- Algal homogeneity in water and sediment of mid- Ethiope River, Nigeria: a response to
 severe ecological disturbances.
- 3 Iloba, Kate Isioma
- 4 Delta State University, Abraka, Delta State, Nigeria
- 5 <u>kisyiloba@gmail.com</u> or iloba@delsu.edu.ng,+2348036761306

Accepted manuscrit

Graphical Abstract



Abstract

Different aquatic ecological zones exhibit different gradients present heterogeneous algal composition. The algal community in the water column and sediment with certain environmental factors were screened monthly for twelve months with standard methods, to ascertain disparate in algal distinction. Air and water temperature, conductivity, water depth, dissolved oxygen and nutrients were the primary factors that influenced the algal abundances and diversities. 90% of the algal communities were benthic (33 species) and planktic-benthic (21) with 10% truly planktonic (6) species. Comparatively, the water-column benthic and planktic-benthic surpasses the benthic species associated with the sediment. The PCA on the habitat- type abundance revealed that 99.5% benthic algae in the water column are responsible for the total algal- abundance variance. Species richness and Shannon diversity indices were low, which ANCOVA and Levene tests demonstrated significantly equal and homogeneity of algae respectively between the studied zones. The linear relationships between the algae in the water and sediment zones were non-significant (p>0.05). The Euclidean algorithm confirmed algal community similarity in the water column and sediment. Species diversity limits, a high proportion of benthic algae in the planktonic, and significant homogenous algae in water and sediment. Signatures sediment destruction, breaking and dislodgement and uplifting of associated bottom algae, and the constant mixing of the water column and sediment due to sand dredging in the study area. Machines and human-dredging has exacerbated the abundance of benthic algae in the water column.

Keywords: Dredging, diversity indices, Microflora, Univariate analyses, Water - River bed, Water variables.

1. Introduction

The middle reaches of River Ethiope in Niger Delta, serve as a means of livelihood for the Ethiope East communities living in its watershed for decades. These communities are highly interested in sand mining and dredging as a primary profession not undermining their trailing problems and other magnetized negative impact anthropogenic activities (Morhit and Mouhir, 2014). The reliance of the communities in and around on this water resource for sand-dredging jobs for sustenance has been on the rise, particularly with the advent of sand dredging machines for small-scale industries (Renuka et al., 2013).

Sand mining in Nigeria is un-regulated in Nigeria despite the stunning policies in place. Sand mining has plagued River Ethiope for decades via the production of very coarse sand of 0.5-1.00mm needed for quality construction (Iloba, 2012). These mechanical events result in water quality degradation, species loss and the destruction aquatic habitats. The employment of these environmental-stressor dredgers curates the river bed, impacts on the sediment, algal communities and the water quality via eroding, undercutting and the collapse of river beds and banks (Mugaga and Nabaasa, 2016) notwithstanding its benefits (Grygoruk et al., 2015). Other morphological impacts associated with sand mining include an increase in the river channel, the reconfiguration of the imagery events developed over the years and compromising the prime condition of the aquatic systems (Erftemeijer et al., 2012).

Algae are heterogeneous autotrophs, distributed heterogeneously in space and time via the creation of distinct habitats; a product of the interactions between the river flow with the sediment (Stancheva and Sheath, 2016). The water column and sediment algal distribution studies in rivers hypothesized their spatial and temporal distribution in the created distinct habitats (Pla-Rabe's and Catalan 2018). Therefore, algae distribution in water bodies is naturally temporal and spatial in a relatively calm environment (Hamid et al., 2020).

However, the established algal distribution in rivers may be mediated by disturbances such as dredging. Algal distribution, diversity and richness are therefore tools for detecting disturbance impacts in any aquatic ecosystem; since they have lifestyles associated habitats and are also highly sensitive to habitat-ecological stressors (Wan Maznah, 2010; El-karim 2015). Thus, algal species richness, composition, and abundance speak of river system's productivity and trophic dynamics (Jyothi et al., 2016), health (Omar, 2010; Desianti et al., 2017) and specific niche quality and characteristics of the ecological habitat (Estepp and Reavie, 2015), regardless of whether pelagic or benthic (Kim et al., 2018) and not underestimated (Colwell, 2012).

Evident from works of literature, algal studies in water and sediments of rivers had received considerable attention in the developed world than the underdeveloped nations, particularly in Nigeria (Adeyemo et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2009; Wakoma et al., 2016; Tyovenda et al., 2018). There are no water-sediment algal studies in Ethiope River outside a few studies on heavy metals (Asonye et al., 2007; Kaizer, and Osakwe 2010), fish (Ikomi et al., 2005; Iloba and Eferakeya 2019) and macrobenthic invertebrates (Iloba, 2012; Iloba et al., 2019). The limited research and the global use of information on the algal composition, species richness and diversity in the water column and sediments of rivers for development have generated interest and the investigation of this essential economic, natural resource (Desianti et al., 2017). Applications of such information by the developed world in the conservation and management of rivers under intense anthropogenic activities are worth emulation (Apitz, 2012; Ouillon, 2018).

The habitat specificity of algae is first hand in the assessment of dredging impact on algae species composition, and abundance and distribution via the absence or presence of habitatindicator species, since algae distribution in rivers are not homogenous despite its lotic nature (El-Karm, 2015). Thus the impact of dredging on algae in the sediment and the water column in mid-Ethiope River was assessed by investigating their composition, abundance and their heterogeneity using different diversity indices. Furthermore, the associations between the algae in both zones with the environmental variables were also evaluated. On this subject, water and sediments samples were collected and scrutinized for algae to evaluate the hypothesized variability in sediment and water column algae due to habitat heterogeneity. Information from this study will establish the River's health as well as guide better water management policies.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Area

The steep topography gradient and the morphometry of River Ethiope make the River the final recipient of the terrain-flood and fast-flowing Lowland River. In addition to natural attributes, the River at the middle experiences several impacts from small-scaled industrial and traditional sand dredging as well as other anthropogenic activities along its course. The River lies within the Niger Delta basin in Delta state, the southern part of Nigeria, with Benin River as its major tributary (Figure 1). The River is a transparent oligoionic freshwater system. Its entire length between is latitude 6°31 and 6° 30 N and longitude 500 - 600 E. The River is tidally influenced partially from Sapele to Aghalokpe, and sometimes it gets at Igun. Its width and depth range from less than 10 m to 90 m and 1m to 20 m, respectively (Iloba, 2017). The river flow is perennial with the highest level and discharges during the flood (July - November).

