1	Environmental Se	nsitivity Index Analysis for coasta	l protection of oil spill	l in Fakfak, Papua,				
2		Indonesia						
3								
4	Suyud Warno Utom	o ¹ , Rahayu Kusuma Risdianto ^{2*} , Ruo	dy P. Tambunan ¹ , and V	Undang Hernawan ³				
5	¹ Oil and Gas RnD L	emigas, Cipulir St. Kav 109, South	Jakarta 12230, Indones	ia				
6	² School of Environmental Sciences, University of Indonesia, Salemba 10430, Jakarta, Indonesia							
7	³ Center for Geologi	cal Survey, Geological Agency, Ban	dung 40122, West Java	a, Indonesia				
8	*Corresponding auth	nor:						
9	E-mail:	risdiantork@gmail.com,	tel:	(+62-21)7222583				
			.62					
		$O \setminus \bullet$						
	6							
	\sim							
	~							





13 ABSTRACT

12

This study was conducted to analysis of the Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI) for anticipating 14 the effect of oil spills on the environment in coastal areas. The study location is the coastal area and 15 waters of the Karas District, Fakfak Regency, West Papua Province, Indonesia. The purpose of the 16 study is to determine the priority of areas that are sensitive to oil spills. This method was carried out 17 by scoring each unit of land based on vulnerability, conservation, and social values. ESI Analysis 18 was carried out through geographic information systems and classified into 5 classes of sensitivity 19 levels. The land use classification was carried out through satellite imagery and field surveys 20 conducted in December 2018. The results of resource classification can be divided into 16 classes. 21 The ESI analysis showed that most (51%) were categorized as insensitive, sensitive low 24%, very 22 sensitive 15%, moderately sensitive 6%, and sensitive 4%. Although most are not sensitive, it 23 24 should be followed by effective environmental protection to maintain sustainable development.

Keywords: ESI, coastal management, conservation, vulnerable area of oil spills, vulnerability
value, conservation value, social value

27

28 **1. Introduction**

The coastal area of Karas District, Fakfak, West Papua Indonesia is natural ecoystem used as a source of livelihood for the surrounding community. The existence of oil and gas working block, i.e. Semai III Block (MEMR, 2018) in the vicinity of this location (Figure 1) raises concerns about environmental damage caused by the possibility of an oil spill. The Semai block is planning to carry out exploration drilling. The implication is that the area becomes potential to oil spill occurrences that give a significant impact on aquatic and environmental resources (Mukhtasor, 2007; Mursalin *et al.*, 2014).

The mapping of the environmental sensitivity index (ESI) in Fakfak, Papua, Indonesia was carried out with the aim of producing an ESI map for the coastal area of Fakfak. The fact that oil spills can degrade ecosystem conditions should be taken into account in order to preserve the environment which is the basis for the survival of the ecosystem and the surrounding community. Therefore, it is necessary to respond quickly, precisely and accordingly in the event of an oil spill.

One effort to overcome oil spills is prioritizing the handling of potentially polluted areas that helps 41 42 to allocate resources and it can be executed based on environmental sensitivity that reflects the reaction rate of a coastal area to recover if an oil spill disaster occurs. (Utantyo et al., 2003). 43 Mapping the level of environmental sensitivity to oil spill events is a step in preparedness efforts, 44 45 responses, and efforts to cooperate in tackling oil spills (IPIECA/IMO/OGP 2012), essential tool/material to assist policymakers during events (IPIECA/IMO/OGP 2012) and crucial for the 46 most efficient implementation for the effectiveness of cleaning operations (Filho et al., 2009). ESI 47 should be made before oil spills occur (Oyedepo and Adeofun, 2011). The ESI scale classifies 48 coastal environments according to sensitivity relative to oil spills by approach of integrates 49 50 information of coastal vulnerability, natural resources, and its utilization by the human (NOAA, 2001). 51

In order to anticipate ecosystems from possible oil spills, it is necessary to conducts
environmentally planning and studies by mapping the Environmental Sensitivity Index (Hernawan

and Risdianto, 2014). The method produce ESI map that provide an overview of the level of vulnerability of an area to possible oil spills based on the level of vulnerability, conservation and social conditions of the environment and community, which is slightly different from the determination made by Souza and Filho (2009) which divides the level of vulnerability from 1 - 10 with an emphasis on physical conditions. environment.

