Operating factors and their levels

Factors	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
рН	2	2.5	3	3.5
Dosage(g/L) (FeSO ₄ :H ₂ O ₂)	1:30	1.5:30	2:30	2.5:30
Time (min)	30	60	90	120

2.2.2. Taguchi experimental design

The experiments were examined and optimized by Taguchi experimental design method. It was an orthogonal array design. It is an efficient method when compared to other design methodologies since it enables desired parameter optimization to be less than or equal to 90%. In this present study three different type of photo Fenton process (Solar, UV, and Visible light) were individually analyzed by Taguchi method. Control factors such as pH, dosage, Time and their levels were fixed in this Taguchi experimental design. It was furnished in Table 1. From the findings of the preliminary one-time classical investigation, each level of the control parameters was fixed.

2.2.3. Signal-to-Noise Ratio S/N

The S/N ratio clearly identifies uncontrollable variables or undesirable noise in the experimental input and output parameters. According to Minitab's S/N ratios there are three types of performance characteristics: Larger the better, smaller the better and nominal the better. To evaluate the experimental design for the treatment of leachate for all three experimental procedures, larger the better choice was selected from Eq(4).

$$S/N = -10 \times \log (\Sigma (1/Y^2)/n)$$
 (4)

Where Y = responses for the given factor level combination; n = number of responses in the factor level combination.

2.2.4. ANOVA

Analysis of variance (ANOVA), which is used to confirm the significance of the model, describes the importance of the experimental modal and provides extensive information on the most influencing factor for this Photo Fenton process. The findings of an ANOVA are widely applied to analyse the interaction behaviour among the control variables and responses. Each factor underwent a qualitative significance analysis using the F and P values. The design is considered significant if the probability value (p value) is less than or equal to 0.05. F value greater than Fcr value for each factor confirm that the adequacy of the modal. The total degrees of freedom (DF), Adjusted mean squares (adj MS) Adjusted sums of squares (adj SS), S value and R² value also can obtained from ANOVA; it is easy to predict the significance of the experimental design.

3. Result and discussion

3.1. Physio-chemical characteristics of matured landfill leachate wastewater

The obtained matured landfill wastewater samples had the following physio-chemical properties as follows- pH 8.5, dark brown color with high colloidal nature, foul odour, 562 mg/L of BOD₅, 960 NTU of turbidity, 2521 mg/L of COD, 5513 mg/L of Total solids, 4327 mg/L of Total dissolved solid, 1214 mg/L of Total suspended solids, the heavy metal concentration was 1.198 mg/L of chromium, 0.2 mg/L of cadmium, 0.77 mg/L of copper.

3.2. Comparative analysis of photo Fenton oxidation process: solar, UV and LED visible light photo

In this present investigation, the control factor levels of the pH, Fenton Dosage, and reaction time were chosen as the same values for solar, UV, and LED visible light photo Fenton experiment. All the three photo Fenton experiments showed the best pollutant reduction efficiency in pH 3. Fenton reaction was often quite active at pH 3 or lower. Solution in an acidic environment (2-4) promotes the formation of more OH ions, which results in a high rate of oxidation (Pignatello et al., 2006; Silva et al., 2015 and Kavitha et al., 2005). From the study, it was observed that Fenton process heavily depends on the rate of OH ions scavenged because when pH values greater than 3 demonstrated less pollutant degradation potential. Higher pH causes the reaction between ferric ions and H₂O₂ to produce more ferric hydroxo species, which reduces the effectiveness of pollutant degradation (Karale et al., 2014; Ipek Gulkaya et al., 2006 and Badawy et al., 2006). The pH is a significant factor in molar fraction of iron -inorganic, iron-organic and iron-water species (Silva, et al., 2015), so the effectiveness of pollutant removal was greatly influenced by pH.

In this solar, UV, and LED visible light Fenton investigation, the dosage concentration of FeSO₄ was adjusted from 1 to 2.5 g/L while 30 g/L of H_2O_2 dosage remained constant. Pollutant degradation effectiveness was excellent up to a dosage of 2 g/L, but as the dosage increased, iron precipitation formed, which slowed down the reaction. Higher concentration of FeSO₄ dosage induced the self hindrance of OH[.] radical which reduce the pollutant degradation efficiency, $OH^{\bullet} + Fe^{2+} \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH^{-}$ (Du and Qiu 2013), because both H₂O₂ and Fe²⁺ can serve as radical scavengers. Several researches believed that the ideal dosage of these two compounds was crucial to achieving high pollutant degradation efficiency (Tang and Tassos, 1997; Kochany and Lugowski, 1998). In the photo Fenton experiment H₂O₂ initiate superior effect in oxidizing organic complexes and producing of more oxygen ions in the presence of Fe²⁺ ion (Panizza and Cerisola, 2001). Fenton experiment is also activated at lower Fe²⁺ ion concentrations, but the removal is inefficient. The photo Fenton method is more preferable than classical Fenton method since it results in less iron sludge formation by decreasing the dosage of catalyst and Solar sources (Solar or UV) increase the utilization of H₂O₂ (Bandala *et al.*, 2009; Giri and Golder, 2014 and Xiao *et al.*, 2014).