2.2 Water and Algae Sampling Collection

Water and sediment samples from three sampling stations of 2km apart longitudinally, in the middle reach of the Ethiope River (Figure 1). Firstly, composite water samples from the subsurface, above sediments were collected using a 2-litre Hydro bios sampler and analyzed for dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, conductivity, alkalinity, nitrate, sulphate and

phosphate using APHA (1989). The water and air temperature were determined directly in the field using mercury in glass thermometer (0 – 100 0 C). The use of a calibrated pole measured depth. A pH meter (model 7065) was used to determine the pH.

Alkalinity analyzed by titration method. Dissolved oxygen concentration was by Winkler's method, while Biochemical Oxygen Demand was determined after five days by Winkler's method too. Nitrates were determined using the diazotization method. The auto-analyzer II was used to determine the phosphate. Next, composite water column algae samples collected by straining 200L of water with 25 μ m-mesh plankton net, preserved with 4% formaldehyde.



Fig 1: Sampling locations at the middle reaches of Ethiope River at Igun.

Sediment samples were collected by pressing a bucket grab into the sediment to a depth of 5cm transferred into a plastic basin. The temperature and pH of the retrieved sediment samples were taken and subsequently preserved with 5 % formaldehyde and then taken to the laboratory. In the laboratory, sediment algae were collected by modified floatation method in a plastic basin with distilled water and sieved through 25 μ m mesh-plankton net. The algal

samples were viewed at 40x magnification using an Olympus binocular microscope. Taxonomic denominations and identifications were made by consulting AHPA (1989), Withford and Schumacher, (1973; Wehr and Sheath, (2003) and Wehr et al., 2015

2.3 Statistical Analysis

To test statistically significant differences between monthly physicochemical parameters of the water column and sediment, one way ANOVA performed. One way ANCOVA was used to establish linear regression slopes and their equalities for water and sediment algae for all studied zone. Levene's test was performed to appraise the sameness of algae in the water column and sediment algae tested at 0.05 confidence limits as the impact of dredging. Linear correlation analysis and principal component analysis was performed to buttress similarities between relative abundances of algae in water and sediment among the studied stations. Euclidean distance elucidated the similarities in habitat-type in the three studied stations. Pearson linear correlation applied on $\log x+1$ transformed abundances, and environmental variables, correct non-uniformity in metric scale and taxa variability are to detect the most influential parameters on algal abundances among studied stations. Species diversity and individual richness were used to predict the stability (expected trend) of algae in both water habitats using Hammer et al., 2001; Colwell et al., 2012).

3. **Results**

The overview of the outcome of water quality variables given in Table 1, identified variations within the different zones of study, however, no significant variations were found between the different zones except in nitrate (p<0.05) (Table 1).

A total of sixty (60) species of phytoplankton were detected in the water and sediment samples during this study, of which 46.67 % was Bacillariophyta (28 species), 31.67% Chlorophyta (19 species), 20 % Cyanophyta (12 species) and 1.67 % Euglenophyta (1 species) (Table 2). 90% of the algal communities were benthic (33 species) and planktic-

benthic (21) with 10% truly planktonic (6) species. Total sediment algal density varied from 189 ind ml⁻¹ to 200 ind ml⁻¹ lower than water column algal species which varied from 200 ind ml⁻¹ to 248 ind ml⁻¹. The algal composition in the water column and the sediment were dominated by *Fragilaria javanica, Navicula tenelloides, Synedra acus and Tabellaria fenestrate* (Bacillariophyceae) as shown in Table 2 and Figure 2A. In this study, 66.67% of the algal community had species primarily with 1-5cells/ml except for few species. A few species such as *Fragilaria javanica* (6-70cells/ml), *Navicula tenelloides* (1-45 cells/ml), *Synedra acus* (1-25cells/ml) dominating the group Bacillariophyta, and *Pleurotaenium sp, Scenedesmus incrassatulus, Spirogyra insignis* had 6-25cells/ml each, dominating for the Chlorophytes (Table 2). *Merismopedia elegans* dominates the group Cyanophyta while others (1-5cells/ml) but one; *Microcystis aureginous*, were widely distributed in the water columns and sediments.

Table 1: The summary of mean, coefficient of variation (C.V %) in parenthesis, range, F and
 P values for the sediments and pelagic zone stations (August to December 2011 and 2012)
 Mean±SE

	Station 1		Station 2		Station 3		F	р
Stations	ST1SD	ST1W	ST2SD	ST2W	ST3SD	ST3W		
Air	21.7(1.6	22.0(2.0	22.8(0.3	23(1.82)	24.0(1.6	24.6(1.6	1.30	0.87
temperatur	7))	1))	3)		
e (°C)								
Range	17.4 –	15.0-	19.0 –	18-27.0	20.2 –	20.0-		
	26.0	25.0	26.7		27.8	30.0		
Water	25.0(1.7	27.8(1.3	28.8(2.2	30.2(2.2	29.8(1.7	31.4(0.9	1.91	0.69
temp	7)	9)	9)	4)	6)	8)		
Range	20.5-	25.0-	23.0-	25.2-	25.3-	30.0-		
	29.6	32.0	34.7	38.0	34.4	35.0		
Depth (m)	8.6(0.30)	7.5	8.3(0.31)	7.0()	9.2(0.34	8.0(0.29	1.19	0.91
))		
Range	7.8 - 9.4	6.8-8.4	7.5 –	6.5-8.1	8.0-	7.0-9.0		
			9.05		10.0			
Conductiv	30.8	66.8(2.6	23.9()	62.2(2.6	27.4()	61.2(3.3	1.33	0.85

ity		3)		5)		1)		
Range	3.22 - 58	60.0-	5.76 -	53-69.0	9.7 -	50.0-		
(µS/cm)		74.0	47.8		39.8	69.0		
pН	3.7(0.16)	3.7(0.17	3.8(0.16)	3.8(0.17	4.0(0.10	4.04(0.0	1.5	0.8
)))	7)		
			STN 1	STN 2	STN 3	RA	Н	E
					C			
Range	3.3 - 4.2	3.2-4.2	3.4 - 4.2	3.2-4.2	3.7 –	3.8-4.2		
					4.2			
Dissolved	6.18(0.5	6.3(0.64	4.8(0.52)	4.8(0.64	5.7(0.72	5.74(0.8	1.16	0.92
Oxygen	5))))	8)		
Range	4.8-7.6	4.0-7.5	3.5- 6.17	2.5-6.2	3.8-7.5	3.1-8.5		
Biochemic	5.4(0.40)	5.6(0.44	5.0(0.46)	5.1(0.55	4.8(0.4)	4.8(0.48	1.78	0.72
al Oxygen)))		
Demand								
Range	4.3-6.5	4.7-6.8	3.8-6.2	3.5-6.5	3.8-5.8	3.2-6.0		
Phosphate	0.33(0.0	0.24(0.0	0.40(0.0	0.32(0.0	0.35(0.3	0.30(0.0	1.54	0.79
	6)	3)	6)	4)	5)	3)		
Range	0.15-	0.16-	0.3–0.60	0.19-	0.20-	0.22-		
	0.48	0.32		0.42	0.45	0.40		
Nitrate	1.5(0.07)	0.23(0.0	0.3(0.04)	0.30(0.0	1.70(0.0	0.30(0.0	356.2	0.005
		2)		3)	7)	3)	5	*
	1.30-	0.18-	0.20-	0.24-	1.30-	0.18-		
	1.70	0.30	0.40	0.38	1.70	0.38		