59 **2. Materials and methods**

60 2.1. Study location and time of research

61 The research was conducted in the coastal area of the Karas district, Fakfak regency, West Papua 62 province at coordinates 132.5° – 133.05° E and 3.25° – 3.8° S (Figure 1). The research was 63 conducted from August 2018 until April 2019 and a field survey was conducted in December 2018.



64 65

Figure 1. The study area

66 2.2. Population and sample

The population consists of coastal ecosystems with mangrove ecosystems, coral reefs, seagrass, and
households or fishing families with community samples are people who work as fishermen, both
capture fishermen and aquaculture fishermen.

70 The sampling technique for coastal ecosystem used incidental sampling and simple random sampling techniques for the community. Incidental sampling was carried out in certain areas with 71 characteristics that are considered suitable to be sampled for mangrove ecosystems, coral reefs, 72 73 seagrass and forests. Simple random sampling was carried out by questionnaire to community samples (fishermen), where samples are taken regardless of the level in the household population or 74 fishing family. The sampling point of coral reef is 6 sampling locations, mangrove 4 locations, 75 seagrass 4 location and social (community). The number of samples of households or families of 76 fishermen in the research location are 7 sampel of 201 families and calculated using Slovin's 77 formula (Almeida et al., 2010) 78

79 2.3. Data collection

Data collected through a) observation or field survey; namely direct observation of the ecosystem and social conditions of the community during field work b) questionnaires and interviews with community respondents who work as fishermen; where the sample was taken regardless of the level in the household population or fishermen's family. The purpose of the interview is to obtain an overview of the social conditions of the community in relation to the utilization of the existing ecosystem, so that it can be used in determining the social value of ESI and c) literature studies related to research.

87 2.4. Data analysis

Data analysis was carried out by elaborating various data using Geographical Information System 88 (GIS), applied using the zonal or cluster method and calculated by using tabular analysis (Wardhani 89 et al., 2011). Mangrove and seagrass analysis include visual observation of the number of species in 90 one observation transect with a size of 10 x 10 meters in a large transect of 100 x 100 m for coral 91 92 reef and size 15 x 15 for seagrass in order to determine the conservation or ecological value of the resource. The status of utilization of mangrove forest was obtained through interviews with 93 surrounding communities. Coral reefs analysis was carried out to obtain the number of species and 94 the percentage of the live coral cover area by using formula L (%) = $\frac{\text{Li}}{\text{Ln}}$ (English *et al.*, 1997), 95

where L = Percentage of coral cover (%), Li = number of observation points of dominant live
coral size 10 m x 10 m, Ln = total number of observation points in one transect size 100 m x 100 m.
The result classified in 5 classes percentage category.

99 ESI analysis was carried out using an overlay modeling based on the equation developed PKSPL-

100 IPB (1994) that adopted from Gundlach (1978), NOAA (2002), Sloan (1993), namely:

 $ESI = VV \times CV \times SV$

where VV is the Vulnerability Value, CV is the Conservation Value and SV is the Social Value. 102 Vulnerability Value, describe the class of resources that reflect the level of vulnerability of habitat, 103 land use, land cover affected by an activity; Conservation Value / Ecological Value, describe 104 representativeness, uniqueness, integrity and relationship to other class of resources;; Social Value, 105 describe the impact of the economy, social and culture from an activity (such as oil spill) to a class 106 of resources. Each component has a value between 1 - 5, so ESI value has values range from 1 as 107 least sensitive to 125 as most sensitive. The ESI value divided into 5 (five) environmental 108 sensitivity classes based on the distribution of values, namely 1 <ESI < 24 (Insensitive), 25 <ESI < 109 49 (Low sensitivity), 50 < ESI < 74 (Mod sensitivity) and 100 < ESI < 125 (Very sensitive). 110

111 Specifically for the vulnerability index used criteria developed by Sloan (1993) that classified 112 several habitats and coastal ecosystems that are classified as vulnerable or sensitive to pollution by 113 oil spills (Table 1). Coastal charateristics classified base on Dolan et. al. (1975).

