

Enhanced photocatalytic degradation of Remazol Black under visible light illumination through S doped TiO₂ (S-TiO₂) nanoparticles: Operational factors and kinetic study


Riska Dwiyanana¹, Roto Roto¹, Kusuma Putri Suwondo¹, Endang Tri Wahyuni^{1*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Gadjah Mada – Sekip Utara, Bulaksumur, Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: endang_triw@ugm.ac.id, Tel: +6281328892114

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



ABSTRACT

The degradation of Remazol Black (RBB) by S-TiO₂ photocatalyst was investigated. X-ray diffraction, fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy, scanning electron microscopy, transmission electron microscopy, and UV-vis specular reflectance spectroscopy has been used to characterize S-TiO₂. The results suggested that the optical absorption edge of TiO₂ was red-shifted by the addition of S dopants and the bandgap energy was 3.02 eV. The sulfur species were found to be evenly dispersed on the TiO₂ crystal lattice as cationic sulfur (S⁶⁺) which corresponds to the cationic substitution on TiO₂. The particle size decreased to 4-14 nm after S doping, which indicates that the addition of S dopants has contributed to an improvement in the photocatalyst surface area. The degradation of RBB was achieved 94% after 120 min visible light irradiation, a remarkable increase compared to bare TiO₂ which was only able to degrade 48% of RBB at the same time. Optimization of the pH showed that the optimum pH for RBB degradation was 3.0, and the photocatalyst dose was 0.8 g L⁻¹. Kinetic study showed that S-TiO₂ photocatalytic degradation of RBB followed the pseudo-second-order kinetics model. Reducing the bandgap has been found to increase the activity of photodegradation in the visible light region.

Keywords: Kinetic, Photocatalyst, Photodegradation, Remazol Black, S-TiO₂.

1. Introduction

Water pollution, along with the increasing production of industrial wastewater, has become a concern all over the world, which reduces the availability of clean water for living beings. Dyes wastewater from textile, paper, and leather industries are the main problem of contamination in the aquatic environment. Reactive dyes are the most commonly used (60-70%) among various type of synthetic dyes due to low energy consumption in the dyeing process, water fastness, and color brightness (Marques *et al.*, 2010; Ahmad and Rahman, 2011). Reactive dyes, such as Remazol Black, consist of one or more azo bonds ($-N=N-$) attached to aromatic ring (Rauf *et al.*, 2011; Ghoreishian *et al.*, 2014). The complex chemical structure makes this dye stable and difficult to biodegrade and the concentration in the environment tends to persist if this dye enters the water bodies (Chen *et al.*, 2012; Akti, 2018). Meanwhile, the negative effects of this dye on humans and aquatic animals have been reported due to its toxic and carcinogenic properties (Aksu and Akın, 2010; Sahel *et al.*, 2010, 2014). Thus, an effective method is needed to remove the concentration of this dye from wastewater before being discharged into the environment.

It has been found that advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) are one of the most promising and efficient technologies for treating dyes in wastewater. This method has gained considerable attention because it is simple to handle and significantly produces lower residues compared to the conventional treatment process (Rauf *et al.*, 2011; Alvarez *et al.*, 2018; Pereira *et al.*, 2019) Among other AOPs methods, TiO_2 heterogenous photocatalyst is the most extensively studied and used due to its advantages such as high photocatalytic activity for the decomposition of organic pollutants, chemical stability, non-toxicity, and low cost (Muruganandham and Swaminathan, 2006; Yilmaz *et al.*, 2017; Islam *et al.*, 2020; Wahyuni *et al.*, 2020). TiO_2 can generate highly reactive hydroxyl radicals ($\bullet OH$) which have very high oxidizing power compared to other oxidants to degrade recalcitrant organic contaminants in wastewater (Bessergenev *et al.*, 2015; Eskandari *et al.*, 2019). Nonetheless, TiO_2 has restrictions in its application. In order to generate hydroxyl radicals, TiO_2 must be exposed to photons from electromagnetic radiation with an energy greater than the bandgap energy

of TiO₂ (3.2 eV for anatase). Such photons are available in the ultraviolet (UV) region, whereas only about 5% of the sunlight UV rays can be utilized for the photocatalytic process (Han *et al.*, 2011; Z. Chen *et al.*, 2017; Wu *et al.*, 2018), as well as the high recombination rate of the electron-hole during illumination (Murcia *et al.*, 2015; Siddiqa *et al.*, 2015; Isari *et al.*, 2018), which reduces the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂. To overcome these limitations, TiO₂ modification is required to enhance photocatalytic activity under visible light illumination.

The addition of metal or non-metal ions dopant into TiO₂ lattice is an effective attempt to shift the TiO₂ adsorption edge from UV to visible region (Asiri *et al.*, 2014; Chaudhuri and Paria, 2014; Mcmanamon *et al.*, 2015). Doping with non-metal ions has been considered to be one of the most promising methods to extend the visible light response of TiO₂ (Tian *et al.*, 2009; Marques *et al.*, 2010). Compared to other non-metals, sulfur is a good candidate due to bandgap manipulation, high thermal stability, and adequate the photocatalytic activity in visible light illumination (Zhu *et al.*, 2015; Lin *et al.*, 2016; X. Chen *et al.*, 2017). All oxidation states of sulfur dopants both cationic (S⁶⁺) and anionic (S²⁻) may exist in the TiO₂ lattice depending on the synthesis condition or the precursors of sulfur, and substitute Ti or O ions in the TiO₂ structure, resulting in impurity state near to the conduction band (CB), bandgap narrowing, and increasing TiO₂ response to visible light (Szatmáry *et al.*, 2011; Devi and Kavitha, 2014; Abu and Ribeiro, 2016; Olowoyo *et al.*, 2018). S dopant can also be an electron trap that slows down the recombination rate, extending the lifetime of the hydroxyl radicals, and showing high photocatalytic activity (Abu and Ribeiro, 2016). Various methods have been studied for doping S into TiO₂, such as solvothermal (Kumar *et al.*, 2016), sol-gel (Devi and Kavitha, 2014; Han *et al.*, 2014), oxidant peroxide (Abu and Ribeiro, 2016), sonothermal (Olowoyo *et al.*, 2018), hydrothermal (Kumar *et al.*, 2016), co-hydrolysis precipitation (Chen *et al.*, 2019). Among these methods, sol-gel is one of the most widely used because the ability to controlling particle size, morphology, homogeneity, resulting in high crystallinity and surface area (Hamadani and Majedi, 2009; Siddiqa *et al.*, 2015).