4 Table 2: Species Checklist, distribution, abundance, diversity indices and ecological habitats

5 (in parenthesis BOLD) of the algae community in the water-columns and sediments of mid-

6 Ethiope River.

		Acronym	ST1SD	ST1W	ST2SD	ST2W	ST2SD	ST3W			
	Bacillariophyceae	e									
1	Achnantes minutissima v affinis (B)	AMVA	-	++	-	++	-	+	1.82	1.39	0.67
2	Aulacoseira granulate(P-B)	AUGR	+	+	+	+	+	+	1.5	1.75	0.96
3	Asterionella formosa(P)	ASFO	+	+	+	+	+	+	0.55	1.75	0.96
4	Asterionella sp(P)	ASSP	+	+	+	+	+	+	1.26	1.74	0.95
5	Cyclotella comta(P-B)	CYCO	+	++	+	++	+	++	2.84	1.55	0.73
6	Cymbella gracilis(B)	CYGR	+	+	+	+	+	+	0.79	1.74	0.96
7	Diatom enlongatum(P- B)	DIEN	++	+	++	+	++	+	3.71	1.68	0.89
8	Diatoma hvemale(P-B)	DIHY	-	+	-	+	-	+	0.47	1.67	0.89
9	Diatoma vulgare(P-B)	DIVU	+	+	+	+	+	+	0.71	1.77	0.93
10	Eunotia monodon(B)	EUMO	+	-	+	-	+	-	0.87	1.39	0.80
11	Eunotia sudetica(B)	EUSU	++	-	++	+	++	+	2.61	1.69	0.90
12	Eunotia serra(B)	EUSE	+	+	+	+	+	+	1.19	1.69	0.90
13	Fragilaria javanica(B)	FRJA	++	++ ++ +	++	-	++	+++ +	11.1 4*	1.32	0.62
14	Mastogloia smithi(B)	MASM	+	+	+	+	+	+	0.87	1.78	0.98
15	Melosira granulata(B)	MEGR	+	+	+	+	+	+	0.71	1.75	0.96
16	Luticola mutica(B)	LUMU	+	+	+	+	+	+	0.79	1.77	0.98
17	Navicula. viridula(B)	NAVI	+	+	+	+	+	+	0.63	1.74	0.95
18	Navicula tenelloides(B)	NATE	+++ +	++	+++	++	+++	++	6.79	1.75	0.96
19	Peronia intermedium(B)	PEIN	+	+	+	+	+	+	0.95	1.76	0.97
20	Pleurosigma obtusatum(B)	PLOB	-	+	+	+	-	+	0.47	1.72	0.93
21	Quadrigula	QUCL	+	-	+	-	+	-	0.32	1.52	0.92

	closteriodes(B)										
22	Rhopalodia gibba(B)	RHGI	+	+	+	+	+	+	0.55	1.75	0.96
23	Stauroneis phoenicentron(B)	STPH	-	-	+	-	+	-	0.16	1.55	0.94
24	Surirella sp(B)	SUSP	-	++	-	++	-	+	1.58	1.37	0.65
25	Synedra acus(P-B)	SYAC	+++	+	+++	++	+++	+	6.32	1.58	0.81
26	Synedra ulna	SYUL	+	-	+	+	+	-	0.71	1.37	0.79
27	Tabellaria fenestrata(P-B)	TAFE	++	+	++	+	++	+	3.08	1.60	0.83
28	Tabellaria flocculosa(B) minina(P-B) Chlorophyceae	TAFL	++	+	+	+	+	+	1.5	1.70	0.91
29	Closterium acerosum(P-B)	CLAC	-	+	-	++	-	+	0.87	1.32	0.62
30	Closterium incurvum(P-B)	CLIN	+	+	+	++	+	+	1.58	1.49	0.74
31	Closterium sigmoideum(P - B)	CLSI	+	+	++	+	+	+	1.74	1.75	0.96
32	Closterium	CLGR	+	-	+	-	-	-	0.16	1.73	0.94
33	Chlorella Sp(P -	CHSP	+	-	+	-	+	-	0.32	1.70	0.91
34	Desmidium asymeticum(P - B)	DEAS	+	++	+	++	-	+	1.74	1.55	0.78
35	Gonatozygon knahani(B)	GOKN	+	+	+	+	+	+	1.26	1.77	0.98
36	Mougeotia parvula(B)	МОРА	-	-	-	++	-	++	1.66	1.15	0.53
37	Mougeotia sp(B)	MOSP	-	-	-	-	-	++	1.01	1.00	0.45
38	Mougeotiosis sp(B)	MOUG	-	-	-	-	-	++	0.71	0.85	0.39
39	Oedogonium grande(B)	OEGR	+	+	+	+	+	+	1.66	1.77	0.98
40	Pleurotaenium sp(P-B)	PLSP	-	+++	-	++	-	-	2.45	1.10	0.50
41	Scenedesmus ecornis v. polymorphus(P)	SEVP	+	+	+	+	+	+	0.63	1.74	0.95
42	Scenedesmus incrassatulus(P)	SCIN	++ +	-	+++	-	+++	-	4.19	1.30	0.61
43	Spirogyra	SPAF	+	+	+	+	+	+	1.11	1.78	0.99