114 Table 1. Ecosystem and habitat vulnerability in coasta	l areas
---	---------

Vulnerability Level	Category	Habitat type
5	Very High	Mangrove, brackish swamp, protected Rocky tidal areas,
		protected flood plain, special closure (e.g. for rare species)
4	High	Coral reefs, seagrass beds
3	Fair	Semi-open waters (bay, pier)
2	Low	Rocky beach, sandy beach
1	Very Low	Rocky upland areas open, open water (offshore), rocky
		subtidal (basic/rocky hard coral), soft rocky subtidal

115 Source: Sloan, 1993.

116 **3. Results and Discussion**

117 *3.1. Resource Classes*

The results of the study showed that the resources of the study were divided into 17 classes, which are presented in Figure 2 and photograph of vulnerable ecosystem presented in Figure 3. The determination of vulnerable ecosystem was referred to Sloan, 1993 (see Table 1).



121

122

Figure 2. Coastal and aquatic resources

123 *3.1.1. Coral reef*

Based on the results of field observations and secondary data from the Ministry of Maritime Affairs 124 and Fisheries (2013), the Karas district has a relatively extensive distribution of reefs, especially in 125 coastal areas, ranging from Tanjung Tongerai to Tanjung Purkadi. The presence of coral reefs can 126 also be seen starting from Tanjung Purkadi, especially around the Malakuli village, to the 127 Nusaselasi region. The distribution of coral reefs was also seen around the islands of Karas, the 128 island of Faurkiaba, and the island of Tuberwasaktarak, even though the distribution was only a 129 little around the islands. The number of species that can be identified around the waters of the Karas 130 district is 6 (six) species. The six species are Favia sp., Favites sp., Porites sp., Acropora sp., 131 Seriatopora sp., and Montipora sp. The lowest live coral cover density is in 3 (three) observation 132 locations, namely around Patirar area (27%), waters near the village of Antalisa (25%), and waters 133

near the village of Kiaba (22%). Live coral cover density levels with moderate levels were observed
in 2 (two) locations, namely in the southern part of the Malakuli village (39%) and waters around
the Nusaselasi area (25%). The area around the waters southwest of Malakuli village was observed

to have a high level of live coral cover density (42%).

138 *3.1.2. Mangrove*

Mangroves around the Karas district spread from the Gurantarawai bay coast to the Malakuli
coastal waters. There are 4 (four) species of mangrove found around the Karas district, namely *Avicennia sp., Bruguiera sp., Rhizophora sp.*, and *Soneratia sp.* The dominant mangrove species is

142 *Rhizophora sp.*

143 *3.1.3. Seagrass*

The presence of seagrass in the Karas district is spread from the area around the Gurantarawai bay coast to the Malakuli coastal waters and around the Nusaselasi coastal waters. The species found at the research sites in Karas district were 5 (five) types, namely *Syrongodium sp., Cymodosea sp., Enhalus sp., Thalassia sp.,* and *Halophila sp. Enhalus sp.* is seagrass species that have the most widespread and dominant distribution.

149 *3.1.4. Fishery*

Fisheries in Karas district generally are sea cucumbers, shark fins, flying fish eggs, lobsters, crabs, and groupers. Most of the fishermen use traditional fishing gear to catch live groupers. There are two types of fishing in the Karas district, namely fishing in the open sea and traditional fishing areas. Traditional fishing areas can be in the form of floating net cages that are not too far from the coastline. The marine product cultivation area in the Karas district covers the area of seaweed cultivation and pearl shells. Aquaculture is generally carried out in waters near the coast.

156 *3.1.5. Forest and land resources*

Forest resources include limited production forest areas and industrial plantations, while cultivation land resources include wetlands and dry land. Industrial plantation areas were observed on the large island of Papua starting from around the area east of Tanjung Murak to the north around the 160 Nusaselasi area. A limited production forest area was observed in the south of Tanjung Murak. The 161 area of dry land food crops was observed around Nusaselasi to the northwest. Whereas, the area of 162 wetland food crops is in the north of Nusaselasi with a relatively small area.