There were four different time intervals used for the solar, UV, and LED visible light photo Fenton processes: 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes. Maximum results for the Solar Fenton procedure at 60 minutes of reaction time were 95% of color, 83% of COD, and 89% of TSS. At 120 minutes of reaction time, the UV and LED visible Fenton processes demonstrated maximum of color 93% and 90%, COD 79% and 81% and TSS 87% and 84% removal efficiencies respectively. In comparison to UV and LED visible Fenton processes, the solar Fenton process demonstrated good removal efficiency in a shorter reaction time. According to (Jyoti Katara and Reshma Patel 2018), the solar Fenton process outperformed the UV Fenton method in terms of pollutant degrading efficiency. Temperature and UV radiation intensity may be to causes for the pollutant's fast deterioration in the solar Fenton reaction. The study was done in the morning at 10:30 am - 12.30 pm, when the outside temperature varied from 34 to 36°C and the inside room temperature was between 31 and 33°C. High temperature boosts the effectiveness of pollutant degradation by increasing OH^{\bullet} production and H_2O_2 consumption (Zazo et al., 2011). The production of more hydroxyl radicals (OH[•]) by the ferrous ions and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) combination in the treatment method, high COD removal was achieved (Lucas et al., 2007; Gallard and De Laat 2000). During the Fenton process, hydroxyl radicals convert all the minerals in wastewater into CO₂, water, and inorganic compounds. The study was carried out on Fenton process for landfill leachate using two standard high-pressure mercury-vapor immersion lamps (100 W and 450 W) and a specially made 8 W 365 nm UVA-LED lamp (Tejera et al., 2021). With an 8 W 365 nm UVA-LED lamp, COD could be removed 90%, whereas high pressure mercury vapour immersion lamps demonstrated poor COD removal. UV radiation improves the usage of H₂O₂ concentration and speeds up the photolysis process on each small organic molecule, but the visible light photo Fenton process requires a long processing time and higher energy consumption. Using 14 W UV lamps at 1.1 g/L Fe ion and 5.5 g/L H₂O₂ concentration, 84.43% of COD and 92.54% of total PAHs were removed from landfill leachate wastewater (Singa et al., 2018). The three treatment methods virtually always used the ideal Fenton dose concentration. Optimum Fenton dosage concentration was almost common in the all three treatment method. In comparison to UV radiation from artificial sources, solar sources was higher in pollutant degradation because more active photons from high light wave length of solar induced high reaction rate in comparison to the other two artificial photo sources. In the current investigation, it was found that pH and UV radiation both had a significant impact on the pollutant degradation in landfill leachate wastewater in all three Fenton processes. In comparison to the standard Fenton method, the solar photo Fenton procedure demonstrated greater pollutant degrading efficiency (Vilar et al., 2012).

Run	pH (Level)	Dosage (Level)	Time (Level)	Color (%)	COD (%)	Total Suspended Solids (%)	Cr (%)	Cd (%)	Cu (%)
1	1	1	1	22	13	19	35	30	35
2	1	2	2	27	15	25	37	32	37
3	1	3	3	25	13	21	35	29	33
4	1	4	4	23	10	18	31	27	30
5	2	1	2	60	45	49	58	57	73
6	2	2	1	48	37	43	55	54	69
7	2	3	4	53	40	45	50	49	70
8	2	4	3	46	39	43	53	55	68
9	3	1	3	85	76	82	92	84	85
10	3	2	4	87	79	87	90	82	83
11	3	3	1	72	70	86	90	80	88
12	3	2	2	95	83	89	94	86	93
13	4	1	4	75	65	67	86	70	68
14	4	2	3	73	63	69	88	73	70
15	4	3	2	78	68	69	88	74	74

Table 2. Experimental results corresponding to L 16 design of solar photo Fenton process

Table 3. Experimental results corresponding to L 16 design of UV Photo Fenton process

		D	-			Total			
Run	рн (Level)	Dosage (Level)	(Level)	Color (%)	COD (%)	Suspended Solids (%)	Cr (%)	Cd (%)	Cu (%)
1	1	1	1	19	11	16	30	28	33
2	1	2	2	25	14	23	32	30	33
3	1	3	3	21	14	19	37	33	38
4	1	4	4	20	10	15	39	34	40
5	2	1	2	46	39	39	51	51	64
6	2	2	1	58	43	47	53	55	71
7	2	3	4	53	40	45	57	61	73
8	2	4	3	43	37	43	61	65	79
9	3	1	3	82	76	80	90	77	81
10	3	2	4	93	81	87	93	82	91
11	3	3	1	88	75	86	93	80	87
12	3	4	2	79	70	78	88	77	85
13	4	1	4	69	62	65	84	71	77
14	4	2	3	73	65	68	88	74	76
15	4	3	2	65	60	63	82	71	70
16	4	4	1	61	59	60	77	66	65

Table 4. Experimental results corresponding to L 16 design of LED Visible light Photo Fenton

Run	pH (level)	Dosage (level)	Time (level)	Color (%)	COD (%)	Total Suspended Solids (%)	Cr (%)	Cd (%)	Cu (%)
1	1	1	1	17	11	14	28	26	30
2	1	2	2	24	12	21	29	28	31
3	1	3	3	19	12	17	35	30	37
4	1	4	4	18	8	13	38	32	38
5	2	1	2	44	37	36	48	49	62
6	2	2	1	56	41	45	50	53	68
7	2	3	4	51	38	42	57	51	55
8	2	4	3	48	35	40	54	49	51
9	3	1	3	80	74	78	88	75	79
10	3	2	4	90	79	84	91	80	87
11	3	3	1	86	75	83	90	78	85
12	3	4	2	80	73	79	87	75	82
13	4	1	4	65	61	67	81	69	74
14	4	2	3	68	64	70	86	72	77
15	4	3	2	61	59	65	83	68	70
16	4	4	1	59	54	61	74	64	66

Table 5. ANOVA for SN ratios of Color removal by Solar, UV, and LED Visible light Photo Fenton process

