

Decomposition of sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate surfactant by Electro/Fe²⁺-activated Persulfate process from aqueous solutions

Samarghandi M.R., Mehralipour J., Azarian G., Godini K.^{*} and Shabanlo A.

Department of Environmental Health Engineering, Faculty of Health and Research Center for Health Sciences, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

Received: 30/06/2016, Accepted: 20/10/2016, Available online: 08/03/2017

*to whom all correspondence should be addressed:

e-mail: kgoodini@razi.tums.ac.ir

Abstract

The decomposition of sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate (SDBS), which is a dangerous and anionic surfactant, was investigated by the electro/Fe²⁺/persulfate process from aqueous solutions. The activation of persulfate anion and production of active radicals were performed by means of heat, UV and iron ions (released from iron electrodes). The findings illustrated that the pH value of the solution, initial concentration of persulfate anion, the amount of input voltage and iron dosage were entirely effective in SDBS removal. Nearly 100% of SDBS was removed under the optimum conditions: pH 3, voltage 10 V, anion persulfate concentration 25 mM l⁻¹, iron ion dosage 0.25 g l⁻¹ and reaction time 25 min. Furthermore, the application of the persulfate anion process in concert with the electrochemical process, in order to generate electrical iron and persulfate activation, had a better performance compared to separate methods.

Keywords: Electro/Fe²⁺-activated persulfate decomposition, sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate, Active radicals

1. Introduction

Surfactants are divided into four groups: anionic, cationic, nonionic and amphoteric. Anionic surfactants are widely used; linear alkylbenzene sulfonates (LAS), from this group, were introduced in 1964 as easily biodegradable alternatives to highly branched tetrapropylbenzene (Manousaki et al., 2004) and are solely produced approximately 2.5 tones yearly. Sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate (SDBS), a representative LAS molecule, (Manousaki et al., 2004) is being used largely because it lacks benzene ring in its structure (Otero et al., 2012). SDBS, which is an anionic surfactant, has various applications in industry: stabilization of colloids, washing of metals, production of cleaners and flotation of minerals. Moreover, it is known as persistent organic pollutants (POPs) (Zhang et al., 2012). Common methods for surfactant removal from aqueous environments are as electrochemical follows: chemical and oxidation, membrane processes, precipitation, photocatalytic degradation, adsorption and biological measures (Tran et al., 2009), some of which are expensive and the other have low performance efficiency. For example, recycling of SDBS from absorbents is very difficult even though adsorption is regarded as an inexpensive method (Zhang et al., 2012). Of course, activated sludge can effectively treat surfactants from wastewaters; however, under anaerobic conditions the amount of surfactant increases dramatically in the effluent (Tran et al., 2009; Méndez-Díaz et al., 2010). Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) are novel methods for removing organic matters from water solutions by generating oxidizing radicals (Matilainen and Sillanpää, 2010). From frequently used in situ chemical oxidation, persulfate has been more taken into account because of its powerful oxidation-reduction potential (E⁰=2.01 V). It is a rather stable oxidant in water; however, it can be activated by UV, heat, base, or transition metals to create a stronger oxidant, sulfate radical (SO4^{•-}, E⁰=2.6 V); following reactions (1 and 2) take place in the application of persulfate (Wang et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2013):

 $S_2O_8^{2-}$ + heat or UV $\rightarrow 2SO_4^{--}$ (1)

$$M^{(n+1)+} + S_2 O_8^{2-} \rightarrow 2SO_4^{\bullet-} + M^{n+}$$
(2)

This oxidant has been used for treating several pollutants like ethyl carbonate (Gu *et al.*, 2013), chlorophenol (Sohrabi *et al.*, 2013), bisphenol A (Jiang *et al.*, 2013) and so forth. As mentioned above, one of the ways to activate persulfate is using metal ions such as iron and cobalt. Recently, great attention has been taken to iron because it is nontoxic, cheap and effective. Reactions 3-5, which happen in the presence of iron, are as follows (Ahmad *et al.*, 2013):

$$S_2O_8^{2-} + 2Fe^{2+} \rightarrow 2Fe^{3+} + 2SO_4^{2-}$$
 (3)

$$S_2O_8^{2-} + Fe^{2+} \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + SO_4^{2-} + SO_4^{--}$$
 (4)

$$SO_4^{\bullet^-} + Fe^{2+} \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + SO_4^{2-}$$
(5)