To the best of our knowledge, no studies have been reported on the photocatalytic decontamination study of Remazol Black over S-TiO₂ photocatalyst prepared via sol-gel method under visible light illumination. Furthermore, we developed the utilization of light-emitting diodes (LED) as the light source due to their long lifetime and high energy efficiency compared to the common types of the light sources such as Xe lamps and Hg-Xe lamps (Eskandari *et al.*, 2019). To assess the optimum condition, the effects of the operational parameters on the decolorization efficiency were evaluated. Subsequently, the kinetics of the photodegradation of Remazol Black using S-doped TiO₂ photocatalyst were also studied.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

All chemicals used in this study were analytical grade and were used without any further purification. Titanium(IV) isopropoxide (TTIP, 97%) was obtained from Hangzhou Jiu Peng Material Co., Ltd. (China). Thiourea (CH₄N₂S), ethanol absolute (C₂H₅OH), hydrochloric acid (HCl, 36%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), and Remazol Black (RBB, C₂₆H₂₁O₁₉N₅S₆Na₄, MW= 991.82 g mol⁻¹) were purchased from Merck, and deionized water was used in this work. The chemical structure of RBB is shown in Fig. 1.




Figure 1. Chemical structure of Remazol Black (RBB)

2.2 Synthesis of S-TiO₂ photocatalyst

S-TiO₂ photocatalyst was synthesized by the sol-gel method. Initially, a stoichiometric amount of titanium(IV) isopropoxide was dissolved in ethanol absolute under magnetic stirring. At the same time, 0.114 g of thiourea was dissolved in a mixture of distilled water and ethanol (volume ratio 1:1) to get the desired ratio of 2% (w/w) Ti:S dopant concentration. This solution was added

dropwise to the TTIP solution under magnetic stirring, and the pH of the solution was adjusted to 3.0 by the addition of 1 M HCl. Stirring was continued for 2 h, then the final mixed solution was aged for 24 h. Next, the gels were dried at 80 °C for 4 h to evaporate water and organic materials. Finally, dry gels were calcined in a muffle furnace at 450 °C for 3 h to control the crystal phase of the catalyst. The resulting catalyst was ground thoroughly and labeled as S_{2%}-TiO₂. A similar procedure was followed to prepare S-TiO₂ with a concentration ratio of 4%, 10%, 20%, and bare TiO₂ without the addition of sulfur dopants.

2.3 Characterization

X-ray diffractometer (XRD Shimadzu 6000, Cu K α radiation $\lambda = 0.15406$ nm as the source of X-rays, operated at 40 kV, 30 mA, the angular range of $2\theta = 5-90^\circ$ and nickel as the filter) was used to identify composition and crystalline phase of the photocatalyst. The crystallite size was calculated by Scherrer equation (eq. 1):

$$D = \frac{k\lambda}{\beta \cos\theta} \quad (1)$$

where k is a shape factor (0.94), λ is the wavelength of Cu K α source used, β is the full width at half maximum (FWHM), and θ is the angle of diffraction.

To verify the functional groups and chemical bonds contained in the photocatalyst, Fourier-Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectrophotometer (Shidmazu Prestige 21) was used in the wavenumber 4000-400 cm^{-1} . The morphology of the nanoparticles was measured by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM, Jeol Jem-1400), which the composition of the nanoparticles was analyzed by Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX). UV-vis Specular Reflectance Spectroscopy (UV-vis SRS) was used to identify photocatalyst light absorption profiles.

2.4 Photocatalytic activity

The photodegradation of RBB was carried out in a self-constructed photoreactor (Fig. 2), with a batch system in a close reactor equipped with 4 UV lamps (@20W, 200 lm/m^2) and 4 visible lamps (TL-D, @20W, 2000 lm/m^2) as light sources. The variable parameters were investigated, including different types of photocatalyst, initial pH, catalyst dosage, dye concentration, and the light source.

The pH of the solution was adjusted by the addition of HCl or NaOH, and the pH was determined using a pH meter (Schott Lab 860 Laboratory). In each test, several photocatalysts were dispersed in the RBB solution. Before irradiation, the suspension was magnetically stirred in the dark for 30 min to achieve the adsorption-desorption equilibrium. Then, the photocatalytic reaction was initiated by exposing the suspension to the light source under continuous stirring. Samples with certain time intervals were taken from the reactor and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min to separate the photocatalyst from the solution. The residual RBB concentration was determined using the UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Analytic JENA Double Beam/Specord 200 Plus) at 598 nm. The removal efficiency was calculated using Eq. (3):

$$\text{The removal efficiency of RBB (\%)} = \frac{C_i - C_f}{C_f} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

where C_i was the initial concentration (mg L^{-1}) and C_f was the final concentration (mg L^{-1}).




Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the reactor for photocatalytic activity measurement

3. Result and Discussion

3.1 Photocatalyst characterization

3.1.1 XRD analysis

The crystal phase of the synthesized-photocatalyst was analyzed by XRD and the diffraction patterns of TiO_2 and S- TiO_2 photocatalysts calcined at $450\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ showed in Fig. 3. All photocatalysts exhibit peaks at 2θ 25.21, 37.73, 47.95, 53.87, 54.97, 62.66, and 75.12, which correspond to the diffraction of the (101), (004), (200), (105), (211), (204), and (215) anatase TiO_2 (JCPDS No. 21-

1272) (Z. Chen *et al.*, 2017). The absence of rutile phase indicated that the anatase TiO₂ was successfully synthesized. As shown in Fig. 3 (b)-(e), S dopant does not change the crystal phase of TiO₂. The average crystallite size was estimated using Scherrer's equation (Eq. 1) and the results were shown in Table 1.

Table 1. The average crystallite sizes of TiO₂ and S-TiO₂

Photocatalyst	<i>D</i> (nm)
TiO ₂	5.15
S _{2%} -TiO ₂	2.82
S _{4%} -TiO ₂	3.05
S _{10%} -TiO ₂	3.50
S _{20%} -TiO ₂	9.17

Generally, samples with S dopant concentration lower than 20% showed the decrease in the average crystallite sizes. It might be due to the S atoms incorporating into the TiO₂ lattices, which could inhibit the crystal growth. In contrast, the increase of the S dopant concentration up to 20% causing the agglomeration on the TiO₂ surfaces or crystals and thus, enhancing the crystallite size of S_{20%}-TiO₂ sample.




Figure 3. XRD patterns of photocatalyst (a) TiO₂, (b) S_{2%}-TiO₂, (c) S_{4%}-TiO₂, (d) S_{10%}-TiO₂, and (e) S_{20%}-TiO₂ respectively

3.1.2 FT-IR analysis

FT-IR spectrum was applied to determine the functional groups presented in the photocatalyst. Fig. 5 shows that on bare TiO₂ sample, the broad absorption bands at 3400-3600 and 1635 cm⁻¹ attribute to the stretching and bending vibration of the hydroxyl group on the TiO₂ surface (Yi *et al.*, 2019). Then, the adsorption peaks at 2924 cm⁻¹ indicate the vibration of the TiO₂-OH group and the peaks at 500-800 cm⁻¹ assign to the bending vibration of Ti-O which corresponds to the literature (Chen *et al.*, 2019).

After the S-doping, the absorption intensity at 3400-3600 cm⁻¹ and 1635 cm⁻¹ was much stronger than bare TiO₂, because the replacement of Ti⁴⁺ by S⁶⁺ ions caused imbalance due to an excess of positive charges on the surface of the catalyst. Numerous hydroxide ions are attracted to the surface of the catalyst due to the charge imbalance. This result in hydroxyl radicals are more adsorbed on the highly reactive surface of the adsorbent (Devi and Kavitha, 2014). Meanwhile, the absorption bands for Ti-O bending vibration became broader, which implies that the Ti-O-Ti bond has weakened due to the replacement of Ti⁴⁺ by cationic S, confirmed by the presence of a new characteristic peak at 1049-1080 cm⁻¹ that corresponded to Ti-O-S bending vibration (Chen *et al.*, 2017; Chen *et al.*, 2019). Besides, the peak at 1110-1126 cm⁻¹ confirmed the S-O bending vibration in the form of SO₄²⁻, indicated that sulfur present in cationic species (S⁶⁺). This is also evidenced by the absence of a peak at 1135 cm⁻¹ for the Ti-S bond formed from the substitution of O²⁻ by anionic sulfur (S²⁻). Compared to the substitution of O²⁻ by S²⁻, the substitution of Ti⁴⁺ by S⁶⁺ is chemically more favorable since the ionic radius of S²⁻ (1.7 Å) is quite larger than O²⁻ (1.22 Å), which cause the bond formation of the Ti-S by O²⁻ substitution will require more energy and is difficult to achieve compared to the substitution of Ti⁴⁺ by S⁶⁺. The FTIR spectrum shows that the incorporation of S dopant into TiO₂ has been successfully carried out.




Figure 4. FT-IR spectra of (a) TiO₂, (b) S_{2%}-TiO₂, (c) S_{4%}-TiO₂, (d) S_{10%}-TiO₂, and (e) S_{20%}-TiO₂

3.1.3 SEM analysis

The surface morphology of photocatalyst was analyzed using SEM. As can be seen in Fig. 5, both TiO₂ and S-TiO₂ nanoparticles show a spherical morphology and uniform particle size homogeneity. In addition, the morphology of TiO₂ nanoparticles did not change by the incorporation of S into TiO₂. Then, EDX analysis was employed to verify the presence of S element in the samples and the results showed that the major peaks in bare TiO₂ were Ti and O elements. The S element was observed in S_{10%}-TiO₂ and S_{20%}-TiO₂ samples, indicating S-doped TiO₂ formation. However, The S element could not be identified in S_{2%}-TiO₂ and S_{4%}-TiO₂ samples, which may be caused by the low S concentration addition. The EDX spectrum of TiO₂ and S-TiO₂ are shown in Fig. 6, and the elemental composition of the photocatalyst can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2. The elements composition of TiO₂ and S-TiO₂ from EDX analysis

Element	% Atom				
	TiO ₂	S _{2%} -TiO ₂	S _{4%} -TiO ₂	S _{10%} -TiO ₂	S _{20%} -TiO ₂
Ti	27.28	21.57	23.73	22.53	29.43

O	72.72	78.43	76.27	77.37	70.56
S		-	-	0.10	0.01




Figure 5. SEM images of (a) TiO₂, (b) S_{2%}-TiO₂, (c) S_{4%}-TiO₂, (d) S_{10%}-TiO₂, and (e) S_{20%}-TiO₂