44	Spirogyra insignis(B)	SPIN	-	++	-	++ +	-	++	2.92	1.33	0.63
45	Spirogyra sp(B)	SPSP	-	++	++	-	++	-	3.00	1.34	0.64
46	Ulothrix tenuissima (B)	ULTE	+	+	+	+	+	+	1.34	1.78	0.99
47	Zygogorium ericetorum(B) Cyanophyceae	ZYER	-	+	+	+	+	+	0.71	1.71	0.93
<i>48</i>	Aphanizomenon sp(P)	APSP	+	-	+	-	+	-	0.79	1.52	0.76
49	Merisimopedia elegans(P-B)	MEEL	++	++	++	++	++	++	3.32	1.79	1.00
50	Microcystis aureginous(P-B)	MIAU	++	-	++	-	++	-	1.74	1.42	0.69
51	Microcystis viridis	MIVI	+	+	+	+	+	+	1.19	1.75	0.96
52	Oscillatoria brevis(P-B)	OSBR	+	+	+	+	+	+	1.34	1.74	0.95
53	Oscillatoria proboscidea(P- B)	OSPR	+	+	+	+	+	+	1.26	1.76	0.97
54	Phormidium ambiguum(B)	PHAM	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	0.79	1.78	0.98
55	Phormidium ingricum(B)	PHIN	+	+	+	+	+	+	1.19	1.71	0.92
56	Phormidium mucicola(B)	PHMU	+	+	+	+	+	+	1.34	1.78	0.98
57	Phormidium tenius(B)	PHTE	+	+	+	+	+	+	1.34	1.75	0.96
58	Schizothrix	SCSP	+	+	+	+	+	+	0.71	1.77	0.98
59	Spirulina major(P-B) Euglenophyceae	SPMA	+	-	+	-	+	-	0.32	1.70	0.91
60	Euglena oxyuris(P)	EUOX	+	-	+	-	+	-	0.71	1.06	0.96
	Taxa		47	46	51	47	47	45			
S	Individuals		189	248	193	210	200	200			
Indice	Dominance		0.0 5	0.01	0.0 5	0.0 4	0.0 5	0.05			
rsity l	Shannon		3.3 3	3.07	3.4 3	3.5 7	3.0 4	3.36			
Dive	Evenness		0.5 0	0.47	0.6	0.7 6	0.6 1	0.61			

Note: (-):0cell/ml; +:1-5cells/ml; ++: 6-15cells/ml; +++: 16-25; ++++:26-45cells/ml; 46-69
:+++++:> 70cells/ml; H: Habitat: (B: Benthic; P: Planktonic; P-B: Planktonic-Benthic) RA:
relative abundance; H: Shannon index; E: Evenness.

Microcystis aureginous/ viridis registered only at the bottom (sediment). The generated linear 10 model generated between water and sediment algae compositions (Figure 2B) revealed no 11 marked distinction between the algae community structure of the water column and sediment. 12 The co-variance test for equal means for the three significant taxa; Bacillariophyta, 13 Chlorophyta and Cyanophyta, in water, sediment and water-sediment algae revealed no 14 statistically different taxa (F=3.111; p=0.09). Levene's test for homogeneity of variances for 15 means (p=0.1214) and median (p=0.1243) equally detected no significant difference. The 16 correction matrix map for the three significant taxa; Baccilariophyta, Chlorophyta and 17 Cyanophyta in water, sediment and water-sediment are in Figure 2C. The environmental 18 variables influence the major taxa are shown in Table. 19

The species-diversity indices limits at the species level were low (Table 2). Shannon indices 20 and evenness varied from between 0.85 to 1.79 and from 0.39 to 1.0 for Merisimopedia 21 elegans (Cyanophyceae) and Mougeotiosis sp (Chlorophyceae) respectively. Aggregately, the 22 community diversity indices at the different stations and zones revealed very high Shannon 23 indices of H>3.0, with the correlation coefficient amongst the algae abundances of the studied 24 stations very high(r > 0.90). The least correlation coefficient was 0.9769 for between station 1 25 sediment (ST1SD) and Station3 water column (ST3W) while the maximal correlation 26 coefficient was 0.99915 between Station 2 sediment (ST2SD) and Station3 sediment 27 (ST3SD). Shannon diversity t-test detected highly statistical differences between algae 28 29 composition in station 1 bottom(ST1-B) and Station 2 bottom(ST2-B) (t:-4.5165; df:429.41, p: 8.1354E-06; Station 1 bottom (ST1-B) (2.7124) and Station 3 bottom(ST3-B) (3.1523) t:-30

4.5165, t: 8.1354E-06 and non-statistical differences between Station 2 bottom (ST2-B)

32 and Station 3 bottom (ST3-B), t:1.2617, df: 443.98, p: 0.20778.

The principal components analysis clustered the identified species at the central axis, shown 33 in Figure 3A. The first and the second axes revealed that 82% of the algal composition 34 influence algal variability among the studied stations in the mid-Ethiope River. The position 35 of the studied zones separated the sediments of the three stations, stations 1 and 2 water 36 column and station 3 as three different zones. Figure 3C identified the high strong positive 37 association between benthic algae with the water column. The total number of 38 individuals/species influenced the sediments algae positively while the P and P-B influenced 39 one another positively along the negative axis. 40

The first axis isolating water column and benthic algae accounted for 99.5% of the total algae 41 variance, separated from the water-sediment and the total algal and planktonic-benthic and 42 benthic. The PCA further confirmed by the Euclidean similarity and distance indices (Table 43 3A). Although, some similarities (small distances) surprisingly were not correlated (Table 44 3A). Analyzing the algal community upon habitat/life forms preference, benthic and 45 planktonic-benthic species dominated all stations and zones of mid-Ethiope River, and real 46 planktonic species were very few Table 1 and Figure 3B. The affinity between the betwixt the 47 physicochemical parameters and the algae abundances are in Table 3B. 48

- 49
- 50
- 51



Figure 2: Taxa distribution(A), linear relationship (B) and the correlation matrix map(C)
between water, sediment, water-sediment algae at the various stations (Acronyms in Table 2)



abundance for Benthic (B), planktonic (P), Planktonic-Benthic (P-B) algae(B) and position of
studied sites, ha in Stations 1(ST1), 2(ST2) and 3(ST3)

88

89 **4. Discussion**

In the present study, water temperature, air temperature, conductivity, water depth, dissolved oxygen and nutrients (p<0.05) factors influenced algae abundance and diversities in middle River Ethiope (Table 3B). Thus, biological events in aquatic ecosystems are influenced by these environmental variables. Hou et al., (2018), reported that changes in the physicochemical variables modify algal abundance, composition and diversities in rivers.</p>

The geomorphic and inherent fundamentals are primers in structuring and controlling aquatic 95 communities (Wu et al., 2009; Onyema and Popoola, 2013; Wang et al., 2016; Hou et al., 96 2018). Ethiope river's sediment acidic nature (3.7 to 4.2) and high BOD (4.5 to 6.8mg/l) 97 values inferred zones of higher oxygen consumption than in the water column. However, the 98 BOD at both zones was more significant than 3, which inference the impact of released 99 100 nutrients and bottom-associated vegetation decomposition from the sediment, thereby impairing the river water quality (Pan et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2016). This is also evident in 101 the nutrient-enriched River's sediments noted in this study. Which may be attributable to 102 nutrients released from the sediment during the dredging possesses (Hamid et al., 2020). Rich 103 nutrient sediments are crucial in the abundance of the blue-greens in any aquatic ecosystem 104 but not evident in the present study (Adeyemo et al., 2008). This may be attributed to 105 insufficient light due to its absorption by solids, sand slits in the water column, which is 106 evident from the reduced transparency in this section of the river (Iloba, 2012). The negative 107 association between the Cyanophytes and BOD (r=-0.8241; p=0.04), and Chlorophytes versus 108 109 nitrate (r=-0.8273; p=0.04) (Table 3B) was contrary to natural expectations, signature other underlying determining factors in the study area. However, differential responses of algal 110 111 community to nutrients or organic enrichment have been noted in other water and sediment studies (Desianti et al., 2017). The positive correlation of dissolved oxygen on 112 Bacillariophyta (r = -0.8137, p = 0.0478) indicates its positive influence on the proliferation 113

114 of Bacillariophyta in this system. The relatively high amount of dissolved oxygen is due to 115 exchange between the air-water interphase (Hamid et al., 2020).