The activation of persulfate by Fe (II) has two main problems: first, by adding Fe (II) to the reactor, the

Samarghandi M.R., Mehralipour J., Azarin Gh., Godini K. and Shabanlo A. (2017), Decomposition of sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate surfactant by Electro/Fe²⁺-activated Persulfate process from aqueous solutions, *Global NEST Journal*, **19**(1), 115-121.

reactions occur effectively during a few minutes and then Fe (II) changes into Fe (III) which stops the efficiency, second, Fe (II) acts as sulfate radical scavenger and according to the following reaction (6) creates other species of sulfate which have lower oxidation power (Jiang *et al.*, 2013).

$$Fe^{2^+} + SO_4^{\bullet} \rightarrow Fe^{3^+} + SO_4^{2^-}$$
(6)

However, by using electricity little amount of Fe (II), it can be entered the reactor and Fe (III) is continuously reduced to Fe (II) on the cathode? also, on the anode, hydroxyl radical can be produced which can be used as a powerful oxidant (Rahmani *et al.*, 2015; Godini *et al.*, 2013).

The main objective of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of the Electro/Fe²⁺/Persulfate (EFPS) process activated by iron electrodes in order to remove SDBS from aqueous media. Furthermore, the effects of operating parameters of pH, voltage, persulfate concentration, and dye initial concentration were studied.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

All chemicals: SDBS powder (purity 80%) (Table 1.), NaOH (C.A.S. number: 1310-73-2 purity 95%), H₂SO₄ (C.A.S. number: 7664-93-9 purity 98%), Na₂SO₄ C.A.S. number: 7757-82-6 purity 99%, K₂S₂O₈ C.A.S. number: 7727-21-1, purity 95%), detergent reagent and toluene were of the highest commercially available quality and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Merck (Germany).

Table 1. General characteristics of sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate



2.2. Apparatus and procedure

A schematic diagram of the reactor used in this study has been shown in figure 1. A reactor (effective volume: 1000 mL) was used to conducted batch experiments. Four iron electrodes (two as anode and two as cathode) with same dimensions ($2 \times 20 \times 200$ mm) were arranged on a set of parallel plates at a distance of 2.0 cm.

Before each run, a stock solution of SDBS (1 g I^{-1}) was freshly prepared with deionized water. Furthermore, required contents of persulfate anion (10-100 mM I^{-1}) were provided to add the reactor manually. A pH-meter (Sension 378 –Hach comp. USA) was used to adjust the pH values by sulfuric acid or sodium hydroxide. An electronic magnetic stirrer (LTE Scientific, Greenfield, Oldham, England) was employed to agitate the solution during the runs at about 150 rpm. Adjustment of voltage ranged from 1 to 10 V was performed. Before analyzing and preparing the samples, they were centrifuged at 2500 rpm in order to eliminate possible adverse effects of the formed sludge on results.



Figure 1. A schematic diagram of the used pilot including four main parts: (1) DC power supply, (2) reaction cell, (3) electrodes and (4) magnetic stirrer

2.3. Analytical methods

The detection of SDBS was conducted according to Standard Methods: method 5540 C (Association 1994). NaOH and H₂SO₄ (1N) were used to adjust pH. In order to improve the ionic property of solutions, Na₂SO₄ (fixed at 0.5 g l⁻¹) was used for all experiments. From SDBS, the stock solution was prepared (1 g/1000 ml), and from which serial dilutions were made to obtain 100 to 1000 mg l⁻¹ dilutions. In addition, required contents of persulfate anion (10 to 100 mM l⁻¹) were added to the reactor manually. Different concentrations of SDBS were taken before and after each run; then, after dilution 300 ml of the solution was taken and 10 ml of sulfate buffer was added to it; next, the obtained solution was shaken for 5 min and then a reagent was added to it. At this stage, 30 ml of toluene was added and the solution was shaken for 1 min. Finally, this solution was kept stable for 30 min to separate two phases; the residual SDBS contents (as mg l-1) in the solution was measured by means of a spectrophotometer (HACH DR-5000, USA) at λ max = 597 nm.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Effect of initial pH