Source OF Seq SS Adj SS Adj SS F P Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Color removal by solar photo Fenton												
Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Color removal by solar photo Fenton pH 3 835.506 835.506 31.835 460.7 0.000 Dosage 3 7.704 7.704 2.568 3.79 0.039 Time 3 2.831 2.831 0.944 1.39 0.033 Residual Error 6 6.061 0.677 Total 15 852.102 0.000 Dosage 3 9.4579 9.181.933 2.07.2 0.000 Dosage 3 9.459 9.459 3.153 3.59 0.036 Time 3 1.701 1.701 0.567 0.65 0.013 PH 3 307.833 307.833 69.2777 1303.20 0.000 Dosage 3 3.877 3.877 1.2924 24.31 0.001 Time 3 1.368 1.368 0.4559 8.58 0.014	Source	DF	Seq SS	Adj SS	Adj MS	F	Р					
pH 3 835.506 835.506 311.835 460.7 0.000 Dosage 3 7.704 7.704 2.568 3.79 0.039 Time 3 2.831 2.831 0.944 1.39 0.033 Residual Error 6 6.061 6.061 0.677 . 0.000 Total 15 852.102 . . 0.000 0.000 Dosage 3 9.459 9.459 3.153 3.59 0.036 Time 3 1.701 1.701 0.567 0.65 0.013 Residual Error 6 7.267 7.267 0.878 . . Total 15 364.226		<u>Analys</u>	is of Variance for SN	ratios of Color remo	oval by solar photo	<u>Fenton</u>						
Dosage 3 7.704 7.704 2.568 3.79 0.039 Time 3 2.831 2.831 0.944 1.39 0.033 Residual Error 6 6.061 6.067 . . . Total 15 852.102 0.000 Dosage 3 9.459 9.459 3.153 3.59 0.036 Time 3 1.701 0.567 0.65 0.013 Residual Error 6 7.267 7.267 0.878 . . Total 15 364.226 .	рН	3	835.506	835.506	311.835	460.7	0.000					
Time 3 2.831 2.831 0.944 1.39 0.033 Residual Error 6 6.061 6.061 0.677 Total 15 852.102	Dosage	3	7.704	7.704	2.568	3.79	0.039					
Residual Error 6 6.061 0.677 Total 15 \$52.102 Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Color removal by UV photo Fenton pH 3 345.799 345.799 181.933 207.2 0.000 Dosage 3 9.459 9.459 3.153 3.59 0.036 Time 3 1.701 1.0567 0.65 0.013 Residual Error 6 7.267 7.267 0.878 0.000 Dosage 3 307.833 307.833 69.2777 1303.20 0.000 Dosage 3 3.877 3.877 1.2924 24.31 0.001 Time 3 1.368 1.368 0.4559 8.58 0.014 Residual Error 6 0.319 0.0319 0.0532 Total 15 313.397 1.2924 24.31 0.001 Time 3 1.368 Adj MS F P Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of C removal by Solar Photo Fenton	Time	3	2.831	2.831	0.944	1.39	0.033					
Total 15 852.102 Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Color removal by UV photo Fenton 0.000 Dosage 3 9.459 9.459 3.153 3.59 0.036 Time 3 1.701 1.701 0.567 0.65 0.013 Residual Error 6 7.267 7.267 0.878 Total 15 364.226 PH 3 307.833 307.833 69.2777 1303.20 0.000 Dosage 3 3.877 3.877 1.2924 24.31 0.001 Dosage 3 3.368 0.4559 8.58 0.014 Residual Error 6 0.319 0.0532 0.052 Total 15 313.397 7 1.50 Adj SS Adj MS F P P 3 4.135 1.3783 1.44.6 0.000	Residual Error	6	6.061	6.061	0.677							
Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Color removal by UV photo Fenton pH 3 345.799 181.933 207.2 0.000 Dosage 3 9.459 9.459 3.153 3.59 0.036 Time 3 1.701 1.701 0.567 0.65 0.013 Residual Error 6 7.267 7.267 0.878 0.000 Total 15 364.226	Total	15	852.102									
pH 3 345.799 345.799 181.933 207.2 0.000 Dosage 3 9.459 3.153 3.59 0.036 Time 3 1.701 1.701 0.567 0.65 0.013 Residual Error 6 7.267 7.267 0.878	Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Color removal by UV photo Fenton											
Dosage 3 9.459 9.459 3.153 3.59 0.036 Time 3 1.701 1.701 0.567 0.65 0.013 Residual Error 6 7.267 7.267 0.878	рН	3	345.799	345.799	181.933	207.2	0.000					
Time 3 1.701 1.701 0.567 0.65 0.013 Residual Error 6 7.267 7.267 0.878 Total 15 364.226 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0329 3 307.833 69.2777 1303.20 0.000 0.000 0.05329 3 8.77 3.877 1.2924 24.31 0.001 Time 3 1.368 1.368 0.4559 8.58 0.014 Residual Error 6 0.319 0.319 0.0532 Total 15 313.397 7 </td <td>Dosage</td> <td>3</td> <td>9.459</td> <td>9.459</td> <td>3.153</td> <td>3.59</td> <td>0.036</td>	Dosage	3	9.459	9.459	3.153	3.59	0.036					
Residual Error 6 7.267 7.267 0.878 Total 15 364.226	Time	3	1.701	1.701	0.567	0.65	0.013					
Total 15 364.226 Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Color removal by LED Visible Light photo Fenton 0.000 Dosage 3 307.833 69.2777 1303.20 0.000 Dosage 3 3.877 3.877 1.2924 24.31 0.001 Time 3 1.368 1.368 0.4559 8.58 0.014 Residual Error 6 0.319 0.0532 0.0532 0.0532 Total 15 313.397 0.5904 0.050 0.0532 Total 0 Ser SS Adj SS Adj MS F P Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Cr removal by Solar Photo Fenton 0.000 0.029 0.029 0.029 0.029 0.029 0.029 0.029 0.020 0.029	Residual Error	6	7.267	7,267	0.878							
Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Color removal by LED Visible Light photo Fenton pH 3 307.833 307.833 69.2777 1303.20 0.000 Dosage 3 3.877 3.877 1.2924 24.31 0.001 Time 3 1.368 1.368 0.4559 8.58 0.014 Residual Error 6 0.319 0.319 0.0532 Total 15 313.397	Total	15	364.226									
pH 3 307.833 307.833 69.2777 1303.20 0.000 Dosage 3 3.877 3.877 1.2924 24.31 0.001 Time 3 1.368 1.368 0.4559 8.58 0.014 Residual Error 6 0.319 0.319 0.0532 Total 15 313.397 3100.852 Adj MS F P Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Cr removal by Solar, UV, and LED Visible light Photo Fenton Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Cr removal by Solar Photo Fenton P PH 3 338.724 338.724 79.5745 834.96 0.000 Dosage 3 4.135 1.3783 14.46 0.004 Time 3 1.773 1.773 0.5909 6.20 0.029 Residual Error 6 0.189 0.0630 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0		Analysis of V	ariance for SN ratios	of Color removal b	y LED Visible Light p	hoto Fenton						