Figure 6. EDX spectrum of (a) TiO₂, (b) S_{10%}-TiO₂, and (c) S_{20%}-TiO₂

3.1.4 UV-vis SRS analysis

The optical properties and the band gap energy was identified by the UV-vis SRS spectrum. According to Fig. 7 (a), TiO₂ shows a very high absorption profile in UV light areas (200-400 nm) and negligible absorption in the visible light region (400-500 nm) since to the wide band gap of anatase titania (3.2 eV). Meanwhile, after S doping, the absorption showed a redshift to the visible region due to the formation of a new sub-bandgap above the VB resulting from doping S into TiO₂, thus, decrease the band gap energy, and the energy from visible light irradiation were able to excite the electrons from VB to CB. The extent of this shift was proportional to the increasing concentration of S dopant added to titania. To prove these results, the band gap energy before and after S doping of the photocatalyst was calculated by Tauc plot between $(\alpha h\nu)^2$ vs. $h\nu$. The TiO₂ band gap energy was identified to be 3.2 eV. After S doping, the band gap of S_{2%}-TiO₂, S_{4%}-TiO₂, S_{10%}-TiO₂ and S_{20%}-TiO₂ were found to be 3.28, 3.15, 3.16, and 3.20 respectively (Fig. 7 (b)). These results show that cationic S is able to reduce the band gap energy through the formation of new energy level from Ti–O–S bonds and is accordance with the previous reports (Devi and Kavitha, 2014; Chen *et al.*, 2017).




Figure 7. (a) UV-vis SRS spectrum and (b) Tauc's plot of TiO₂ and S-TiO₂

3.1.5 TEM analysis

According to the TEM images (Fig.8), both bare TiO₂ and S_{10%}-TiO₂ displayed spherical-shaped formation and were homogeneously dispersed on the Titania photocatalyst. These results are in accordance with the result of the SEM images.

The average particle size of bare TiO₂ were identified as 11.4 nm (Fig. 9(a)). However, after the addition of a 10% concentration of S dopant, the average particle size decreased to 8.5 nm as showed in Fig. 9(b). The decrease of particle size after the addition of S dopants indicates a small distortion in the crystal lattice of TiO₂ and also confirms the successful incorporation of S dopants into TiO₂.




Figure 8. TEM images of (a) TiO₂ and (b) S_{10%}-TiO₂

Selected area diffraction (SAED) was used to ensure that the photocatalyst synthesized was anatase phase TiO₂. Based on Fig. 10 (a) and (b), the SAED patterns of TiO₂ and S_{10%}-TiO₂ showed the diffraction of the polycrystalline ring from reflection (101), (004), (200), (105), (211), and (204) anatase TiO₂, and the *d*-spacing generated from SAED corresponds to the *d*-spacing value obtained from XRD was summarizes in Table 3.




Figure 9. Particle distribution of (a) TiO₂ and (b) S_{10%}-TiO₂

Table 3. Comparison of d-spacing obtained from SAED dan XRD analysis

Photocatalyst	2θ	hkl	<i>d</i> -spacing (Å)	
			XRD	SAED
TiO ₂	25.21	101	3.53	3.59
	37.73	004	2.38	2.48
	47.95	200	1.89	1.97
	53.87	105	1.70	1.74
	54.97	211	1.67	1.56
	62.66	204	1.48	1.43
S _{10%} -TiO ₂	25.21	101	3.53	3.68
	37.90	004	2.37	2.51
	47.92	200	1.89	2.03
	53.87	105	1.70	1.80
	54.87	211	1.67	1.58
	62.78	204	1.47	1.42




Figure 10. SAED patterns of (a) TiO_2 and (b) $\text{S}_{10\%}\text{-TiO}_2$ photocatalyst

3.2 Photocatalytic degradation

3.2.1 Effect of different catalyst

The photocatalytic activity of photocatalyst before and after dopant addition was studied in the degradation of 20 mg L^{-1} RBB solution using two different types of light sources, visible and UV-light for 120 min of irradiation time. The results of photocatalytic degradation by various photocatalysts are shown in Fig. 11.




Figure 11. The effect of different photocatalysts on the degradation of RBB using (a) visible, and (b) UV-light irradiation, photocatalyst dosage: 1 g L^{-1} , pH: 5.5, and RBB concentration: 20 mg L^{-1}

As a comparison, the degradation of RBB without the addition of photocatalyst was also examined to find out the photolysis properties of RBB. The results showed that after 120 min of visible-light irradiation, RBB does not undergo degrade. However, a very small concentration decrease was observed after 60 min of UV exposure, then the concentration tends to constant even up to 120 min of irradiation, which demonstrated that the RBB is quite stable under sunlight. A very small degradation also observed when TiO₂ was added into the solution, even after 120 min of visible light irradiation, only 48% of the RBB can be degraded. Since the energy from visible light was not enough to initiate the excitation of the electrons from the VB to the CB of TiO₂, and •OH radicals were not optimally generated. Compared to TiO₂, the decoloration performance of S-TiO₂ was significantly increased both under visible and UV light. S_{10%}-TiO₂ showed the best photocatalytic activity with the removal percentages of RBB was 94% and 96% after 120 min of visible and UV light exposure, respectively (Fig. 11). Meanwhile, only 48% and 81% of RBB could be degraded by TiO₂ at the same light source and time. This result is in accordance with the band gap energy (E_g) from UV-Vis SRS, that S_{10%}-TiO₂ has a smaller E_g than TiO₂ and corresponds to the energy of visible light irradiation.