So as to study the effect of pH value on the removal efficiency, values of 3, 6, 7, 9 and 11 were adjusted under the following conditions: initial concentration of SDBS = 100 mg l^{-1} , persulfate anion concentration = 25 mM l^{-1} ,

input voltage = 10 V (in the range of 1-1.5 amper) and electrolyte concentration = 0.5 mg l⁻¹. The obtained findings showed that this parameter was entirely effective in the removal efficiency (Figure 2a). As can be seen, the highest amount of SDBS removal was for pH value of 3, in which after 30 min 96.6% of this substance was removed. In the case of other pH values, a dramatic decline was seen in the efficiency. Therefore, the pH value of 3 was selected as the optimum value. Figure 2b illustrates the changes of pH during the process; as can be seen, the most amounts of pH changes occurred in 5 min. In general, it can be said that the process experienced a downward trend in pH value.

The pH of the solution affects both the valence of iron in solution and persulfate anion reactions with pollutants (Rastogi *et al.,* 2009). Under acidic conditions, most iron ions existing in the process of electropersulfate are two-valent that result in a significant increase in efficiency by raising two-valent iron to three-valent iron ratio. Furthermore, at pH values above 4, solubility of ferric ions in solution decreased and these ions changed to colloidal form leading to a decrease in efficiency based on reaction 7 (Masomboon *et al.,* 2010; Xu and Li, 2010).



Figure 2. (A) effect of pH on the process of electropersulfate and (B) changes of pH during the process (SDBS concentration: 100 mg l⁻¹, S₂O₈²⁻ concentration: 25 mM l⁻¹, Na₂SO₄ concentration: 0.5 g l⁻¹, voltage: 10 V)

$$Fe^{2+} + H_2O \rightarrow FeOH^+ + H^+$$
(7)

When pH exceeded 9, (FeOH³⁺, Fe(OH)₄, Fe(OH)₃, and Fe₂(OH)₃⁴⁺) were formed which can activate marginally persulfate anions (Zhou *et al.*, 2013). Activation of

persulfate anion can be done by ferric ions which results in sulfate radical; the production of this radical can be fluctuated via pH value and the hydroxyl radicals can be generated. That what radical is dominant in solution was entirely dependent upon pH value. At different pH values, different radicals were dominant as follows: sulfate radicals at pH values below 7, particularly from 3 to 5 (reactions 3-4), both radicals at pH values between 7 and 9 (reaction 6), hydroxyl radicals at very alkaline conditions (pH values above 12) (reaction 7).

$$S_2O_8^{2-} + 2e^- \rightarrow 2SO_4^{2-}, E^\circ = 2/01 V$$
 (8)

$$SO_4^{\bullet-} + e^- \rightarrow SO_4^{2-}, E^\circ = 2/6 V$$
 (9)

Since at alkaline conditions the oxidation potential of hydroxyl radical decreased sharply, the efficiency of the process declined even when this radical was dominant. In addition to this, the stability of sulfate radical was higher than that of hydroxyl radical in aqueous solution (Romero *et al.,* 2010) (reactions 8-9). Also, at high pHs, radicals of sulfate and hydroxyl had scavenging reaction together (reaction 12) which as a result decreases the efficiency (Kusic *et al.,* 2011; Wang *et al.,* 2014).

$$SO_4^{\bullet-} + H_2O \leftrightarrow OH^{\bullet} + H^+ + SO_4^{2-}$$
(10)

$$SO_4^{\bullet^-} + OH^- \rightarrow OH^{\bullet} + SO_4^{2-}$$
(11)

$$SO_4^{\bullet-} + OH^{\bullet} \rightarrow H^+ + SO_4^{2-} + 0.5O_2$$
 (12)

In this study, it was found that pH changed over the process. The activation of persulfate anion through ferric ions can finally produce sulfate radical under acidic conditions which can decrease pH value of the oxidation system. Based on reactions 7 and 8, sulfate radical tends to transform to hydrogen ions and consumes hydroxyl ions, which consequently decreases the pH of the solution. Moreover, hydrogen ions were generated through chain reactions of separation HSO₄⁻ in water; all these reactions (10-12) decrease pH (Zhou *et al.*, 2013; Lin *et al.*, 2013).