Dosage 3 3.877 3.877 1.2924 24.31 0.001 Time 3 1.368 1.368 0.4559 8.58 0.014 Residual Error 6 0.319 0.319 0.0532 Total 15 313.397	рН	3	307.833	307.833	69.2777	1303.20	0.000					
Time 3 1.368 1.368 0.4559 8.58 0.014 Residual Error 6 0.319 0.319 0.0532 Total 15 313.397 313.397 Table 6. ANOVA for SN ratios of Cr removal by Solar, UV, and LED Visible light Photo Fenton F P Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Cr removal by Solar Photo Fenton P 0.000 pH 3 338.724 79.5745 834.96 0.000 Dosage 3 4.135 4.135 1.3783 14.46 0.004 Time 3 1.773 1.773 0.5909 6.20 0.029 Residual Error 6 0.189 0.189 0.0630 Time 3 1.368 1.368 0.4559 8.58 0.014 Residual Error 6 0.189 0.189 0.0532 Total 15 344.821 Stadge 3 3.877 3.8	Dosage	3	3.877	3.877	1.2924	24.31	0.001					
Residual Error 6 0.319 0.319 0.0532 Total 15 313.397 Table 6. ANOVA for SN ratios of Cr removal by Solar, UV, and LED Visible light Photo Fenton Image: Construct of Constene	Time	3	1.368	1.368	0.4559	8.58	0.014					
Total 15 313.397 Table 6. ANOVA for SN ratios of Cr removal by Solar, UV, and LED Visible light Photo Fenton F P Source DF Seq SS Adj SS Adj MS F P Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Cr removal by Solar Photo Fenton Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Cr removal by Solar Photo Fenton P pH 3 338.724 338.724 79.5745 834.96 0.000 Dosage 3 4.135 1.3783 14.46 0.004 Time 3 1.773 0.5909 6.20 0.029 Residual Error 6 0.189 0.0630	Residual Error	6	0.319		0.319		0.0532					
Source DF Seq SS Adj SS Adj MS F P Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Cr removal by Solar Photo Fenton	Total		15	313.397								
Source DF Seq SS Adj SS Adj MS F P Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Cr removal by Solar Photo Fenton	Table 6. ANOVA for SN	I ratios of Cr rei	noval by Solar, UV, a	nd LED Visible light	Photo Fenton							
Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Cr removal by Solar Photo Fenton pH 3 338.724 338.724 79.5745 834.96 0.000 Dosage 3 4.135 4.135 1.3783 14.46 0.004 Time 3 1.773 1.773 0.5909 6.20 0.029 Residual Error 6 0.189 0.189 0.0630	Source	DF	Seq SS	Adj SS	Adj MS	F	Р					
pH 3 338.724 338.724 79.5745 834.96 0.000 Dosage 3 4.135 4.135 1.3783 14.46 0.004 Time 3 1.773 1.773 0.5909 6.20 0.029 Residual Error 6 0.189 0.189 0.0630		Analy	sis of Variance for SN	I ratios of Cr remov	al by Solar Photo Fe	enton						
Dosage 3 4.135 4.135 1.3783 14.46 0.004 Time 3 1.773 0.5909 6.20 0.029 Residual Error 6 0.189 0.189 0.0630	рН	3	338.724	338.724	79.5745	834.96	0.000					
Time 3 1.773 0.5909 6.20 0.029 Residual Error 6 0.189 0.189 0.0630 Total 15 344.821 0.000 0.000 0.000 344.821 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.014	Dosage	3	4.135	4.135	1.3783	14.46	0.004					
Residual Error 6 0.189 0.189 0.0630 Total 15 344.821	Time	3	1.773	1.773	0.5909	6.20	0.029					
Total 15 344.821 Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Cr by UV photo Fenton 0.000 pH 3 407.833 407.833 69.2777 1303.20 0.000 Dosage 3 3.877 3.877 1.2924 24.31 0.001 Time 3 1.368 1.368 0.4559 8.58 0.014 Residual Error 6 0.319 0.319 0.0532 Total 15 413.397 <th< th=""> <th< th=""> <</th<></th<>	Residual Error	6	0.189	0.189	0.0630							
Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Cr by UV photo Fenton pH 3 407.833 407.833 69.2777 1303.20 0.000 Dosage 3 3.877 3.877 1.2924 24.31 0.001 Time 3 1.368 1.368 0.4559 8.58 0.014 Residual Error 6 0.319 0.319 0.0532	Total	15	344.821									
pH 3 407.833 407.833 69.2777 1303.20 0.000 Dosage 3 3.877 3.877 1.2924 24.31 0.001 Time 3 1.368 1.368 0.4559 8.58 0.014 Residual Error 6 0.319 0.319 0.0532			Analysis of Variance	for SN ratios of Cr b	y UV photo Fenton							
Dosage 3 3.877 3.877 1.2924 24.31 0.001 Time 3 1.368 1.368 0.4559 8.58 0.014 Residual Error 6 0.319 0.319 0.0532	рН	3	407.833	407.833	69.2777	1303.20	0.000					
Time 3 1.368 1.368 0.4559 8.58 0.014 Residual Error 6 0.319 0.319 0.0532	Dosage	3	3.877	3.877	1.2924	24.31	0.001					
Residual Error 6 0.319 0.319 0.0532 Total 15 413.397	Time	3	1.368	1.368	0.4559	8.58	0.014					
Total 15 413.397 Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Cr by Visible light photo Fenton pH 3 216.536 58.8453 708.87 0.000 Dosage 3 2.821 2.821 0.9403 11.33 0.007 Time 3 0.524 0.524 0.1747 2.10 0.021 Residual Error 6 0.498 0.498 0.0830 Use of the state o	Residual Error	6	0.319	0.319	0.0532							
Analysis of Variance for SN ratios of Cr by Visible light photo Fenton pH 3 216.536 216.536 58.8453 708.87 0.000 Dosage 3 2.821 2.821 0.9403 11.33 0.007 Time 3 0.524 0.524 0.1747 2.10 0.021 Residual Error 6 0.498 0.498 0.0830 U U	Total	15	413.397									
pH 3 216.536 216.536 58.8453 708.87 0.000 Dosage 3 2.821 2.821 0.9403 11.33 0.007 Time 3 0.524 0.524 0.1747 2.10 0.021 Residual Error 6 0.498 0.498 0.0830		Ana	vsis of Variance for S	N ratios of Cr by Vis	sible light photo Fer	nton						
Dosage 3 2.821 2.821 0.9403 11.33 0.007 Time 3 0.524 0.524 0.1747 2.10 0.021 Residual Error 6 0.498 0.498 0.0830	На	3	216.536	216.536	58.8453	708.87	0.000					
Time 3 0.524 0.524 0.1747 2.10 0.021 Residual Error 6 0.498 0.498 0.0830	Dosage	3	2.821	2.821	0.9403	11.33	0.007					
Residual Error 6 0.498 0.498 0.0830 Total 15 220.379 15	Time	3	0.524	0.524	0.1747	2.10	0.021					
Total 15 220 379	Residual Error	6	0 498	0.498	0.0830	-						
	Total	0	15	220 379	0.0000							