S_{2%}-TiO₂ (75% degradation) displayed the second good photocatalytic activity compared to S_{4%}-TiO₂ (64% degradation) although the E_g of S_{4%}-TiO₂ was slightly smaller than S_{2%}-TiO₂. This may due to the larger surface area of S_{2%}-TiO₂ (Table 1), so the amount of pollutants adsorbed on the surface of S_{2%}-TiO₂ is greater than S_{4%}-TiO₂. Sulfur doping is known to cause a significant increase in the surface area of the photocatalyst. Moreover, the number of active sites will increase with increasing surface area. The large surface area of the catalyst can facilitate more hydroxyl groups of water molecules that are adsorbed where the hydroxyl groups can serve as trap holes to provide hydroxyl radicals (•OH) for pollutant degradation (Devi and Kavitha, 2014). Furthermore, RBB degradation by S_{20%}-TiO₂ was not much different from TiO₂. Although E_g of S_{20%}-TiO₂ (3.11 eV) is smaller than TiO₂ (3.2 eV), the particle size is much larger than TiO₂, suggesting that the addition of

20% dopant concentration causes agglomeration on the TiO_2 surface and inhibit light absorption for electron excitation process (Isari et al., 2018).

RBB degradation was initiated by the adsorption process followed by a photocatalytic reaction. To evaluate whether the adsorption process continues along with the photocatalytic process, catalyst adsorption of TiO_2 and $\text{S}_{10\%}\text{-TiO}_2$ was carried out in dark condition for 120 min without irradiation as shown in Fig. 12. The adsorption process of these catalysts was continued until 15 min, then the RBB concentration tends to be constant, which implied that after 15 minutes of contact time, the process was photocatalytic degradation.




Figure 12. Adsorption of RBB by TiO_2 and $\text{S}_{10\%}\text{-TiO}_2$ in the dark condition at RBB concentration: 20 mg L^{-1} , initial pH 5.5, and photocatalyst dosage: 1 g L^{-1}


3.2.2 Effect of pH

The effect of pH is a pivotal parameter in the efficiency of the decolorization process because it can affect the photocatalyst surface, dye characteristics, and the rate of the degradation process. The effect of the initial pH on the RBB degradation by $\text{S}_{10\%}\text{-TiO}_2$ catalyst under visible light exposure was evaluated in the range 2.0-10.0 as shown in Fig. 13 (a).

According to Fig. 13 (a), the RBB degradation efficiency increased from 66.6% to 93% at 60 minutes when the initial pH was decreased from 4.0 to 3.0. However, the degradation efficiency

decreased to 35.8%, 21.4% , and 18.1% while the pH increased to 8.0, 9.0, and 10.0, respectively.

TiO₂ has different charges, positively or negatively, depend on the solution pH:



From Fig. 13 (a), the optimum pH for RBB degradation is 3.0 while the pH of point zero charge (pH_{ZPC}) of TiO₂ is 6.8 (Muruganandham *et al.*, 2006). TiO₂ surface will be positively charged when the pH solution is below the pH_{ZPC}. Meanwhile, at acidic medium (pH = 3.0), RBB contains sulfonate (SO₃⁻) and sulfite (SO₃²⁻) groups which can be adsorbed properly through electrostatic force by the positively charged of TiO₂ surface as the beginning of the degradation process (Muruganandham *et al.*, 2006; Ghoreishian *et al.*, 2014). However, decreasing the solution pH to 2.0 did not show an increase in degradation efficiency compared to pH 3.0. Then, the degradation efficiency decreased as the pH increased from 7.0 to 10.0 due to in this condition, TiO₂ surface was negatively charged resulting in a repulsion with the dye charge.

3.2.3 Effect of S_{10%}-TiO₂ dosage

Evaluation of photocatalytic performance with various catalyst doses was performed by varying the S_{10%}-TiO₂ mass from 0.2 to 1.0 g L⁻¹ (Fig. 13(b)). Increased the photocatalyst dose from 0.2 to 0.8 g L⁻¹ resulted in an increase in the degradation from 61% to 97%, since the surface area will increase with an increasing the number of catalyst, the dye adsorption rate and the hydroxyl radical formation on the surface will increase. However, the result did not rise significantly when the catalyst dose was increased to 1.0 g L⁻¹. It can be caused by the excessive amount of catalyst causing aggregation, thereby reducing the intensity of light entering the solution, which causes a decrease of the rate of radical formation for the degradation process.

3.2.4 Effect of dye concentration

The initial concentration of pollutants will affect the photocatalytic ability of S_{10%}-TiO₂. For this purpose, the RBB concentration is varied from 20 to 50 mg L⁻¹. As shown in Fig. 13 (c), the degradation efficiency reduced from 97 to 67% when the dye concentration increased from 20 to 50

mg L⁻¹, which was associated with a higher of the dye concentration causing the number of negative charge molecules of RBB adsorbed on the catalyst surface is build-up, as consequence blocking the formation of reactive species due to deactivation of the active site of the catalyst. Also, at high concentration of dye, contaminant molecules adsorbed more photons which reduce the light intensity attacked by the catalyst (Isari *et al.*, 2018).




Figure 13. Influence of operational factors on photocatalytic degradation of RBB for 120 min visible light illumination by S_{10%}-TiO₂ photocatalyst, (a) Effect of pH at photocatalyst dosage: 1 g L⁻¹, RBB concentration: 20 mg L⁻¹, (b) Effect of photocatalyst dosage at initial pH: 3.0, RBB concentration: 20 mg L⁻¹, and (c) Effect of RBB concentration at catalyst dosage: 0.8 g L⁻¹, pH: 3.0.

3.2.5 The recyclable ability of $S_{10\%}\text{-TiO}_2$ photocatalyst

Stability and reuse tests of $S_{10\%}\text{-TiO}_2$ photocatalyst material have been carried out. For each photocatalytic process, $S_{10\%}\text{-TiO}_2$ was centrifuged then washed and dried for reuse in the next process. Fig. 14 shows the results of the photocatalyst recycling test with the same condition. The results showed a fairly high photodegradation efficiency and only slightly decreased by 19% after four cycles. Therefore, this study shows that this photocatalyst has good prospects and can be used repeatedly for decontaminate pollutants in water.