163 *3.1.6. Protected areas*

Protected areas in the study area consist of nature reserves and primary forests in mountainous or hilly areas with the status of land use as protected forests. Protected forest areas in the study area was observed around Tanjung Tongerai-Tanjung Murak, north of Nusaselasi, Karas island, Faurkiaba island, and Tuberwasaktarak island. Other protected areas in the research area in the Karas district are beach sanctuaries.

169 *3.1.7. Tourism area*

Tourism potentials in this area include white sand beaches on the coast of Tanjung Tongerai and maritime adventure tourism locations such as diving, special interest tours for research on coral reef ecosystems in the area around the Tanjung Tongerai coastline, Tanjung Murak, Gurantarawai bay,

173 Kaswari bay, and Nusaselasi.



174

d.

- Figure 3. The vulnerable ecosystem in the study area (a) coral reef, (b) mangrove, (c) seagrass, (d) floating net cage, and (e) tourism area
- 177 *3.2. Coastal Characteristic*

Coastal characteristics of the study area (Figure 4) can be divided into four types, namely: 1) cliff
beach, which is characterized by rugged coastal rock and cliff that reflect moderate-steep slope,
utilize as protected/conservation forest and a part of small island sanctuaries. This coastal type

181 located in the southern and northern part of the study area and Karas isles, 2) rocky beach, which 182 characterized with boulder, rock with low-moderate slope, 3) mangrove beach, which characterized 183 by low slope morphology and covered by mangrove vegetation, and 4) sandy beach, which 184 characterized by sand beach with low-moderate slope. In some area is as a sandy narrow pocket 185 beach. Some area utilizes as tourist area (white sand beach), settlement, and harbor development. 186 The settlement area directly facing the sea has narrow sandy beaches.



187

188

Figure 4. Coastal Characteristic of the study area

189 *3.2. Environmental Sensitivity Index*

The results of the ESI based on the classification of equations (i) with normal distribution indicate the sensitivity level of the study area can be divided into five classes, namely very sensitive, sensitive, moderately sensitive, low sensitive, and insensitive (Figure 5). Table 2 shows the resume of VV, SV, SV, and ESI values of resources in the area.

Table 1. Resume of VV, CV, SV, and ESI class of resources

ID	Type of resource classes	VV	CV	SV	ESI	Sensitivity level	ESI Class
1	Coastal and small island	5	5	5	125	High sensitivity	5
	sanctuaries						
2	Coral reef	4	3.5	5	70	Moderate sensitivity	3
2	Coral reef	4	4	5	80	Sensitive	4

ID	Type of resource classes	VV	CV	SV	ESI	Sensitivity level	ESI Class
3	Dryland food crop	5	3	1	15	Insensitive	1
4	Floating net cage	5	5	5	125	High sensitivity	5
5	Industrial plant production forest	3	2	5	30	Low sensitivity	2
6	Limited production forest	3	1	5	125	Insensitive	1
7	Mangrove	5	4	5	100	Sensitive	4
8	Open water fishing	1	4	5	20	Insenstive	1
9	Pearl cultivation	5	5	5	125	High sensitivity	5
10	Port zone planning	3	1	5	15	Insensitive	1
11	Primary forest	3	5	5	75	Moderate sensitivity	3
12	Protected forest	5	5	5	125	High sensitivity	5
13	Residential of Malakuli Village	5	1	4	20	Insensitive	1
13	Residential of Tarak Village	5	1	4	20	Insensitive	1
13	Residential of Kiaba Village	5	1	3.5	17.5	Insensitive	1
13	Residential of Faur Village	5	1	3.75	18.75	Insensitive	1
13	Residential of Antalisa Village	5	1	3.75	18.75	Insensitive	1
13	Residential of Mas Village	5	1	3.75	18.75	Insensitive	1
13	Residential of Tuberwasak Village	5	1	3.5	17.5	Insensitive	1
14	Seagrass	4	5	5	100	Insensitive	4
15	Seaweed cultivation	5	3	5	75	Moderate sensitivity	3
16	Traditional capture fisheries	1	4	5	20	Insensitive	1
17	Wetland food crop	4	1	1	4	Insensitive	1

195 Note :

The difference in conservation value (CV) for coral reef is based on the difference in the percentage of live coral cover.