3.3. Statistical experimental result

In Taguchi experimental optimization techniques, OA L16 (4^{3}) was used to optimize the process variables using minitab statistical software (Version 21.1.0). pH, Dosage concentration and Time were taken as a control variables and color, COD, TSS, Cr, Cu and Cd were taken as a responses. All the experimental values were analyzed in three times and average values noted. Experimental results of solar, UV and LED visible light photo Fenton process corresponding to L16 design were presented in Table 2, Table 3 and Table 4 respectively. Totally 16 number of runs were performed for each solar, UV and LED visible light Photo Fenton method. Solar Fenton process achieved maximum amount of color 95%, COD 83%, TSS 89%, Cr 94%, Cd 86% and Cu 93% at control factors level 3 pH (pH 3), level 2 dosage (Dosage 1.5:30 g/L) and level 2 (60 minutes) reaction time. From Table 3 and Table 4 it was observed that UV and Visible light photo Fenton method needed higher reaction time to show the better removal efficiency. Photo Fenton mechanism highly depends on the emission frequency of the light source, availability and absorption rate of photoactive species (Pignatello *et al.*, 2006).





Figure 1 illustrates the S/N ratio, which describes how each control factors affects each response. The control variables pH, dose concentration (Fe^{2+}/H_2O_2), and reaction

time were plotted in the S/N ratio graph's X axis, and each response (Color, COD, TSS, Cr, Cd, and Cu) was plotted in the graph's Y axis. S/N ratio graph clearly demonstrate that pH played a major role in the elimination of color, COD, TSS, Cr, Cd, and Cu in all three of the treatment processes—solar, UV, and LED.

Tables 5 and 6 display the ANOVA results for the solar, UV, and LED visible light photo Fenton processes. For all three processes (Solar, UV, and LED Fenton process), almost all of the p values were less than 0.05, and larger F values indicate that the designs were significant. In the ANOVA results, pH had a very high SN value, demonstrating that pH was an important factor in the breakdown of pollutants throughout all treatment stages. Table 7 gives the detailed summary report of Taguchi experimental design results of these three types of Fenton process. From Table 7, it was observed that R value more than 99% for all the control variables for all the three methods, it is evident that the good correlation between each responses and each operating variables. Almost all the methods achieved more 97% of adj R² value; it also confirms the significance of this design. Less residual error (less than 50%) in total run indicates the results to be reliable (Pourjafar et al., 2013 and Reyhani, et al., 2015). F value should be greater than Fcr value otherwise the design was not significant (Sousa, et al., 2020). In this robust design DF value for each factor is 3 a residual error is 6 so the confidence level is more than 95% for all the factors in these three methods. Fcr < F, it confirms that this design is significant.



