

FACTORIAL DESIGN AND OPTIMIZATION OF LEACHATE TREATMENT USING PERSULFATE OXIDATION

HILLES A.H.¹ ABU AMR S.S.^{2,*}

¹Palestinian Environment Quality Authority Gaza Strip, Gaza, Palestine ²Environmental Engineering Technology Malaysian Institute of chemical & Bioengineering Technology Universiti Kuala Lumpur, (UniKL, MICET), 78000, Melaka, Malaysia

Received: 02/06/2016	
Accepted: 28/06/2016	*to whom all correspondence should be addressed:
Available online: 27/10/2016	e-mail: sabuamr@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT

The current study aimed to evaluate the performance of sodium persulfate to treat stabilized landfill leachate. Factorial design with response surface methodology (RSM) was used to evaluate the interaction between operational conditions, such as persulfate dosage, pH, and reaction time, to obtain the optimum conditions. The two quadratic models obtained by RSM for COD and NH₃–N removal proved to be significant models (P<0.0001). The optimum conditions obtained included a reaction time of 60 min, 4.97g S₂O₈²⁻, dosage and pH 7. The experimental results were corresponding well with predicted models (COD and NH₃–N removal rates of 45%, and 47%, respectively. The results revealed that persulfate oxidation is an efficient for pretreatment of stabilized landfill leachate.

Keywords: landfill leachate, Persulfate, Activation, oxidation.

1. Introduction

Disposal of solid waste using sanitary landfilling technology continues to be widely accepted and used because of its economic feasibility. Comparative studies of the various applicable methods in regard reducing the quantity of solid waste (i.e. composting and recycling, landfilling and incineration) have shown that the lowest in cost, in term of investment and capital costs, is landfilling (Qasim and Chiang, 1994).

Leachate is a liquid produced during waste decomposition process (US EPA, 2005; Renou *et al.*, 2008; Cameron and Koch, 1980; Blakey, 1989). The age of landfill in one of the most important factors that effect on leachate characteristics (Kjeldsen and Christophersen, 2001; Poznyak *et al.*, 2008). Accordingly; leachates can be classified in to three main categories based on landfill age: such as young (<5 years), intermediate (between 5 and 10 years) and stabilized (>10 years) (Öman and Hynning, 1993; Kang *et al.*, 2002). By increasing of landfill age, leachate produced is characterized by very hard organic and very low biodegradability which is difficult to treat biologically (Bueno and Bertazzoli, 2005). Different applications on stabilized leachate treatment have been applied such as coagulation–flocculation (O'Melia *et al.*, 1999; Tatsi *et al.*, 2003), chemical precipitation and activated carbon adsorption (Kurniawan *et al.*, 2006), membranes (Osturk *et al.*, 2003; Martinnen *et al.*, 2002), combination of coagulation, flocculation and chemical oxidation

(Rivas *et al.*, 2004), advanced oxidation (Lopes and Zamora, 2005), ozonation (Abu Amr *et al.* 2014; Monje and Velasquez, 2004), combination of ozone/Fenton and ozone/persulfate (Abu Amr *et al.*, 2013a; 2013b), biodegradation and adsorption to activated carbon (Welander and Henrysson, 1998) wet air oxidation at high temperature (Rivas *et al.*, 2005), electro-Fenton method (Zhang *et al.*, 2006). The great variety of leachate constituents prevents evaluation of the fate and the role played by each component in the environmental impact.

Recently, persulfate $(S_2O_8^{2-})$ emerged as an efficient oxidant for in-situ chemical oxidation (ISCO) applications with the properties of high water solubility, no odor, effectiveness of oxidation over a wide range of pH, and lower affinity for soil organics (Liang *et al.*, 2006). Persulfate can be thermally (Gu *et al.*, 2011; Deng *et al.*, 2013), chemically (Leng *et al.*, 2014), or photo-chemically (Lin *et al.*, 2011) activated to generate the oxidant with high oxidation potential such sulfate radical (SO₄⁻⁻), which can efficiently oxidize of most organic contaminants. Persulfate (S₂O₈²⁻) was efficiently used for groundwater and soil cleanup (Huling and Pivetz, 2006) and it considered as a strong oxidant with a standard oxidation potential (E°) of 2.01 V (Eq. 1& 2), (Kolthoff and Stenger, 1947).

$$S_2O_8^2 + 2e^- \rightarrow SO_4^- + SO_4^-$$
 (1)

$$SO_4^- + H_2O \rightarrow HSO_4^- + \bullet OH$$
 (2)

However, the effect of leachate quality especially high organic and ammonia content and high level of heavy metals and trace elements on the performance of persulfate oxidation was not well documented. This study investigated the performance of persulfate oxidation for landfill leachate treatment with high concentration of refractory organic compounds.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Leachate Sampling and Characteristics

Samples of leachate were collected from anaerobic stabilized landfill leachate Deir El-balah sanitary landfill site, Gaza Strip, Palestine.