198 2) The difference in social value (SV) of residential areas is due to differences in social conditions in the
 area, namely the number of houses, work force, supporting facilities, and economic infrastructure.





Figure 5. Environmental sensitivity index classification

An area categorized as "very sensitive" and "sensitive", including an area that has special values, both ecologically and economically, should get priority to be protected and managed. This sensitive area can be in the form of a natural area that includes ecosystems, habitats both in the waters or 205 land, and areas that have substantial economic value and or can interfere with the livelihoods of the surrounding communities. Areas that classified as "very sensitive" and "sensitive" in this research 206 are very vulnerable to damage if exposed to pollutants from oil and gas industry activities such as 207 oil spills. This area must get a priority because they can affect the presence of other biological 208 resources such as biota in the vicinity. Humans who live around these areas will also be affected 209 both from the health and socio-economic aspects, either directly or indirectly. The area is 210 211 categorized as "very sensitive" and "sensitive" because it has a high value of vulnerability, ecological or conservation, and socio-economic value. 212

The criteria for highly sensitive areas in this region especially protected forests and coastal borders 213 or small island sanctuaries, are related to the existence of stringent management and are limited by 214 the existence of applicable regulations and laws issued by the central government and regional 215 governments. Also, there are stringent regulations made by local communities based on the 216 agreement in force in the area. Floating net cages (FNC) are "very sensitive" in the study area due 217 to the use of particular water areas around FNC. The FNC waters areas is used for the placement 218 and storage of fish catches which have a high economic value of the type of snapper and grouper. 219 This commodity has a high economic value if sold alive to be sent to Bali or export to Hong Kong. 220 As a comparison, the selling price of snapper and grouper based on information from fishing 221 communities in the study area, if sold in living conditions the range is between IDR 280,000 -222 290,000 per kilogram. However, if it is already dead, most people will not sell it and only consume 223 it in the area. Aquatic areas for pearl cultivation are "very sensitive" criteria because they are related 224 to the use of waters for particular purposes with relatively little diversity of cultured pearl shells. 225 The process of natural pearl formation takes a long time so that this commodity has a very high 226 selling value. So that if it experiences damage or failed cultivation if it is exposed to pollutants by 227 oil and gas industry activities, it will be difficult to recover and it takes a relatively long time to 228 adjust the physical and chemical conditions of the waters to re-establish pearl farming activities. 229

230 Some areas which classified as sensitive to coral reefs, mangrove forests and seagrass in the study area are related to ecosystem vulnerability, conservation values or ecological values, and high 231 socio-economic values. This type of resource is three interconnected ecosystems in supporting the 232 ecological balance system in the territorial waters. Mangrove forest ecosystems are a source of 233 234 nutrient or nutrient supply for coastal areas and are indicators of coastal fertility. The mangrove forest area is also used as a natural spawning area as well as a natural enlargement place for various 235 types of fish because in the region there are many food sources for small fish. The function of 236 237 mangrove forests is indirect for the local community (the location is not adjacent to the settlement) as well as a coastal abrasion barrier. Muarif et al. (2012) emphasize the difficulty in handling oil 238 spill in mangrove ecosystem indicated the importance of efforts to avoid oil spills from entering 239 into mangrove ecosystem. 240

The role of coral reefs and seagrass ecosystems is crucial for biota and fish in the waters, especially in terms of further development or enlargement. This ecosystem will be a supplier of food sources and natural refuge from predators before growing into adult biota and fish. Coral reef areas can be a marine tourism area that will provide high economic value and benefits for the surrounding communities. However, there are coral reef areas classified as "moderate sensitive" criteria because they are related to the percentage of live coral cover, which is relatively small (11% -30%).