Figure 14. Recyclability of $S_{10\%}\text{-TiO}_2$ for RBB photocatalytic degradation under visible light illumination, RBB concentration: 20 mg L^{-1} , initial pH: 3.0, and photocatalyst dosage: 0.8 g L^{-1}

3.3 Kinetic study

Several kinetic model equations can be used to evaluate the kinetics of RBB photodegradation by $S_{10\%}\text{-TiO}_2$ and TiO_2 under solar illumination. The most suitable kinetics model will produce the greatest correlation coefficient (R^2). The reaction rate constant (k) of the photodegradation of RBB was studied using the Langmuir-Hinshelwood kinetic equation (Eq. 9), pseudo-first-order (PFO) (Eq. 10), and pseudo-second-order (PSO) (Eq. 11).

The Langmuir-Hinshelwood (L-H) kinetics model is the most widely used for evaluating the photocatalytic kinetics of chemical compounds on semiconductor surfaces using the L-H kinetics equation as follows (Sun *et al.*, 2018):

$$\frac{\ln(\frac{C_0}{C})}{C_0-C} = \frac{m}{V} k_{pm} K_{ads} \frac{t}{C_0-C} - K_{ads} \quad (9)$$

where C_0 is the initial dye concentration, C is the concentration at time t , m is the mass of the photocatalyst, V is the volume of the solution, K_{pm} is the photocatalytic reaction rate constant per unit mass of the photocatalyst, K_{ads} is the photocatalyst adsorption equilibrium constant, and t is the degradation time. The value for K_{pm} can be obtained from the slope and intercept of linear equation by the plot between $\ln(C_0/C)/(C_0-C)$ vs. $t/(C_0-C)$.

The experimental data was also applied to the PFO kinetics equation (Eq. 10):

$$\ln \frac{q_e}{q_e - q_t} = k_1 t \quad (10)$$

where q_e is the amount of substrate adsorbed per unit mass of catalyst at equilibrium (mg g^{-1}), q_t is the amount of substrate adsorbed per unit mass of catalyst at time t (mg g^{-1}). From Eq. 10, a linear curve can be made between $\ln \frac{q_e}{q_e - q_t}$ vs. t , the slope value is obtained which is the constant rate of photodegradation RBB (k_1) (Fig. 15 (b)) (Tan and Hameed, 2017).

Then, PSO kinetics equation is also used:

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{1}{q_e} t \quad (11)$$

where k_2 is the PSO rate constant ($\text{g mg}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1}$). The value of k_2 can be obtained from plot linear between t/q_t vs. t (Fig. 15 (c)).

The correlation coefficient (R^2) value obtained from the PSO is greater than the L-H and PFO kinetics model, which indicates that the photodegradation of RBB by $\text{S}_{10\%}\text{-TiO}_2$ follows PSO kinetics model, where the degradation rate is influenced by the concentration of RBB and the active group on the photocatalyst surface. The values of L-H, PFO, and PSO parameters of the evaluation results are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Kinetics parameters of L-H, PFO, and PSO on RBB decontamination

Langmuir-Hinshelwood kinetics model			
Photocatalyst	k_{pm} (mol g ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)	K_{ads} (m ³ mol ⁻¹)	R^2
TiO ₂	2.39 x 10 ⁻⁵	51.30	0.870
S _{10%} -TiO ₂	3.0 x 10 ⁻⁴	47.78	0.694
Pseudo first order kinetic model			
	k_1 (min ⁻¹)	q_e (mg g ⁻¹)	R^2
TiO ₂	0.017	9.55	0.986
S _{10%} -TiO ₂	0.028	17.98	0.934
Pseudo second order kinetics model			
	k_2 (g mg ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)	q_e (mg g ⁻¹)	R^2
TiO ₂	0.8869	13.10	0.870
S _{10%} -TiO ₂	0.9461	17.78	0.938




Figure 15. Plots of (a) L-H (b) PFO, and (c) PSO models for photocatalytic degradation of RBB by TiO_2 and $\text{S}_{10\%}\text{-TiO}_2$, RBB concentration: 20 mg L^{-1} , initial pH: 5.5, and photocatalyst dosage: 1.0 g L^{-1}

3.4 Mechanism of RBB photodegradation

Photocatalytic reactions can occur when TiO_2 absorbs photons from illumination that have a wavelength greater than the band gap energy. The characterization result show that S-doped TiO_2 has been successfully synthesized. S doping caused the bandgap narrowing of TiO_2 thereby increasing visible light activity of the photocatalyst. A schematic of the photocatalytic mechanism by $\text{S}_{10\%}\text{-TiO}_2$ is shown in Fig. 16. When $\text{S}_{10\%}\text{-TiO}_2$ is exposed to visible light, the electrons in the VB will be moved

towards the CB (e_{CB}^-) and produce holes (h_{VB}^+) in the VB. These excited electrons and hole pairs are commonly known as excitons (Islam *et al.*, 2020).



The holes can be reacted with adsorbed hydroxyl anion in the TiO_2 surface to produce hydroxyl radicals which have strong oxidizing power to decompose organic pollutants, and excited electrons (e_{CB}^-) can reduce O_2 to form oxygen radicals which can be converted into hydroxyl radicals in water.




Figure 16. Schematic mechanism of S-doped TiO₂ for photocatalytic degradation of RBB

4. Conclusion

The decolorization of Remazol Black (RBB) was investigated using a S-TiO₂ photocatalyst. Characterization using XRD, FT-IR, SEM-EDX, UV-Vis SRS, and TEM showed that S-doped TiO₂ has been successfully synthesized and was able to degrade RBB under visible light illumination better than bare TiO₂. 10% (w/w) S was the optimum concentration that increased the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ to the visible region, which resulted in the largest reduction in the bandgap to 3.02 eV. 94% decolorization was achieved by S_{10%}-TiO₂ while bare TiO₂ was only able to degrade 48% RBB for

120 minutes of visible light exposure. Parameters affecting the effectiveness of catalytic decolorization have been studied. The best results were obtained at pH solution of 3.0, and photocatalyst dose of 0.8 gL^{-1} . In general, by increasing RBB concentration, the rate of photocatalytic degradation decreases, and kinetic analysis shows that the decolorization followed the pseudo-second-order kinetic model.