Figure 2. 2D Contour plot for removal of color by using Solar (1a), UV (1b) and LED visible light photo Fenton (1c)

Figures 2 and 3 illustrated interaction effect of pH and dosage concentration on color and Cr removal in 2D Contour plots. The well-conditioned elliptical form is strong indication that the pH and dose concentration for the elimination of color and Cr interact more. Solar Fenton technology removed up to 95% of the color and 94% of the Cr, UV Fenton technology removed up to 93% of both the color and Cr, and LED visible light technology removed up to 90% of the color and 91% of the Cr. In this study the heavy metals concentration of before and after the treatment was analysed with AAS. The Fenton process was a suitable choice for removing phenol, cyanide, and Cr (VI). Compared to TiO2/UV, the H_2O_2/UV method

demonstrated a quicker decrease of chromium (Golbaz *et al.*, 2013). Municipal wastewater can be successfully treated with the solar photo Fenton technique to remove heavy metals (Cr 92%, Pb 100%, Cu 72.4%, Cd 100%, Ni 36%, Fe 94%, Zn 58%) (Chaudhary et.al., 2012 and Barwal et.al., 2015).



Figure 3. 2D Contour plot for removal of Cr by Solar (2a), UV (2b) and LED visible light photo Fenton (2c)



Figure 4. Probability plot for removal Efficiency of Solar (a), UV (b) and LED visible light (c) Fenton process

Figure.4 a, b and c furnished the probability plot of removal efficiency of Solar, UV and LED visible light Fenton process. It is confirmed that all of the control variables and responses had a positive interaction impact on one another because all of the anticipated and observed values are followed in a straight line and the 95% confidence limit is displayed. Solar photo Fenton technology has been described as being both affordable and appropriate for complex industrial wastewater (Malato *et al.*, 2013).

4. Conclusion

According to the findings of this investigation, with one hour of reaction time at an acidic pH, the solar-assisted Fenton process successfully removed color, COD, TSS, Cr, Cu, and Cd from landfill leachate wastewater, whereas the other two methods required longer reaction times. Using the Taguchi technique, the most accurate data and relationships between controls and responses may be gathered and analysed with the fewest number of experimental designs. The findings of this experiment demonstrate that generation and rate of scavenging of hydroxyl radicals in the process highly depends on pH and UV radiation. The three techniques' R² values were greater than 99%, which demonstrated the model's good suitability. The solar, UV, and LED visible light Fenton processes are all effective at removing pollutants from landfill leachate wastewater while generating a less amount of sludge as compared with other Physicochemical methods. However, solar photo Fenton process has higher removal efficiency and uses a naturally occurring energy source in comparison to the other two artificial photo sources. Organic pollutant and heavy metal reduction in landfill leachate wastewater can be accomplished using the competitive and promising solar photo Fenton process.

Table 7. Summary of contribution of each factor for Solar, UV and LED visible light Fenton proc	cess
---	------

Treatment Method		Solar			UV light			LED visible light			
Performance Criteria	S	R-sq (%)	R-sq Adj (%)	S	R-sq (%)	R-sq Adj (%)	S	R-sq (%)	R-sq Adj (%)		
Color	0.1652	99.90	99.69	0.9752	99.30	98.99	0.9752	99.30	98.99		
COD	0.6854	99.29	98.67	0.4754	99.56	99.27	0.4754	99.56	99.27		
TSS	0.3140	99.55	97.75	0.5240	99.45	99.07	0.5240	99.45	99.07		
Cr	0.2511	99.92	99.60	0.2313	99.82	99.65	0.2313	99.82	99.65		
Cd	0.7196	99.18	98.90	0.9186	99.00	98.47	0.9186	99.00	98.47		
Cu	0.3464	99.80	99.00	0.3534	99.85	99.26	0.3534	99.85	99.26		

5. Conclusion

According to the findings of this investigation, with one hour of reaction time at an acidic pH, the solar-assisted Fenton process successfully removed color, COD, TSS, Cr, Cu, and Cd from landfill leachate wastewater, whereas the other two methods required longer reaction times. Using the Taguchi technique, the most accurate data and relationships between controls and responses may be gathered and analysed with the fewest number of experimental designs. The findings of this experiment demonstrate that generation and rate of scavenging of hydroxyl radicals in the process highly depends on pH and UV radiation. The three techniques' R² values were greater than 99%, which demonstrated the model's good suitability. The solar, UV, and LED visible light Fenton processes are all effective at removing pollutants from landfill leachate wastewater while generating a less amount of sludge as compared with other Physicochemical methods. However, solar photo Fenton process has higher removal efficiency and uses a naturally occurring energy source in comparison to the other two artificial photo sources. Organic pollutant and heavy metal reduction in landfill leachate wastewater can be accomplished using the competitive and promising solar photo Fenton process.

Future directions

Economical contribution of these three processes has to be investigated. There have also been other studies done on the efficiency of micro pollutant degradation. Investigating various UV sources and wave lengths may improve the efficiency of pollutant degradation.

Acknowledgement

The authors are very much grateful to Department of Civil Engineering, Anna University, University College of Engineering Dindigul, Reddiyarchatram Tamilnadu, India for providing Laboratory facilities.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no competing interests associated with this research work.

Author contributions

First author collected wastewater samples, conducted all the experimental studies, analysed the results and prepared the manuscript.