The type of primary forest resources belongs to the "moderate sensitive" area because it is related to 247 the level of vulnerability that is not too high in terms of protecting forest areas, even though the 248 249 biodiversity in this region is high. Various species of vegetation, including those that are endemic, grow and develop in the area. The surrounding community searches for many types of plants and 250 other materials such as ant nests and other types of plants as ingredients for making traditional 251 medicines and hunting to meet their own needs (not for sale). Thus, in terms of conservation or 252 ecological values and socio-economic values are still relatively high. The area that is classified as 253 "moderate sensitive" is seaweed cultivation. The level of vulnerability is high because of the use of 254 255 waters specifically. However, from the aspect of conservation value or ecology value is not too high because of the use of species of Gelidium sp. easily obtained by the community at the research 256

location. However, the high dependence of some people whose livelihoods are in the field of seaweed cultivation, causes the socio-economic value of this cultivation to be significant. Poses to overcome areas that are classified as "moderate sensitive" will be the next priority after the areas that are classified as "very sensitive" and "sensitive" in the event of pollution by oil and gas industry activities.

Areas that are classified as "low sensitive" will be the next priority after handling areas that 262 263 classified as "very sensitive", "sensitive", and "moderate sensitive" because the risk and damage to habitat are relatively smaller compared to areas classified as "very sensitive", "sensitive" and 264 "moderate sensitive". Areas that are classified as "low sensitive" in the study area are production 265 forests or industrial plantations. This area is not protected, and commercial products can be utilized 266 economically with selective logging systems. The diversity of species that only have two types 267 (Akasia and Meranti) causes this region to have relatively small conservation values. Also, 268 production forest habitats or industrial plantations, which are mostly grown on land, are less likely 269 to be exposed to pollutants if there is an impact of pollution by oil and gas industry activities. 270

Areas that are classified as "insensitive" will have a relatively shorter impact or even have no 271 impact at all in response to the presence of pollutants by oil and gas industry activities. Limited 272 types of production forest resources in the study area almost have the same character as the type of 273 industrial plantation. The difference is only in conservation values, in the limited production forests 274 in the study area consists of only one type of tree, Meranti. This condition distinguishes a limited 275 production forest belonging to an "insensitive" area. The types of wetland and dryland resources 276 belong to "insensitive" resources because they are associated with relatively low production (< IDR 277 14 million/year). In the study area, the products produced from the wetland area did not exist at all 278 because there were indeed no communities farming in wetland areas such as rice or other types of 279 wetland plants. However, in the Fakfak district spatial plan map, an appropriate area has been 280 provided and can be used to conduct wetland cultivation business at the research site. Likewise for 281 282 dry land types, generally only in the form of garden plants around the house where production is minimal and used for personal use (not sold). 283

The fishing area, both open sea fishing and traditional capture fisheries belong to the "insensitive" criterion and it related to relatively open water areas. So, if there is pollution due to oil and gas industry activities, pollutants will be easily dispersed, and the concentration of pollutants will decrease. The process of handling and handling pollutants is relatively faster.

Residential areas include areas classified as "insensitive". The low ESI value for settlements in the study area is because, in this area, there are no historical sites or sacred sites whose status must be protected. Although the level of a vulnerability is quite high when viewed from the aspect of residential distance from the sea which is very close (<6 km), the contour height is relatively flatmoderate slope (0-25 meters), has rivers that are used by the surrounding community and relatively high socio-economic value, not making this area has a high sensitivity class.

- 294
- 295 *3.3. DISCUSSION*

The concept of mapping coastal environments and ranking them on a scale of relative sensitivity was originated in 1976 for Lower Cook Inlet in the United States (NOAA, 2002). The ESI system is an international scheme that classifies and ranks the overall sensitivity of different coastal habitats to oil spills. (Sanjarani *et al.*, 2015).

Environmental sensitivity level in the coastal area due to oil spill determined by three components, 300 i.e. oil exposure, ecological sensitivity and socio-economical sensitivity (Schallier et al., 2013). 301 Exposure of coastal ecosystem that vulnerable to oil spill implies the possibility of oil spill enter the 302 coastal area (Tyler-Walters et al., 2001) and determined by hydro oceanographic factors. In the 303 coastal area, there are several ecosystems that vulnerable to oil pollution. The ecosystems are 304 mangrove, coral reef, and seagrass. The effort to resolve oil spill in mangrove is very difficult, 305 because of difficult access to mangrove (Hoff, 2002). If vulnerable coastal ecosystem impaired 306 ecologically, then the socio-economical function of the ecosystem will be affected also. This 307

condition indicates that oil spill in the vulnerable area of the coastal ecosystem will lead to
disruption of the component of social, economical and culture in the community that has relevance
to the coastal ecosystem. Once this spill reaches the shore it creates major ecological damage
(Sanjarani *et al.*, 2015).