The landfill has an area of 7 ha, receiving approximately 450 tons of municipal solid waste daily (SWMC, 2012). Leachate samples were collected manually once every week for 4 months between February 2014 and June 2014 and placed in 2 l plastic containers.

In an anaerobic stabilized landfill, solid wastes are decomposed by a conventional municipal method (Matsufuji, 1990). Solid waste is sandwiched by soil. Hudgins and Harper, (1999) reported that anaerobic landfills contain high concentrations of organic compounds and pathogens. The waste mass also slowly degrades, posing long-term risks. The stabilization of landfill is enhanced by leachate recirculation method. The collected samples were immediately transferred to the laboratory, characterized, and stored at cold room (4 °C). General characterization and heavy metals content of the leachate used in the current study was mentioned in Table 1 and 2, respectively. Sampling processes and storage techniques were implemented according to the Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA, 2005).

The average values of heavy metals concentrations in the leachate are given in Table 2. The comparison of these values with the guidelines from EPA (according to water irrigation standards) show that, the concentration of heavy metals (Cu, Pb, Ni, Mn, Cd and Zn) in the current leachate exceed the maximum values allowed.

Parameter	Results
COD (mg l ⁻¹)	19,180 – 20,448 ± 122.35
BOD (mg l ⁻¹)	1,821 ±35.22
BOD/COD ratio	0.09 ±0.04
EC (μS)	40,800 ± 444.36
TDS (mg l ⁻¹)	25,296 ± 247.65
Nitrate (mg l ⁻¹)	3,602 ± 12.53
Ammonia (mg l ⁻¹)	2,478 ± 3.1
Chloride (mg l ⁻¹)	6,953 ± 5.32
Sulfate (mg l ⁻¹)	856 ± 2.12
Alkalinity (mg l ⁻¹)	24,000 ± 365.24
Hardness (mg l ⁻¹)	7,283 ± 6.83
Calcium (mg l ⁻¹)	1,620 ± 3.225
Magnesium (mg l ⁻¹)	785 ± 4.412
Potassium (mg l ⁻¹)	4,346 ± 5.29
Sodium (mg l ⁻¹)	6,000 ± 8.98
рН	8.42 ± 0.04
Turbidity (NTU)	538 ± 3.54

Table 1. General characteristics of anaerobic stabilized landfill leachate from DBLS

The order of heavy metals concentration were Fe >Zn> Ni > Cu > Cd >Pb>Mn from highest to lowest concentration. The presence of these metals in leachate indicated that the variety waste disposal in the site. Alslaibi, (2009) reported considerable amounts of heavy metals in this type of leachate (Table 2).

Heavy Metal	Symbol	Concentration(mg l ⁻¹)
Copper	(Cu)	0.44 ± 0.05
Lead	(Pb)	0.143 ± 0.054
Nickel	(Ni)	4.63 ± 0.8
Manganese	(Mn)	0.08 ± 0.01
Cadmium	(Cd)	0.259 ± 0.03
Zinc	(Zn)	5.84 ± 1.2
Iron	(Fe)	48.7 ± 3.4

Table 2. Average heavy metals concentrations of anaerobic stabilized landfill leachate

2.2 Experimental Procedures

For each run, persulfate reagent as sodium persulfate $(Na_2S_2O_8 M = 238 \text{ g mol}^{-1})$ was employed for advanced oxidation during the oxidation of leachate samples. Persulfate dosages was determined as $COD/S_2O_8^{2^-}$ ratio (g/g) with different ratios that gradually added to the leachate to determine the optimum $S_2O_8^{2^-}$ dosage according to the efficiencies of COD, and NH_3 –N removal. Orbital Shaker (Luckham R100/TW Rotatest Shaker 340 mm X 245 mm) was used for samples shaking at 350 rpm. All experiments were performed at room temperature (28 °C) using 50 ml samples in polyethylene bottles with a 250 mL capacity. pH was adjusted at the desired values using 5 M sulfuric acid solution and 5 M sodium hydroxide solution.