Accepted manuscing

117 Table 3: Similarity and distance indices and correlation coefficients in parenthesis between benthic, planktonic, planktonic-benthic algae

associations in water column and sediments zones (A) and Pearson correlation and p values of environmental variables and major taxa(B) in

119 mid-Ethiope River

А	ST1-B	ST1- P	ST1 -P-B	ST2-B	ST2-P	ST2-P-B	ST3-B	ST3-P	ST3-P-B
ST1-B	0	92.5 (0.50)	104.0(0.14)	68.7(0.00)	91.4(0.51)	102.7(0.12)	36.0(0.0)	91.1(0.50)	99.4(0.16)
ST1- P	92.5(-0.09)	0	50.0(0.52)	51.8(0.24)	2.7(0.0)	52.3(0.36)	73.3(0.36)	3.9(0.0)	46.9(0.45)
ST1 -P-B	104.0(-0.20)	50.0(-0.09)	0	65.7(0.02)	50.9(0.50)	18.0(0.0)	86.4(0.04)	50.2(0.49)	20.4(0.0)
ST2-B	68.7(0.62*)	51.8(-0.16)	65.7(-0.33)	0	50.7(0.25)	67.0(0.0)	47.0(0.0)	50.2(0.25)	62.0(0.03)
ST2-P	91.4(-0.09)	2.7(0.99*)	50.9(-0.09)	50.7(-0.15)	0	52.5(0.36)	72.3(0.38)	4.5(0.0)	47.4(0.43)
ST2-P-B	102.7(-0.21)	52.3(-0.12)	18.0(0.91*)	67.0(-0.35)	52.5(-0.12)	0	85.9(0.03)	52.0(0.35)	22.2(0.0)
ST3-B	36.0(0.92*)	73.3(-0.12)	86.4(-0.28)	50.0(0.68*)	72.3(-0.12)	85.9(-0.28)	0	72.0(0.37)	82.1(0.06)
ST3-P	91.1(-0.09)	3.9(0.99*)	50.2(0.09)	50.2(-0.16)	4.5(0.97*)	52.0(-0.13)	72.0(-0.12)	0	46.9(0.43)
ST3-P-B	99.4(-0.19)	46.9(-0.10)	20.4(0.88*)	62.0(-0.30)	47.4(-0.10)	22.2(0.86*)	82.1(-0.24)	46.9(-0.10)	0
				2					
			TO						

В	Air-T	Water-T	Depth	Conductivity	DO	BOD	PO4	NO4
Bacillariophyta	-0.84(0.04)				0.81(0.05)		-0.79(0.059)	
Chlorophyta			-0.91(0.01)	0.88(0.02)				-0.83(0.04)

116

Cyanophyta				-0.82(0.04)		120
Conductivity		-0.82(0.05)			-0.84(0.04)	121
NO3		0.81(0.04)				121
BOD	-0.83(0.04)				R	122
				S		

. This association is attributable to the dominance of Bacillariophyceae in this study and 123 124 exertion of the River's flow on algal assemblages and habitat destruction by dredge through dislodgement and nutrients enhancement as demonstrated in this study (Berge et al., 2010). 125 126 The predominance of diatoms in this river is contrary to the noted dominance of Chlorophytes in rivers. This may be due to out-competition of the chlorophytes by the benthic. Benthic 127 algae are essential indicators of human disturbances (Gokce, 2016). Abonyi et al., (2014) 128 opined that the algae biomasses in the middle reaches of large rivers are exceptionally high in 129 line with the river continuum concept (RCC) (Vannote et al., 1980). A high number of species 130 and abundance is partially valid for mid- River Ethiope with only 60 species, which is 131 incomparable with the over 150 species upstream the studied stations (Iloba, 2012). The 132 number of algae species in the present study does not validate the river continuum concept, as 133 demonstrated by the Euclidean similarity distances. The closet clustering found between the 134 135 same habitat-types and their non-significant between across the three stations revealed habitat similarity and possibly no downstream habitat-gradient in the study area (Vannote et al., 136 1980). Thus, the high correlation found between the three stations' benthic, planktic-benthic 137 and planktonic, respectively (Table 3). 138

The study revealed that the water column benthic and planktic-benthic surpasses the benthic 139 species associated with the sediments. This observation may attributable to the fracture, 140 breakdown, and extraction of sand from the riverbed or sediment during sand mining. The 141 mechanical processes involved in sand excavation dislodge and upload benthic algae-142 associated slits, particulate organic loads, solids and substrate into the water column 143 144 (Adeyemo et al., 2008; Anderson, 2014; Mabidi et al., 2017). These suspensions harbour benthic and planktic-benthic species which remain suspended via the continuous surface and 145 benthic plumes by multiple dredges produced over a long period continually, and the river 146 147 flow overwhelming the ephemeral nature of plumes (Jones et al., 2015). This breakdown

148 facilitated the loss of algae chronicles expected in the ideal or undisturbed water column and 149 sediment prior to dredging. Thus, machine and human-dredging has exacerbated the 150 abundance of benthic algae in the water column.