Areas classified as sensitive for coral reefs, mangrove and seagrass in the study area are related to 312 ecosystem vulnerabilities, conservation values or high ecological and socio-economic values. These 313 314 types of resources are three ecosystems that are interrelated in supporting the ecological balance system in water areas. Mangrove or mangrove forest ecosystems as a source of nutrient supply for 315 coastal areas and become indicators of the fertility of coastal waters which are classified as sensitive 316 according to research conducted by Sanjarani et al., 2015. The function of mangroves is indirectly 317 for local communities (locations not close to each other) with human settlements) as well as a 318 barrier to coastal abrasion in the research area. The role of coral reef ecosystems and seagrass beds 319 is very important for biota and fish in the waters, especially in terms of further development or 320 enlargement. This ecosystem will provide a source of food and a natural refuge from predators 321 before it grows into biota and adult fish. Coral reef areas can become a marine tourism area that will 322 provide high economic value and benefits to the surrounding community. 323

The distribution pattern of areas classified as very sensitive, sensitive and moderate sensitive which 324 is a priority for countermeasures is almost spread throughout the study area. The relationship 325 between the movement of physical oceanographic conditions (currents and waves) and the presence 326 of areas classified as very sensitive, sensitive and moderate will provide important information in 327 the implementation of oil and gas operations in the study area. Semi diurnal type of the the study 328 area will affect the content of pollutants that have the potential to be exposed to areas classified as 329 very sensitive, sensitive and moderate, especially during high tide. So it is necessary to anticipate by 330 monitoring the condition of the tide and the direction of the currents leading to areas that are 331 classified as very sensitive, sensitive and medium sensitive. 332

In order to anticipate the impact of pollution by the activities of the oil and gas industry and to protect the types of resources in areas that have a high level of sensitivity (very sensitive, medium sensitive and sensitive), it is necessary to integrate information on areas classified as prone to the movement patterns of oil spills (oil spill trajectory model) so the contingency plan and speed of handling time are more planned, faster and more efficient in the study area.

338 339

340 **4.** Conclusion

The environmental sensitivity index (ESI) in the study area shows that most regions are areas that are "insensitive" to oil spills, namely fishing areas, limited production forests, food crops, and settlements. Besides, the area categorized as "sensitive" and "very sensitive" count as much as 19 percent. Nevertheless, in the context of environmental protection for sustainable development, attention should be prioritized to prevent oil pollution because if there is an oil spill, the high effort needed to clean it.

347 **References**

348 Almeida J.V., Capistrano T.G. and Sarte G.M.F. (2010), Elementary Statistics, UP Press

349 Philippines, Quezon City.

- Dolan R., Hayden B. and Vincent M. (1977), Classification on coastal landform of the Americas in
 Classification of Coastal Environments, Technical Report No 14, University of Virginia,
- 352 Virginia.
- English S.A., Baker V.J. and Wilkinson C.R. (1997), *Survey Manual for Tropical Marine Resources*, Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville.
- Filho P.W.M.S., Prost M.T.R.dC., de Miranda F.P., Sales M.E.C., Borges H.V., da Costa F.R., de
 Almeida E.F., Junior W.dR.N. (2009), Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI) mapping of oil
 spill in the Amazon coastal zone: the Piatam mar project, *Brazilian Journal of Geophysics*,
 27(Supl.1), 7-22.
- Gundlach E.R. and Hayes M.O. (1978), Vulnerability of coastal environment to oil spill impact,
 Marine Technology Society Journal, **12**(4), 18-27.
- Hernawan U.and Risdianto R.K. (2017), Coastal protection of southern part of the Bintuni Bay from
 oil spill: an Environmental Sensitivity Index approach, *Bulletin of the Marine Geology*, 13(2),
 77-88.
- Hoff,R., Hensel, P., Proffitt, E.C., Delgado, P., Shigenaka, G., Yender, R. (2002), *Oil Spill in Mangrove, Planning and Response Considerations*. NOAA Ocean Service, Office of
 Response and Restoration.
- 367 IPIECA/IMO/OGP. (2012), Sensitivity Mapping for Oil Spill Response, The global oil and gas
 368 industry association for environmental and social issues, International Association of Oil &
 369 Gas Producers, International Maritime Organization, London.
- MEMR (Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources) (2018), *Petroleum working block in Indonesia Region*, Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources, Jakarta.
- 372 Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (2013), *Data Book and Analysis of the Preparation of Zoning*
- 373 Plans for Coastal Areas and Small Islands of Fakfak Regency, West Papua Province (in Bahasa),
- 374 Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries, Jakata.