$$SO_4^{\bullet-} + OH^{\bullet} \rightarrow HSO_4^{-} + 0.5O_2 \tag{13}$$

$$S_2O_8^{2-} + H_2O \rightarrow 2HSO_4 + 0.5O_2$$
 (14)

$$HSO_4^{-} \rightarrow H^+ + SO_4^{2-} \tag{15}$$

A comparative study by Khan *et al.* (2013) studied atrazine oxidation by UV/H₂O₂/Fe²⁺, UV/S₂O₈²⁻/Fe²⁺ and UV/HSO₅⁻/Fe²⁺ and they found that UV/S₂O₈²⁻/Fe²⁺ (at pH value of 3) was the best measure. Accordingly, another study concluded that pH 3 was the best value for the S₂O₈²⁻/Fe²⁺ process to decompose carbamazepine and they reported that pH value declined over the process (Rao *et al.* 2014). Furthermore, a study by Romero and *et al.*, (2010) dealt with diuron removal by means of S₂O₈²⁻ activated by Fe²⁺ at three pH values: 3, 5 and 8; they reported that the efficiency decreased by increasing pH and it went down during the process.

3.2. Effect of voltage

In order to investigate the effect of voltage, the experiments were carried out under conditions as follows: initial SDBS concentration = 100 mg l^{-1} , persulfate anion concentration = 25 mM l⁻¹ and electrolyte concentration = 0.5 mg l⁻¹ (with voltage ranging from 2.5 to 10 V). Figure 3 shows how the efficiency changes at different voltages. As can be seen, by increasing voltage, the removal efficiency increased and reached 96.6% at voltage of 10 V. An increase in voltage led to an increase in removal efficiency owing to oxidants production increase (Rahmani et al., 2016). Voltage increase results in the release of iron ions from the surface of the sacrificed anode and also reactions 2-5, the activation of persulfate is performed via iron ion. Therefore, the more iron ions are released, the more oxidants (SO4[•]) are generated, which, in turn, leads to an increase in efficiency.



Figure 3. Effect of voltage on the process of electropersulfate (SDBS concentration: 100 mg I^{-1} , $S_2O_8^{2-}$ concentration: 25 mM I^{-1} , Na_2SO_4 concentration: 0.5 g I^{-1} , pH: 3)

3.3. Effect of Fe²⁺ concentration

A range of 0.05-0.5 mg l⁻¹ of Fe²⁺ was employed to study the effect of this parameters on the efficiency under conditions as follows: initial SDBS concentration = 100 mg l⁻¹, persulfate anion concentration = 25 mM l⁻¹, electrolyte concentration = 0.5 mg l⁻¹, input voltage = 10V and pH = 3. As can be seen from Figure 4, Fe (II) increased the efficiency dramatically. At the concentration of 0.25 mg l⁻¹, 95.17% of SDBS was removed in 25 min.

By increasing the amount of Fe (II), the removal efficiency went up, but there was a downward trend in the concentrations over 0.25 mg l⁻¹. Therefore, this value (0.25 mg l⁻¹) was selected as the optimized concentration. Of course, at concentrations of two-valent iron higher than the optimum value not only the efficiency decreased but also an increase in sludge production happened. The efficiency can also decrease through reaction between sulfate radicals and two-valent iron ions (reaction 16) (Ji *et al.*, 2014; Rodriguez *et al.*, 2014).

$$SO_4^{--} + Fe^{2+} \rightarrow SO_4^{2-} + Fe^{3+}$$
 (16)



Figure 4. Effect of two-valent iron on the process of electro-persulfate (SDBS concentration: 100 mg I^{-1} , $S_2O_8^{2-1}$ concentration: 25 mM I^{-1} , Na_2SO_4 concentration: 0.5 g I^{-1} , pH: 3, voltage: 10 V)

In regard to the effect of persulfate anion concentration, it can be pointed that when concentration increased by the optimum amount it could be effective, but in the case of values exceeding that they had lower efficiencies because according to reaction 19 it resulted in persulfate radical consumption (Liang *et al.*, 2007).

$$S_2O_8^{2-} + SO_4^{\bullet-} \rightarrow S_2O_8^{\bullet-} + SO_4^{2-}$$
 (17)