The high number of benthic and planktonic-benthic habitat-indicator algae in the water 151 column, therefore, suggests the prevalence of suitable niches for their existence in the water 152 153 column of the studied River, which is enhanced by light penetration. Autecological analysis of such benthic algae-heavy water column suggests numerous benthic associated habitats to 154 which benthic-associated algae are attached and planktonic-benthic are entangled (Wu et al., 155 2009; Wehr et al., 2015). Secondly, the persistence of associated benthic-algae across or 156 through the studied stations may be associated with the water column mixing and downstream 157 158 movement of algal associated sediments (Wu et al., 2009). Again, the persistence and dominance of benthic and planktonic-benthic could be due to their resilience to a vast array of 159 disturbances indicated by the dominant species; Fragilaria javanica (Murnaghan et al., 2015; 160 161 Breuer et al., 2017). The interactive processes between the attached algae and stable, liquid compounds (Moreira-Gonzalez et al., 2020) and also the dredging impact exceed the 162 community resilience (Erftemeijer et al., 2012). 163

Algal uniformity among the studied stations testifies of algal response to anthropogenic 164 activities in this River (Table 3). The interconnectedness of the different taxa in the two 165 aquatic zones is also evident from their non-significant linear model (Figures 2A and 2B). 166 167 Such an extent of uniformity in the algal composition of the two river zones is not far-fetched from the various dredging activities on the River. The Anthropocene activities are thus 168 responsible for the low species diversities (Table 2), thereby impacting negatively on the 169 170 species and number of algae in the present study (Mabidi et al., 2017). Several researchers have reported similar dredging impacts on algae composition and abundances in river systems 171 (Erftemeijer et al., 2012; Grygoruk et al., 2015; Iloba et al., 2018). Dredging activities in this 172

173 river make it impossible to predict the synergistic consequences of other plausible natural 174 stressors responsible for algal uniformity among the studied stations. These may include 175 planktic-benthic algae importation during flood and the continuous algal mixing via flow and 176 water currents (Erftemeijer et al., 2012).

Algae are not isopycnic (having the same density) with the medium resulting in the instability of the composition, abundance and diversity of the species in the water and sediments (Vinogradov et al., 2018). The dominant appearances of epiphytic algal species in the planktonic zones could be responsible for the non-significant difference between the algal composition of the water column and sediment at the various stations (p<0.05).

The overt low species diversities demonstrated in the present study expressed an impaired 182 stressful, disturbed, and an unstable water body for the algal species (Omar, 2010; Ahmed et 183 al., 2015). The low number and the disproportionate distribution of algae species 184 demonstrated significantly equal and homogenous by the ANCOVA and Levene tests 185 respectively in the studied zones due to the interaction between the surface and the bottom 186 water by intense anthropogenic disturbance is not by chance. The regression plot is indicative 187 of a strong relationship between the water column and sediment algae which was further 188 189 confirmed by the non-violation of equal co-variance of algae in the studied zones (p>0.05). The algal homogeneity is therefore not random, but due to dredging impact. Researchers have 190 demonstrated that the impact of human-disturbance in rivers more significant than the 191 192 interactions between algae and water (Ishaq and Khan, 2013; X-Gao et al., 2018). Contrary to 193 species diversity, the relatively zonal biological diversities (Shannon (H) > 3) at the different stations portray stable ecological zones (Jyothi et al., 2016). Researchers have reported a 194 195 reduction in species diversity and richness through habitat destruction, disruption in water and sedimentary environment (X-Gao et al., 2018). The algal composition and abundance in the 196 studied area are unknown to assess the magnitude of damage and alteration of the River's 197

habitat and local biota for comparison but is already threatened evidenced from the low biological indices (Iloba et al., 2019 and Hamid et al., 2020). The non-significant and significant differences demonstrated by the Shannon diversity t-test predict niche overlap among the studied sites. However, species diversity indices are an unparalleled tool to the taxon to taxon analyses, which evidenced stressed condition for the algal species. These findings are in agreement with Iloba (2017) in microfauna evaluation of Ethiope River, opined that diversity could be high in a stressful environment.

205 **5.** Conclusion

This research evaluated the algal composition and abundance distinctiveness in the water 206 column, and sediment of the middle reaches of the Ethiope River and observed no 207 heterogeinity. The study identified 60 species of algae belonging to four taxa; Bacillariophya, 208 Chlorophyta, Cyanophyta and Euglenophyta in decreasing order. The study has substantiated 209 that algal community in the water column was higher than those of the sediment due to 210 upload, and release of algae into the water column in response to the dredging activities; 211 however, the algal communities of both zones were dominantly attached algae (tychoplankton 212 and euplankton). Furthermore, the algal communities at the different zones demonstrated no 213 distinction among the study sites when subjected under different statistical evaluations 214 (p>0.05), thus, revealed no habitat heterogeneity. Thus the destruction of the natural river bed 215 of this River through sand mining and dredging has created a river with significant slits or 216 organic loads. The study envisioned ecological disaster in future if sand mining is not put 217 218 under control. The boundary disappearance between sediments and water column also indicts the sand dredging activities in the study area. Sand dredging and its associated plumes mixed 219 220 and impact uniformity between the algal community structure of the water column and sediment. Thus the water column and sediment algal communities in the study are similar. 221 222 The low species richness and low Shannon diversity indices revealed a disturbed and unstable

river indicting observed human interferences; dredging. The algal communities in the watersediment of the middle reaches of Ethiope River have been severely altered and homogenized from bottom to top. This situation calls for a functional regulatory body to constrain on the dredging activities in the area, to abate habitat destruction, and avoid socioeconomic disaster. Law enactment will also monitor these activities or put a hold on sand dredging, to allow time for sediment recovery before engaging in regulatory and guided dredging.

229 Acknowledgements

230 The author appreciates the assistance of the Department of Animal and Environmental231 Biology laboratory technologists in the analysis of the samples.

232 **References**

- Abonyi A., Leitao M., Stankovic I., Borics G and Varbiro G. (2014), A large river (River
 Loire, France survey to compare Phytoplankton functional approaches: Do they display
 river zones in a similar way? *Ecological Indicators*. 46, 11- 22.http://dx.doi.org/
 10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.05.038.
- Adeyemo O.K., Adedokun O.A. Adeleye E.A. (2008), Seasonal Changes in PhysicoChemical Parameters and Nutrient Load of River Sediments in Ibadan City, Nigeria.
 Global NEST Journal, 10, (3), 326-336
- Heneash A.M.M, Tadrose H.R.Z., Hussein M.M.A., Hamdona S.K., Abdel-Aziz N., Gharib
 S.M.(2015), Potential effects of abiotic factors on the abundance and distribution of the
 plankton in the Western Harbour, south-eatern Mediterranean Sea, Egypt. Oceanologia,
 57, 61-70
- Anderson J.N. (2014), Landscape Disturbance and Lake Response: Temporal and Spatial
 Perspectives. *Freshwater Reviews*, 7(2), 77 120.