375	Muarif, Damar A., Hariyadi S., Sutrisno D., Boer M. (2016), A Framework for screening of
376	variables of Ecological Sensitivity Index of mangrove ecosystems to oil spills, International
377	Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research, 1, 142-152.
378	Mukhtasor. (2007), Coastal and Marine Pollution (in Bahasa), Pradnya Paramita, Jakarta.
379	Mursalin, Nurjaya I.W and Effendi H. (2014), Analyze of environmental sensitivity for OSCP (Oil
380	Spill Contingency Plan) at the southern coast of Mahakam Delta, East Kalimantan Province
381	(in Bahasa with English abstract). Journal of Natural Resources and Environmental
382	Management, 4 (1), 84-95.
383	NOOA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) (2001), Introduction to ESI Maps,
384	Office of Response and Restoration, National Ocean Service, NOOA, Washington.
385	NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) (2002), Environmental Sensitivity
386	Index Guidelines, Version 3.0, NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS OR&R 11, Washington.
387	Oyedepo J.A. and Adeofun C.O. (2011), Environmental Sensitivity Index Mapping of Lagos
388	Shoreline, Global NEST Journal, 13(3), 277-287.
389	PKSPL-IPB. (1994), Mapping of the Environmental Sensitivity Index of the Coastal Areas of
390	Subang-Indramayu, West Java Province, Pertamina, Jakarta.
391	Sanjarani, M. et al. (2015), Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI) mapping for oil spills at Strait of
392	Hormuz, Iran. <i>Research Journal of Fisheries and Hydrobiology</i> , 10 (9), 216-223.
393	Schallier R, van Roy W, and van Cappellen M. (2013), Technical Sub-report 6: Development of an
394	Environmental and Socioeconomic Sensitivity Methodology. Be Aware, Bonn Agreement
395	Belgium.
396	Sloan N.A. (1993), Various Impacts of Oil on Marine Resources. A Literature Review from Around
397	the World that is Relevant for Indonesia, EMDIKLH, Jakarta.
398	Tyler-Walters H, Hiscock K, Leur DB, Jackson A. (2001), Identifying and ecosystem sensitivities.
399	Report to Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. From the Marine Life

400	Information	Network	(MarLIN),	Marine	Biological	Association	of	the	United	Kingdom,
401	Plymouth. (F	Final Repo	ort).							

- Utantyo, Hartono and Sutikno. (2003), GIS Applications for Environmental Sensitivity Index
 Mapping Case Study in Cilacap Coastal Area and Segara Anakan), *Humans and the Environment*, X(3), 131-140.
- Wardhani M.K., Sulistiono and Siregar V.P. (2011), Level of the south coastal area of Bangkalan
 Residence on oil spill potention (in Bahasa with English abstract), *Scientific Journal of Fisheries and Marine Sciences*, 3(1), 13-19.

408

- **Figure 1**. The study area
- **Figure 2**. Coastal and aquatic resources
- **Figure 1**. The vulnerable ecosystem in the study area (a) coral reef, (b) mangrove, (c) seagrass, (d) floating
- 412 net cage and (e) tourism area
- **Figure 4**. Coastal Characteristic of the study area
- **Figure 5**. Environmental sensitivity index classification
- **Table 2.** Ecosystem and habitat vulnerability in coastal areas
- **Table 3.** Resume of VV, CV, SV and ESI class of resources