5. Acknowledgements

This research was financially supported by Kemenristekdikti (Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education) of Indonesia through the Pendidikan Magister menuju Doktor untuk Sarjana Unggul (PMDSU) Scholarship Program (No. 3140/UN1/DITLIT/DIT-LIT/LT/2020).

References

- Abu, S. and Ribeiro, C., 2016, An insight toward the photocatalytic activity of S doped 1-D TiO_2 nanorods prepared via novel route: As promising platform for environmental leap, *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.*, 412, 78–92.
- Ahmad, M.A. and Rahman, N.K., 2011, Equilibrium, kinetics and thermodynamic of Remazol Brilliant Orange 3R dye adsorption on coffee husk-based activated carbon, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 170, 154–161.
- Aksu, Z. and Akın, A.B., 2010, Comparison of Remazol Black B biosorptive properties of live and treated activated sludge, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 165, 184–193.
- Akti, F., 2018, Photocatalytic degradation of remazol yellow using polyaniline-doped tin oxide hybrid photocatalysts with diatomite support, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 455, 931–939.
- Alvarez, P.J.J., Chan, C.K., Elimelech, M., Halas, N.J., and Villagrán, D., 2018, Emerging opportunities for nanotechnology to enhance water security, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 13, 634–641.
- Asiri, A.M., Al-amoudi, M.S., Bazaid, S.A., Adam, A.A., Alamry, K.A., and Anandan, S., 2014, Enhanced visible light photodegradation of water pollutants over N-, S-doped titanium dioxide and n-titanium dioxide in the presence of inorganic anions, *J. Saudi Chem. Soc.*, 18, 155–163.

- Bessergenev, V. G., Mateus, M. C., Botelho, A.M., Hantusch, M., and Burkel, E., 2015, An improvement of photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ Degussa P25 powder, *Appl. Catal. A, Gen.*, 500, 40–50.
- Chaudhuri, R.G. and Paria, S., 2014, Visible light induced photocatalytic activity of sulfur doped hollow TiO₂ nanoparticles, synthesized via a novel route, *Dalt. Trans.*, 43, 5526–5534.
- Chen, C., Cheng, M., and Chen, A., 2012, Photocatalytic decolorization of Remazol Black 5 and Remazol Brilliant Orange 3R by mesoporous TiO₂, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 102, 125–133.
- Chen, X., Kuo, D., and Lu, D., 2017, Visible light response and superior dispersed S-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles synthesized via ionic liquid, *Adv. Powder Technol.*, 28, 1213–1220.
- Chen, X., Sun, H., Zhang, J., Guo, Y., and Kuo, D., 2019, Cationic S-doped TiO₂/SiO₂ visible-light photocatalyst synthesized by co-hydrolysis method and its application for organic degradation, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 273, 50–57.
- Chen, Z., Ma, J., Yang, K., Feng, S., Tan, W., and Tao, Y., 2017, Preparation of S-doped TiO₂-three dimensional graphene aerogels as a highly efficient photocatalyst, *Synth. Met.*, 231, 51–57.
- Devi, L.G. and Kavitha, R., 2014, Enhanced photocatalytic activity of sulfur doped TiO₂ for the decomposition of phenol: A new insight into the bulk and surface modification, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 143, 1300–1308.
- Eskandari, P., Farhadian, M., Nazar, A.R.S., and Jeon, B., 2019, Adsorption and photodegradation efficiency of TiO₂/Fe₂O₃/PAC and TiO₂/Fe₂O₃/Zeolite nanophotocatalyst for the removal of cyanide, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 58, 2099–2112.
- Ghoreishian, S.M., Badii, K., Norouzi, M., Rashidi, A., Montazer, M., Sadeghi, M., and Vafaei, M., 2014, Decolorization and mineralization of an azo reactive dye using loaded nano-photocatalysts on spacer fabric: Kinetic study and operational factors, *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.*, 4, 2436–2446.
- Hamadani, M. and Majedi, A., 2009, Preparation and characterization of S-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles, effect of calcination temperature and evaluation of photocatalytic activity, *Mater.*

Chem. Phys., 116, 376–382.

Han, C., Andersen, J., Likodimos, V., Falaras, P., Linkugel, J., and Dionysiou, D.D., 2014, The effect of solvent in the sol–gel synthesis of visible light-activated, sulfur-doped TiO₂ nanostructured porous films for water treatment, *Catal. Today*, 224, 132–139.

Han, C., Pelaez, M., Likodimos, V., Kontos, A.G., Falaras, P., O’Shea, K., and Dionysiou, D.D., 2011, Innovative visible light-activated sulfur doped TiO₂ films for water treatment, *Appl. Catal. B, Environ.*, 107, 77–87.

Isari, A.A., Payan, A., Fattahi, M., Jorfi, S., and Kakavandi, B., 2018, Photocatalytic degradation of rhodamine B and real textile wastewater using Fe-doped TiO₂ anchored on reduced graphene oxide (Fe-TiO₂/rGO): Characterization and feasibility, mechanism and pathway studies, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 462, 549–564.

Islam, M.T., Dominguez, A., Turley, R.S., Kim, H., Sultana, K.A., Shuvo, M., Alvarado-tenorio, B., Montes, M.O., Lin, Y., Gardea-torresdey, J., and Noveron, J.C., 2020, Development of photocatalytic paint based on TiO₂ and photopolymer resin for the degradation of organic pollutants in water, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 704, 135406.