- APHA (1989), American *water works Association*. Water pollution control. Federation
 Standard method for the examination of water and wastewater 17th Edn.
- Apitz S.E. (2012), Conceptualizing the role of sediment in sustaining ecosystem services:
 Sediment-ecosystem regional assessment (SEcoRA) *Science of the Total Environment*,
 415, 9-30.
- Asonye C. C., Okolie N. P., Okenwa E. E and Iwuanyanwu U. G.(2007). Some physicochemical characteristics and heavy metal profiles of Nigerian rivers, streams and
 waterways. African Journal of Biotechnology, 6 (5), 617-624
- Berge T., Daugbjerg N., Anderson B.B. and Hansen P. J. (2010), Effects of lowered pH on
 marine phytoplankton growth rate. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 416, 79-91.
- 256 Breuer F., Janz P., Farrelly E. and Ebke K.P. (2017), Environmental and structural factors
- influencing algal communities in small streams and ditches in central Germany. *Journal of Freshwater Ecology*, **32**(1), 65-83. DOI:10.1080/02705060.2016.1241954
- 259 Colwell R.K., Chao A., Gotelli N.J., Lin S., Mao C.X., Chazdon R.L., and Longino J.T.
- (2012), Models and estimators linking individual-based and sample-based rarefaction
 extrapolation and comparison. *Journal of Plant Ecology*, 5(1), 3-21.
- Desianti N., Potapova M., Enache M., Belton T.J., Velinsky R., and Mead J. (2017), Sediment
 Diatoms as Environmental Indicators in New Jersey Coastal Lagoon. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 78, 127-140.
- El-Karim M.S.A. (2015), Survey to compare phytoplankton functional approaches: How can
 these approaches assess River Nile water quality in Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic
 Research, 41, 247-255.
- 268 Erftemeijer P.L.A., Riegl B., Hoeksema B.W. and Todd P.A. (2012), Environmental impacts
- of dredging and other sediment disturbances on corals: A Review. Marine Pollution
- 270 *Bulletin*, 64, 1737-1765. DOI:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.008-014-0017-7

- Estepp L.R., and Reavie E.D. (2015), The ecological history of Lake Ontario according to
 phytoplankton. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, **41**, 669-687.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jgir.2015.06.005
- Gokce D. (2016). Algae as an indicator of Water Quality. Doi:10.5772/62916
- 275 Gao X., Lee J.R., Park S. K., Kim N.G., and Choi H.G.(2018) Detrimental effects of sediment
- on attachment, survival and growth of the brown alga Sargassum thunbergii in early life
 stages. Phycological Research, doi: 10.1111/pre.12347
- 278 Grygoruk M., Frak M., and Chmielewski A. (2015), Agricultural Rivers at Risk: Dredging
- Results in a Loss of Macroinvertebrates. Preliminary Observations from the Narew
 Catchment, Poland. *Water*, 7, 4511-4522. Doi:10.3390/w7084511.
- Hamid A., Bhat S. U., Jehangir A.,(2020), Local determinants influencing stream water
 quality. Allpied Water Science, 10,24, doi.org/10.1007/s13201-019-1043-4
- Hammer O., Harper D. A.T., Ryan P.D. (2001), PAST: Paleontological statistics software
- package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontologia Electronica*, 4(1), 9pp.
 http://palaeo-electronica.org/2001_1/past/issue1_01.htm.
- Hassan F.M., Salman J.M., Alkam F.A., and Jawad H.J. (2014), Ecological Observation on
- 287 Epipelic. Algae in Euphrates River at Hindiya and Manathira. *International Journal of*288 *Advanced Research*, 2(4), 1183-1194.
- Hou Z., Jiang Y., Liu Q., Tian Y., He K., and Fu L. (2018), Impacts of Environmental
- Variables on a Phytoplankton Community: A Case Study of the Tributaries of a
 Subtropical River, Southern China. *Water*, 10, 152. Doi:10.3390/w10020152.
- Heneash A.M.M, Tadrose H.R.Z., Hussein M.M.A., Hamdona S.K., Abdel-Aziz N., and
 Gharib S.M.(2015), Potential effects of abiotic factors on the abundance and distribution

- of the plankton in the Western Harbour, south-eastern Mediterranean Sea, Egypt.
 Oceanologia, 57,61-70
- Ikomi R.B., Arimoro F.O. and Abale E.F. (2005), Some aspects of the biology of Thysia
 ansorgii (Boulenger, 1899) (Cichlidae) in River Ethiope, Delta state, Nigeria. Journal of
 tropical Sciences 5(2), 1-7
- Ikomi R. B. and Arimoro F. O. (2014), Effects of Recreational Activities on the Littoral
 Macroinvertebrates of Ethiope River, Niger Delta, Nigeria Journal of Aquatic Sciences
 29 (1B): 155-170
- Iloba K. I. (2012), The Physico-chemical Characteristics and Plankton of Ethiope River,
 Nigeria. PhD Thesis, Department of Animal and Environmental Biology, Delta State
 University Abraka, Delta State.

305

- Iloba K.I. (2017). Rotifers of River Ethiope, Delta State, Nigeria. International Journal of
 Fisheries and Aquatic *Studies*, 5(2),74 79.
- 308 Iloba K.I., Akawo O.N., and Nwaefiene F. (2018), Diversity and Community Structure of
 309 Macroinvertebrates in Anthropogenically stressed water body in Delta state, Nigeria.

310 *International Journal of Applied Biological Research*, **9**(1), 93 – 206.

- Iloba K.I., Akawo N., and Anani, C.(2019) Sand Dredging Impact on Macrobenthic
 Invertebrates of a hallowed River in Delta State of Nigeria. Science World Journal.
 14(1): 171-176.
- 314 Iloba, K. I. and Eferakeya, E. (2019) Length Weight Relations and Condition Factors of
- 315 Three Freshwater Prawns of Ethiope River, Nigeria. Journal of Agriculture and Food
- 316 Environment 6(1): 19 31.

317	Ishaq F., and Khan A.(2013), Comparative assessment of physico-chemical conditions and										
318	Plankton diversity of River Tons and Asan in Dehradun District of Uttarakhand.										
319	Advances in Applied Science Research, 4(2), 342-355.										
320	John D. Wehr, Robert G. Sheath and Patrick J. Kociolek (2015). Freshwater Algae of North										
321	America. Ecology and Classification. Academic Press. ISBN: 978-0-12-385876-4.										
322	Jones R., Fisher R, Stark C., Ridd P. (2015) Temporal Patterns in Seawater Quality from										
323	Dredging in Tropical Environments. PLoS ONE 10(10): e0137112.										
324	doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137112										
225											
325	Jyotni K, Krisnna, PM, Monan, NKG (2016). Algae in Fresh water Ecosystem. <i>Phykos</i> 46(1),										
326	25-31.										
327	Kaizer A.N and Osakwe S.A. (2010), Physicochemical Characteristics and Heavy Metal										
328	Levels in Water Samples from Five River Systems in Delta State, Nigeria. Journal of										
329	Applied. Sciences and Environmental. Management, 14 (1) 83 – 87.										
220	View L. Lee T. and C. C. D. (2010). Also block and disting of the larger Har. Discon Kanad										
330	Kim, J., Lee T. and Seo, D. (2018), Algal bloom prediction of the lower Han River, Korea										
331	using the EFDC hydrodynamic and water quality model. Ecological Modelling, 366, 27-										
332	36.										
333	Krumins J., Adick van Oevelen., Bezemer T.M., De Deyn G.B., Gera Hol W.H., Vav Donk										
334	E., Wietse de Boer De Ruiter, P.C. and Middelburg J.J. (2013), Soil and Freshwater and										
335	Marine Sediment Food Webs: their Structure and Function. <i>Bioscience</i> , 63 (1), 35-42.										
336	Mabidi A., Bird M.S., Perissinotto R. (2017), distribution and diversity of aquatic										
337	macroinvertebrate assemblages in a semi-arid region earmarked for shale gas										
338	exploration (Eastern Cape Karoo, South Africa). PLoS ONE, 12(6), 1-27.										
339	e0178559.https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0178559.										