Therefore, in this study, the content of 25 mM l⁻¹ was selected as the optimum amount. Most studies, in which the oxidation of organic pollutants have been investigated, have reported that an increase in concentration declines the efficiency; a few reasons have been suggested for this: a decrease in the ratio of produced radical to pollutant concentration and an increase in intermediates tending to consume the radicals (Wu et al., 2012). Besides, two-valent iron ions at the beginning of the process cause rapid oxidation in the reactor. The upside of adding two-valent iron is having lower time reaction and higher efficiency. Wang and Chu, (2011) claimed that two-valent iron could raise significantly the efficiency of the Electro-Fe(II)/Oxone process. Since in persulfate process iron anodes were the only source of ferric to active persulfate anions in reactor and detection of the amount of released iron on the surface of anode (Gu et al., 2013) and Fe²⁺ recreation via continuous regeneration of Fe³⁺ on the surface of cathode (reaction 17) were controlled by applied voltage to the electric cell (Lin et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2012).

$$Fe \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + 2e^{-}$$
(18)

$$Fe^{3+} + e^{-} \rightarrow Fe^{2+}$$
(19)

After activation of persulfate anion by means of ferric, iron is oxidized and Fe^{+3} ions are formed; thus, because of the lack of main activation, huge amount of two-valent iron is needed, which results in an increase in sludge production as well as costs. Employing the persulfate process in concert with the electrochemical method (iron electrodes) can lessen the cost of operation; in this case, iron is continuously generated through the iron anode and then it is oxidized to Fe^{+3} converted to Fe^{+2} on the surface of the cathode. This generation has the best efficiency under acidic conditions (Lin *et al.*, 2014). Wang and Chu, (2011) used Electro-Fe(II)/Oxone to degrade 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid by using iron sheet as the sacrificial anode; in this process, sulfate radicals were produced after activation.

3.4. Effect of persulfate concentration

Under conditions of initial SDBS (100 mg l⁻¹), voltage (10 V), electrolyte (0.5 mg l⁻¹) and the optimized amounts of pH = 3 and Fe(II) = 0.25 mg l⁻¹, the effect of persulfate concentration changing from 10 to 100 mM l⁻¹ was investigated (Figure 5). As can be seen, the efficiency increased until the amount of 25 mM l⁻¹ of persulfate anion and then it decreased. It should be pointed out that this variable had a significant effect in removal efficiency because at the optimum value (25 mM l⁻¹) the removal efficiency reached approximately 100%.



Figure 5. Effect of persulfate anion on the process of electro-persulfate (SDBS concentration: 100 mg l⁻¹, Na₂SO₄ concentration: 0.5 g l⁻¹, pH: 3, voltage: 10 V)

3.5. Effect of SDBS concentration

At this stage, all conditions were adjusted at optimum values and concentrations of SDBS ranged between 100 and 1000 mg l^{-1} .



Figure 6. Effect of initial concentration of SDBS on on the process of electro-persulfate (S₂O₈²⁻ concentration: 25 mM l⁻¹, Na₂SO₄ concentration: 0.5 g l⁻¹, pH: 3, voltage: 10 V)

The removal efficiency decreased with the increase of SDBS and it declined from 100 to 21% when the content increased from 100 to 1000 mg l^{-1} in 60 min. This is because of a decrease in the oxidant/SDBS ratio. That is, the oxidant

agents are consumed owing to the increase of SDBS content. In this case, all SDBS molecules cannot be degraded. Thus, higher concentrations of iron and persulfate are needed to raise SDBS degradation.

3.6. Synergistic effect of different conditions:

In order to investigate synergistic effect, samples were prepared separately to measure the effectiveness of pH, electrolyte concentration, persulfate, persulfate in conjunction with electrolyte, electrochemical process, the electrochemical process in conjunction with electrolyte, the electropersulfate process without electrolyte, electro/Fe²⁺/Persulfate process, Fe (II), and Fe (II) in the presence of persulfate (Figure 7).



Figure 7. Synergistic effect of pH, electrolyte concentration, persulfate, persulfate in conjunction with electrolyte, electrochemical process, the electrochemical process in conjunction with electrolyte, the electropersulfate process without electrolyte, electro/Fe²⁺/Persulfate process, Fe (II), and Fe (II) in the presence of persulfate.