Second author analysed the result finding, validated the results and reviewed the manuscript

References

- Abdelhaleem A., and Chu W. (2020). Prediction of carbofuran degradation based on the hydroxyl radical's generation using the FeIII impregnated N doped-TiO₂/H₂O₂/visible LED photo-Fenton-like process. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **382**, 122930.
- Amor C., De Torres-Socías E., Peres J.A., Maldonado M.I., Oller I., Malato S., and Lucas M.S. (2015). Mature landfill leachate treatment by coagulation/flocculation combined with Fenton and solar photo-Fenton processes. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **286**, 261–268.
- APHA, Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 23nd ed., American Public Health Association (APHA), New york, 2017
- Avsar Y., Kurt U., and Gonullu T. (2007). Comparison of classical chemical and electrochemical processes for treating rose processing wastewater. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 148(1–2), 340–345.
- Badawy M.I., Ghaly M., and Gad-Allah T. (2006). Advanced oxidation processes for the removal of organophosphorus pesticides from wastewater. *Desalination*, **194**, 166–175. doi:10.1016/j.desal. 2005.09.027.
- Bandala E.R., Brito L., and Pelaez M. (2009). Degradation of domoic acid toxin by UV-promoted Fenton-like processes in seaeater. *Desalination*, 45, 135–145.
- Barwal A., and Chaudhary R. (2015). Optimization of operational parameters in moving bed biofilm reactor with low cost

polystyrene biocarrier by the response surface method. *Water Quality Research Journal*, **52**(1), 26–41.

- Bautitz I.R., and Nogueira R.F.P. (2007). Degradation of tetracycline by photo-Fenton process—Solar irradiation and matrix effects. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*, **187**(1), 33–39.
- Chaudhary R., and Thakur R.S. (2012). Photocatalytic treatment of industrial wastewater containing chromium as a model pollutant-effect on process parameters and kinetically studies. *Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy*, **4**(5), 053121.
- Christensen T.H., Kjeldsen P., Bjerg P.L., Jensen D.L., Christensen J.B., Baun A., Heron G., *et al.* (2001). Biogeochemistry of landfill leachate plumes. *Applied Geochemistry*, **16**(7–8), 659–718.
- Deng Y., and Englehardt J.D. (2008). Hydrogen peroxideenhanced iron-mediated aeration for the treatment of mature landfill leachate. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **153**(1–2), 293–299.
- Dharmarathne N., and Gunatilake J. (2013). Leachate characterization and surface groundwater pollution at municipal solid waste landfill of Gohagoda, Sri Lanka. *International Journal of Scientific and Research*, 3(11), 1–7.
- Du Y., and Qiu M. (2013). Comparative study of advanced oxidation for textile wastewater. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, **51**(31–33), 5954–5958.
- Fraley S., Oom M., Terrien B., and Date J.Z. (2006). Design of experiments via Taguchi methods: orthogonal arrays. *The Michigan Chemical Process Dynamic and Controls Open Text Book, USA*, 2(3), 4.
- Gallard H., and De Laat J. (2000). Kinetic modelling of Fe $(III)/H_2O_2$ oxidation reactions in dilute aqueous solution using atrazine as a model organic compound. *Water Research*, **34**(12), 3107–3116.
- Ghaffariraad M., and Ghanbarzadeh Lak M. (2021). Landfill leachate treatment through coagulation-flocculation with lime and bio-sorption by walnut-shell. *Environmental Management*, **68**(2), 226–239. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-021-01489-4.
- Giri A.S., and Golder A.K. (2014). Chloramphenicol degradation in Fenton and photo-Fenton: Formation of Fe²⁺chloramphenicol chelate and reaction pathways. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, **53**, 16196–16203.
- Giusti L. (2009). A review of waste management practices and their impact on human health. *Waste Management*, **29**(8), 2227–2239.
- Gogate P.R., and Pandit A.B. (2004). A review of imperative technologies for wastewater treatment I: oxidation technologies at ambient conditions. *Advances in Environmental Research*, **8**(3–4), 501–551.
- Golbaz S., Jafari A.J., and Kalantari R.R. (2013). The study of Fenton oxidation process efficiency in the simultaneous removal of phenol, cyanide, and chromium (VI) from synthetic wastewater. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 51(28–30), 5761–5767.
- Gulkaya I., Surucu G.A., and Dilek F.B. (2006). Importance of H2O2/Fe2+ ratio in Fenton's treatment of a carpet dyeing wastewater. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **136**(3), 763–769.
- Hartmann M., Kullmann S., and Keller H. (2010). Wastewater treatment with heterogeneous Fenton-type catalysts based

on porous materials. *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, **20**(41), 9002–9017.