340	Moreira Gonzalez A.R., Brustolin M.C., Junior L.L.M. (2020). Composition and abundance
341	of benthic Microalgae from the Estuarine Complex of Paranague Bay (Southern Brazil)
342	with special emphasis on toxic Species. Ocean and Coastal Research.
343	http://dx.dx.doi.org/10.1590/52675-28242020068276.

- Morhit M.E. and Mouhir I. (2014), Study of Physico-chemical parameters of water in the
 Loukkos river estuary (Larache, Morocco). *Environmental Systems Research*, 3,17.
 DOI:10.1186/s40068
- Mugaga F. and Nabaasa B.B. (2016), The centrality of water resources to the realization of
 sustainable Development Goals (SDG). A review of potentials and constraints on the
 African continent. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*, 4, 215-223.
- 350 Murnaghan S., Taylor D. and Jennings E. (2015) Reconstructing Long-term Trophic Histories
- for Lakes Using two Independent Approaches: Application of Dynamic Computer
 Modelling and Palaelimnology to Lough Mask, Ireland. Biology and Environment:
 Proceeding of the Royal Irish Academy 115(3), 171-189.
- Omar W.M.W. (2010). Perspectives on the Use of Algae as Biological Indicators for
 Monitoring and Protecting Aquatic Environments, with Special Reference to Malaysian
 Freshwater Ecosystems. *Tropical Life Sciences Research*, 21(2), 51-67.
- Onyema I.C. and Popoola R.T. (2013), The Physicochemical Characteristics, Chlorophyll a
 levels and Phytoplankton Dynamics of the East Mole Area of the Lagos Harbour, Lagos:
 Journal of Asian Scientific Research, 3(10), 99501019
- Ouillon S. (2018), Why and How Do We Study Sediment Transport? Focus on Coastal Zones
 and Ongoing Methods. *Water*, 10, 390. doi: 10.3390/w10040390.
- Pan J., Bournaod C.N., Cuadrado D.G., Vitale A. and Piccolo M.C. (2013), Interaction
 between Estuarine Microphytobenthos and Physical Forcings: the Role of Atmospheric
 and Sedimentary Factors. *International Journal of Geosciences*, 4, 352 361.

- Ray J.G. and Thomas T.B (2012), Ecology and Diversity of Green- algae of tropical Oxic
 Dystrusteps Soils in Relation to Different Soil Parameters and Vegetation. *Research Journal of Soil Biology*, 4(3), 42-68.
- Renuka N., Sood A., Prasanna R. and Ahluwalia A.S. (2013), Influence of seasonal variation
 in water quality on the microalgal diversity of sewage wastewater. *South African Journal of Botany*, 90, 137-145. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2013.10.017.
- Salman J.M., Jawad H.J., Nassar A.J. and Hassan F.M. (2013), A study of Phytoplankton
 Communities and Related Environmental factors in Euphrates River(between Two
 Cities: Al Musayyab and Hindiya), Iraq. *Journal of Environmental Protection*, 4:10711079.
- Stancheva R., and Sheath R.G.,(2016) Benthic soft-bodied algae as bioindicators of stream
 water quality. Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems, 417,
 15,Doi:10.1051/kmae/2016002.
- Turner C.R., Uy K.L. and Everhart R.C. (2015), Fish environmental DNA is more
 concentrated in aquatic sediments than surface water. *Biological Conservation*, 183, 93102.
- Tyovenda A. A. , Ikpughul S.I., Ayua T.J., Aligba E. H., Philip I. A., Igbawua T., Aondoakaa
 S.I. and Korna R. T.(2018), Assessment of Radionuclides in Water, Sediment and Algae
 in River Benue at Jimeta-Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Scientific Research* 7(11), 84-89.
- Vannote R.L., Minshall G. W., Cummins K.W., Sedell J. R. and Cushing C. E.(1980), The
 River Continuum Concept. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*. 37,
 130-137.

388	Viongradov	A.K.	, Bogat	tova	Y.I. and	Synegub	I.A. (2018), Ec	ology of Mar	ine Po	orts of the
389	Black	and	Azov	Sea	Basin.	Springer	International	Publishing,	417,	298-309.
390	doi:10	0.1007/	/978-3-	31963	3062-5.					

- Wang B., Ming X., Xia S., Qinsheng W. and Xuelei Z. (2016), Does reduced sediment load
 contribute to increased outbreaks of harmful algal blooms off the Changjiang Estuary.
 Acta Oceanologica. Sinica, 35(8), 16-21. Doi:10.1007/s13131-016-0846-5.
- Wan Maznah W.O. (2010), Perspectives on the Use of Algae as Bilogical Indicators for
 Monitoring and Protecting Aquatic Environments, with Special Reference to Malaysian
 Freshwater Ecosystems, *Tropical Life Sciences Research.* 21(2), 51-67.
- Wehr J.D. and Sheath R.G. (2003), Freshwater algae of North America. Ecology and
 classification. Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier science. Amsterdam Boston,
 London: New York Oxford, Paris San Diego San Francisco Singapore Sydney Tokyo.
- Withford L.A and Schumacher G.J. (1973). A manual of freshwater algae. Sparks press
 Raleigh, N.C.
- Wokoma O.A.F., Nwaugo V.O., Chima G.N. (2016), Epipelic Algae Species Composition
 and Abundance in the Brackish Water Axis of Sombreiro River, Niger Delta, Nigeria
 IIARD International Journal of Geography and Environmental Management, 2(1).
- Wu N., Tang T., Qu X., Cai Q. (2009). Spacial distribution of Benthic algae in the Gangqu
 River, Shangila, China. Aquatic Ecology. 43, 37-49. Doi 10.1007/s 10452-007-9153-8.
- 407
- 408