The findings showed that the electro/Fe²⁺/Persulfate process could remove approximately 100% of SDBS. In general, it can be resulted that a process such as Fe (II) did not have high efficiency, but when it was combined with other processes, a dramatic increase was seen in efficiency.

As can be seen in Figure 8, the reaction of SDBS decomposition through Electro/Fe²⁺-activated persulfate process follows a first-order reaction ($R^2=0.996$). It was found that the amount of SDBS removal is entirely dependent upon operating parameters as a 99.9% efficiency was attained at the optimum values.



Figure 8. First-order kinetic analysis for SDBS removal $(S_2O_8^{2-} \text{ concentration: } 25 \text{ mM } l^{-1}, Na_2SO_4 \text{ concentration: } 0.5 \text{ g } l^{-1}, \text{ pH: } 3, \text{ voltage: } 10 \text{ V})$

4. Conclusion

The results of this study showed that the efficiency of the electro/Fe²⁺/Persulfate process for SDBS removal decreased when there was an increase in pH value; there was not a same pattern for anion persulfate concentration because the efficiency went up at the concentrations from 0 to 25 mM l⁻¹ of this anion, but it decreased when the concentrations were between 25 and 100. Furthermore, the findings showed that the pH parameter was effective over the process. The bottom line is that the application of the electrochemical process in concert with the anion persulfate process, which can generate electrical iron activating persulfate, had higher ability to remove SDBS in comparison with single processes.

References

- Ahmad M., Teel A.L. and Watts R.J. (2013), Mechanism of persulfate activation by phenols, *Environmental Science & Technology*, **47**(11), 5864-5871.
- Association A.P.H. Water Environment Federation, (1998) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, Washington, DC.
- Godini K., Azarian G., Rahmani A.R. and Zolghadrnasab H. (2013) Treatment of waste sludge: a comparison between anodic oxidation and electro-Fenton processes, *Journal of Research in Health Sciences*, **13**(2), 188-193.
- Gu Z.Y., Zhong Z., Qiu Z., Sun F.C. and Zhang Z.L. (2013), Potential for persulfate degradation of semi volatile organic compounds contamination, *Advanced Materials Research*, 651,109-114,
- Ji Y., Ferronato C., Salvador A., Yang X. and Chovelon J.-M. (2014), Degradation of ciprofloxacin and sulfamethoxazole by ferrous-activated persulfate: Implications for remediation of groundwater contaminated by antibiotics, *Science of the Total Environment*, **472**, 800-808.
- Jiang X., Wu Y., Wang P., Li H. and Dong W. (2013), Degradation of bisphenol A in aqueous solution by persulfate activated with ferrous ion, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, **20**(7), 4947-4953.
- Khan J.A., He X., Khan H.M., Shah N.S. and Dionysiou D.D. (2013), Oxidative degradation of atrazine in aqueous solution by UV/H₂O₂/Fe²⁺, UV//Fe²⁺ and UV//Fe²⁺ processes: A comparative study, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **218**, 376-383.
- Kusic H., Peternel I., Ukic S., Koprivanac N., Bolanca T., Papic S. and Bozic A.L. (2011), Modeling of iron activated persulfate oxidation treating reactive azo dye in water matrix, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **172**(1), 109-121.
- Liang C., Wang Z.-S. and Bruell C.J. (2007), Influence of pH on persulfate oxidation of TCE at ambient temperatures, *Chemosphere*, **66**(1), 106-113.
- Lin H., Wu J. and Zhang H. (2013), Degradation of bisphenol A in aqueous solution by a novel electro/Fe ³⁺/peroxydisulfate process, *Separation and Purification Technology*, **117**, 18-23.
- Lin H., Wu J. and Zhang H. (2014), Degradation of clofibric acid in aqueous solution by an EC/Fe³⁺/PMS process, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **244**, 514-521.
- Manousaki E., Psillakis E., Kalogerakis N. and Mantzavinos D. (2004), Degradation of sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate in water by ultrasonic irradiation, *Water Research*, **38**(17), 3751-3759.