- Katara J., and Patel R.L. (2018). Treatment of textile wastewater by photo fenton process with UV light and solar radiation. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts*, **6**.
- Karale R.S., Manu B., and Shrihari S. (2014). Fenton and photo-Fenton oxidation processes for degradation of 3aminopyridine from water. APCBEE Procedia, 9, 25–29.
- Kavitha V., and Palanivelu K. (2005). Degradation of nitrophenols by Fenton and photo-Fenton processes. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*, **170**(1), 83–95.
- Klein K., Kivi A., Dulova N., Zekker I., Mölder E., Tenno, T., and Tenno T. (2017). A pilot study of three-stage biological– chemical treatment of landfill leachate applying continuous ferric sludge reuse in Fenton-like process. *Clean Technologies* and Environmental Policy, **19**(2), 541–551.
- Kochany J., and Lugowski A. (1998). Application of Fenton's reagent and activated carbon for removal of nitrification inhibitors. *Environmental Technology*, **19**(4), 425–429.
- Li G., Chen J., Yan W., and Sang N. (2017). A comparison of the toxicity of landfill leachate exposure at the seed soaking and germination stages on Zea mays L.(maize). *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, **55**, 206–213. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jes.2016.06.031.
- Lucas M.S., Dias A.A., Sampaio A., Amaral C., and Peres J.A. (2007). Degradation of a textile reactive Azo dye by a combined chemical-biological process: Fenton's reagentyeast. *Water Research*, **41**(5), 1103–1109.
- Ma D., Yi H., Lai C., Liu X., Huo X., An Z., Li L. *et al.* (2021). Critical review of advanced oxidation processes in organic wastewater treatment. *Chemosphere*, **275**, 130104.
- Malato S., Fernández-Ibánez P., Maldonado M.I., Oller I., Polo-López M.I., and Pichat P. (2013). Solar photocatalytic pilot plants: commercially available reactors. *Photocatalysis and Water Purification: From Fundamentals to Recent Applications*.
- Mohan S., and Gokul D. (2022). Treatment of leachate from open dumpsite of municipal solid waste by ozone based advanced oxidation process. *Ozone: Science & Engineering*, **44**(3), 250– 264.
- Niza N.M., Yusoff M.S., Zainuri M.A.A.M., Emmanuel M.I., Shadi A.M.H., Hanif M.H.M., and Kamaruddin M.A. (2021). Removal of ammoniacal nitrogen from old leachate using batch electrocoagulation with vibration-induced electrode plate. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 9(2), 105064.
- Okagbue H.I., Oguntunde P.E., Obasi E.C., and Akhmetshin E.M. (2021). Trends and usage pattern of SPSS and Minitab Software in Scientific research. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1734, No. 1, p. 012017). IOP Publishing.
- Panizza M., and Cerisola G. (2001). Removal of organic pollutants from industrial wastewater by electrogenerated Fenton's reagent. *Water Research*, **35**(16), 3987–3992.
- Pignatello J.J., Oliveros E., and MacKay A. (2006). Advanced oxidation processes for organic contaminant destruction based on the Fenton reaction and related chemistry. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, **36**(1), 1– 84.
- Poblete R., and Pérez N. (2020). Use of sawdust as pretreatment of photo-Fenton process in the depuration of landfill leachate. *Journal of Environmental Management*, **253**, 109697.

- Pourjafar S., Jahanshahi M., and Rahimpour A. (2013). Optimization of TiO2 modified poly (vinyl alcohol) thin film composite nanofiltration membranes using Taguchi method. *Desalination*, **315**, 107–114.
- Primo O., Rivero M.J., and Ortiz I. (2008). Photo-Fenton process as an efficient alternative to the treatment of landfill leachates. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **153**(1–2), 834– 842.
- Primo O., Rivero M.J., Ortiz I., and Irabien A. (2007). Mathematical modelling of phenol photooxidation: Kinetics of the process toxicity. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **134**(1–3), 23–28.
- Reyhani A., Sepehrinia K., Seyed Shahabadi S.M., Rekabdar F., and Gheshlaghi A. (2015). Optimization of operating conditions in ultrafiltration process for produced water treatment via Taguchi methodology. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 54(10), 2669–2680.
- Rocha E.M., Vilar V.J., Fonseca A., Saraiva I., and Boaventura R.A. (2011). Landfill leachate treatment by solar-driven AOPs. Solar Energy, 85(1), 46–56.
- Sajjadi S.A., Afsharnia M., Azrah K., Javan N.S., and Biglari H. (2015). Humic Acid Degradation via Solar Photo-Fenton Process in Aqueous Environment. *Iranian Journal of Health, Safety and Environment*, 2(3), 304–312.
- Silva T.F., Ferreira R., Soares P.A., Manenti D.R., Fonseca A., Saraiva I.& Vilar V.J. (2015). Insights into solar photo-Fenton reaction parameters in the oxidation of a sanitary landfill leachate at lab-scale. *Journal of Environmental Management*, **164**, 32–40 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015. 08.030.
- Singa P.K., Isa M.H., Ho Y.C., and Lim J.W. (2018). Treatment of hazardous waste landfill leachate using Fenton oxidation process. In *E3S Web of conferences* (Vol. 34, p. 02034). EDP Sciences.
- Sousa M.R.S., Lora-García J., López-Pérez M.F., Santafé-Moros A., and Gozálvez-Zafrilla J.M. (2020). Operating conditions optimization via the Taguchi method to remove colloidal substances from recycled paper and cardboard production wastewater. *Membranes*, **10**(8), 170. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/membranes12070698.
- Tang W.Z., and Tassos S. (1997). Oxidation kinetics and mechanisms of trihalomethanes by Fenton's reagent. Water Research, 31(5), 1117–1125.
- Tejera J., Gascó A., Hermosilla D., Alonso-Gomez V., Negro C., and Blanco Á. (2021). Uva-led technology's treatment efficiency and cost in a competitive trial applied to the photofenton treatment of landfill leachate. *Processes*, 9(6), 1026.
- Vilar V.J., Moreira J.M., Fonseca A., Saraiva I., and Boaventura R.A. (2012). Application of Fenton and solar photo-Fenton processes to the treatment of a sanitary landfill leachate in a pilot plant with CPCs. *Journal of Advanced Oxidation Technologies*, **15**(1), 107–116.
- Vorontsov A.V. (2019). Advancing Fenton and photo-Fenton water treatment through the catalyst design. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **372**, 103–112. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.04.033.
- Xiao D., Guo Y., Lou X., Fang C., Wang Z., Liu J. (2014). Distinct effects of oxalate versus malonate on the iron redox chemistry: Implications for the photo-Fenton reaction. *Chemosphere*, **103**, 354–358.

- Xu M., Wu C., and Zhou Y. (2020). Advancements in the Fenton process for wastewater treatment. Advanced Oxidation Processes, 61.
- Zazo J.A., Pliego G., Blasco S., Casas J.A., and Rodriguez J.J. (2011). Intensification of the Fenton process by increasing the temperature. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **50**(2), 866–870.