Kumar, K.M., Godavarthi, S., Karthik, T.V.K., Mahendhiran, M., Hernandez-eligio, A., Hernandez-como, N., Agarwal, V., and Gomez, L.M., 2016, Green synthesis of S-doped rod shaped anatase TiO₂ microstructures, *Mater. Lett.*, 183, 211–214.

Lin, Y.H., Hsueh, H.T., Chang, C.W., and Chu, H., 2016, The visible light-driven photodegradation of dimethyl sulfide on S-doped TiO₂: Characterization, kinetics, and reaction pathways, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.*, 199, 1–10.

Marques, S.M., Tavares, C.J., Oliveira, L.F., and Oliveira-campos, A.M.F., 2010, Photocatalytic degradation of C.I. Reactive Blue 19 with nitrogen-doped TiO₂ catalysts thin films under UV/visible light, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 983, 147–152.

Mcmanamon, C., O’Connell, J., Delaney, P., Rasappa, S., Holmes, J.D., and Morris, M.A., 2015, A facile route to synthesis of S-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles for photocatalytic activity, *J. Mol. Catal.*

A. Chem., 406, 51–57.

- Murcia, J.J., Hidalgo, M.C., Navío, J.A., Arana, J., and Dona-Rodríguez, J.M., 2015, Study of the phenol photocatalytic degradation over TiO₂ modified by sulfation, fluorination, and platinum nanoparticles photodeposition, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.*, 179, 305–312.
- Muruganandham, M., Sobana, N., and Swaminathan, M., 2006, Solar assisted photocatalytic and photochemical degradation of Reactive Black 5, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 137, 1371–1376.
- Muruganandham, M. and Swaminathan, M., 2006, Advanced oxidative decolourisation of Reactive Yellow processes—a comparative study, 48, 297–303.
- Olowoyo, J.O., Kumar, M., Jain, S.L., Shen, S., Zhou, Z., Mao, S.S., Vorontsov, A. V, and Kumar, U., 2018, Reinforced photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to fuel by efficient S-TiO₂ : Significance of sulfur doping, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 1–14.
- Pereira, L.D.O., Moura, S.G. De, Coelho, G.C.M., Oliveira, L.C.A., Almeida, E.T. De, and Magalhães, F., 2019, Magnetic photocatalysts from industrial residues and TiO₂ for the degradation of organic contaminants, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, 7, 102826.
- Rauf, M.A., Meetani, M.A., and Hisaindee, S., 2011, An overview on the photocatalytic degradation of azo dyes in the presence of TiO₂ doped with selective transition metals, *DES*, 276, 13–27.
- Sahel, K., Bouhent, M., Belkhadem, F., Ferchichi, M., Dappozze, F., Guillard, C., and Figueras, F., 2014, Photocatalytic degradation of anionic and cationic dyes over TiO₂ P25 , and Ti-pillared clays and Ag-doped Ti-pillared clays, *Appl. Clay Sci.*, 95, 205–210.
- Sahel, K., Perol, N., Dappozze, F., Bouhent, M., Derriche, Z., and Guillard, C., 2010, Photocatalytic degradation of a mixture of two anionic dyes: Procion Red MX-5B and Remazol Black 5 (RB5), *Journal Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem.*, 212, 107–112.
- Siddiqa, A., Masih, D., Anjum, D., and Siddiq, M., 2015, Cobalt and sulfur co-doped nano-size TiO₂ for photodegradation of various dyes and phenol, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 37, 100–109.
- Sun, P., Zhang, J., Liu, W., Wang, Q., and Cao, W., 2018, Modification to L-H Kinetics Model and Its Application in the Investigation on Photodegradation, *Catalysts*, 8, 326–340.

- Szatmáry, L., Bakardjieva, S., Subrt, J., Bezdič, P., Brezová, V., and Korenko, M., 2011, Sulphur doped nanoparticles of TiO₂, *Catal. Today*, 161, 23–28.
- Tan, K.L. and Hameed, B.H., 2017, Insight into the adsorption kinetics models for the removal of contaminants from aqueous solutions, *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.*, 74, 25–48.
- Tian, G., Pan, K., Fu, H., Jing, L., and Zhou, W., 2009, Enhanced photocatalytic activity of S-doped TiO₂ – ZrO₂ nanoparticles under visible-light irradiation, 166, 939–944.
- Wahyuni, E.T., Yulikayani, P.Y., and Aprilita, N.H., 2020, Enhancement of visible-light photocatalytic activity of Cu-doped TiO₂ for photodegradation of amoxicillin in water, *J. Mater. Environ. Sci.*, 11, 670–683.
- Wu, M., Wu, P., Lin, T., and Lin, T., 2018, Photocatalytic performance of Cu-doped TiO₂ nanofibers treated by the hydrothermal synthesis and air-thermal treatment, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 430, 390–398.
- Yi, C., Liao, Q., Deng, W., Huang, Y., Mao, J., Zhang, B., and Wu, G., 2019, The preparation of amorphous TiO₂ doped with cationic S and its application to the degradation of DCFs under visible light irradiation, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 684, 527–536.
- Yilmaz, P., Lacerda, A.M., Larrosa, I., and Dunn, S., 2017, Photoelectrocatalysis of Rhodamine B and Solar Hydrogen Production by TiO₂ and Pd/TiO₂ Catalyst Systems, *Electrochim. Acta*, 231, 641–649.
- Zhu, M., Zhai, C., Qiu, L., Lu, C., Paton, A.S., Du, Y., and Goh, M.C., 2015, New method to synthesize S-doped TiO₂ with stable and highly efficient photocatalytic performance under indoor sunlight irradiation, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 3, 3123–3129.