- Masomboon N., Ratanatamskul C. and Lu M.-C. (2010), Chemical oxidation of 2, 6-dimethylaniline by electrochemically generated Fenton's reagent, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 176(1), 92-98.
- Matilainen A. and Sillanpää M. (2010), Removal of natural organic matter from drinking water by advanced oxidation processes, *Chemosphere*, **80**(4), 351-365.
- Méndez-Díaz J., Sánchez-Polo M., Rivera-Utrilla J., Canonica S. and Von Gunten U. (2010), Advanced oxidation of the surfactant SDBS by means of hydroxyl and sulphate radicals, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **163**(3), 300-306.
- Otero R., Fernández J., Ulibarri M., Celis R. and Bruna F. (2012), Adsorption of non-ionic pesticide S-metolachlor on layered double hydroxides intercalated with dodecylsulfate and tetradecanedioate anions, *Applied Clay Science*, **65**, 72-79.
- Rahmani A.R., Nematollahi D., Azarian G., Godini K. and Berizi Z. (2015), Activated sludge treatment by electro-Fenton process: Parameter optimization and degradation mechanism, *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*, **32**(8), 1570-1577.
- Rahmani A.R., Godini K., Nematollahi D., Azarian G. and Maleki S. (2016), Degradation of azo dye Cl Acid Red 18 using an ecofriendly and continuous electrochemical process, *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering* **33**(2), 532-538.
- Rao Y., Qu L., Yang H. and Chu W. (2014), Degradation of carbamazepine by Fe (II)-activated persulfate process, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **268**, 23-32.
- Rastogi A., Al-Abed S.R. and Dionysiou D.D. (2009), Sulfate radicalbased ferrous-peroxymonosulfate oxidative system for PCBs degradation in aqueous and sediment systems, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, **85**(3), 171-179.
- Rodriguez S., Vasquez L., Costa D., Romero A. and Santos A. (2014), Oxidation of Orange G by persulfate activated by Fe (II), Fe (III) and zero valent iron (ZVI), *Chemosphere* **101**, 86-92.
- Romero A., Santos A., Vicente F. and González C. (2010), Diuron abatement using activated persulphate: effect of pH, Fe (II) and oxidant dosage, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **162**(1), 257-265.
- Sohrabi V., Ross M., Martin J. and Barker J. (2013), Potential for in situ chemical oxidation of acid extractable organics in oil sands process affected groundwater, *Chemosphere*, **93**(11), 2698-2703.
- Tran L.-H., Drogui P., Mercier G. and Blais J.-F. (2009), Coupling extraction–flotation with surfactant and electrochemical degradation for the treatment of PAH contaminated hazardous wastes, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **170**(2), 1218-1226.
- Wang W.H., Hoag G.E., Collins J.B. and Naidu R. (2013), Evaluation of Surfactant-Enhanced In Situ Chemical Oxidation (S-ISCO) in Contaminated Soil, Water, Air and Soil Pollution, 224(12), 1-9.
- Wang X., Wang L., Li J., Qiu J., Cai C. and Zhang H. (2014), Degradation of Acid Orange 7 by persulfate activated with zero valent iron in the presence of ultrasonic irradiation, *Separation and Purification Technology*, **122**, 41-46.
- Wang Y. and Chu W. (2011), Degradation of 2, 4, 5trichlorophenoxyacetic acid by a novel Electro-Fe (II)/Oxone process using iron sheet as the sacrificial anode, *Water Research*, **45**(13), 3883-3889.

- Wu J., Zhang H. and Qiu J. (2012), Degradation of Acid Orange 7 in aqueous solution by a novel electro/Fe²⁺/peroxydisulfate process, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **215**, 138-145.
- Xu X.-R. and Li X.-Z. (2010), Degradation of azo dye Orange G in aqueous solutions by persulfate with ferrous ion, *Separation and Purification Technology*, **72**(1), 105-111.
- Zhang P., Qian G., Xu Z.P., Shi H., Ruan X., Yang J. and Frost R.L. (2012), Effective adsorption of sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS) by hydrocalumite (CaAl-LDH-Cl) induced by self-dissolution and re-precipitation mechanism, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, **367**(1), 264-271.
- Zhou L., Zheng W., Ji Y., Zhang J., Zeng C., Zhang Y., Wang Q. and Yang X. (2013), Ferrous-activated persulfate oxidation of arsenic (III) and diuron in aquatic system, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **263**, 422-430.