2.3 Analytical Methods

COD and NH_3-N , were examined before and after each run according to Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA, 2005). Leachate was mixed well before analyzed. NH_3-N concentration was measured by the Phenol Method No. (4500) using a UV-VIS spectrophotometer at 640 nm with a light path of 1 cm or greater. pH was measured using a portable digital pH/Mv meter. COD

concentration was determined by the open reflux method No. (5220). COD measurements have not reported any interference when using high concentration of persulfate dosage. BOD concentration was examined by the 5- Day BOD (5210B) (HACH COMPANY, Loveland CO 80539 USA). Total Dissolved Solids were measured by TDS Dried at 180 °C method (2540C). The electrical Conductivity (EC) was measured as µs cm⁻¹ using a portable multi-purpose apparatus (Senslon7, Conductivity Meter, HACH Company, BOX 389/ Loveland, Colo. USA). Turbidity was measured using Nephelometry method by Turbidometer (HACH 2100AN). Nitrate was tested by using Ultraviolet Spectrophotometric Screening Method (4500- NO3 –B). Chloride was determined using Argentometric Method (4500-Cl–B). Sulfate was measured using Turbidimetric Method (4500-SO4 -2E). Alkalinity was measured by applying Titration Method (2320 Alkalinity method B). Hardness was tested using EDTA Titrimetric Method (2340C). Calcium was determined using EDTA Titrimetric Method (3500-Ca B). Magnesium was tested using Calculation Method (3500-Mg B). Potassium was tested using Flame Photometric Method (3500-K B) (Sherwood FP 410). Sodium was measured using Flame Emission Photometric Method (3500-Na B). Heavy metals concentration was determined using Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS). The removal efficiencies of COD and NH₃–N were obtained using the following equation (3):

Removal (%) = $[(X_i - X_f) / X_i] \times 100$

Where X_i and X_f refer to the initial and final COD and NH₃–N concentrations respectively.

2.4 Experimental Design and Analysis

Factorial design of expert software (version 6.0.7) with RSM was utilized for the statistical and interaction of the experiments. Optimization of the experimental factors and responses were applied to investigate the relationships between the three independent factors, as presented in Table 3: (1) persulfate dosage, (2) pH variation, and (3) reaction time.

Level of Value	Persulfate ((g/g) COD/S ₂ O ₈)	рН	Reaction time (min)
-1	1.4	3	30
0	2.8	5	45
+1	4.2	7	60

Table 3. Coded values for independent factors, (Persulfate oxidation)

The Performance of persulfate oxidation was determined by determine the COD and NH_3-N removal efficiencies. The levels of values for each factor were determined based on a set of preliminary experiments. The total number of experiments conducted for the three factors was 20 (=2^k+2k+6, where k is the number of factors=3); to estimate the pure error, 14 experiments were supported with six replications. Quadratic model was performed following Eq. 4:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j X_j + \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_{jj} X_j^2 + \sum_i \sum_{(4)$$

where Y is the response, X_i and X_j are the variables, β is the regression coefficient, k is the number of factors studied and optimized in the experiment, and e is the random error.

3. Results and Discussion

In order to obtain an optimal $S_2O_8^{2-}$ dosage; a total of 20 experiments were performed using different dosage of persulfate(COD/S₂O₈²⁻ (g/g)) ratio, reaction time (min) and pH at room temperature (28 °C) and the results was presented in Table 4. The performance of $S_2O_8^{2-}$ in treating anaerobic stabilized leachate was investigated in term of COD and ammonia removal efficiency. The results show increasing of removal efficiency of COD

(3)

and ammonia as the dosage of $S_2O_8^{2-}$ is increase. As shown in table 4; the removal of COD ranges between 10% and 44%, while the ammonia removal ranged between 3% and 47%. The maximum removal efficiency for both COD and ammonia was obtained at 1/40 (COD/S₂O₈²⁻g/g), pH=7 and 60 min reaction time.

	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Response 1	Response 2
Run	A:Persulfate ((g/g) COD/S ₂ O ₈)	В:рН	C:RT (min)	COD removal (%)	NH ₃ -N removal (%)
1	2.80	8.36	45.0	29.2	25.6
2	1.40	3.00	30.0	28.5	10.0
3	4.20	7.00	30.0	29.0	32.4
4	1.40	3.00	60.0	31.0	8.00
5	4.20	3.00	30.0	28.0	28.0
6	0.440	5.00	45.0	25.0	3.00
7	4.20	7.00	60.0	44.6	47.6
8	1.40	7.00	30.0	10.0	3.00
9	2.80	5.00	45.0	32.2	25.1
10	5.15	5.00	45.0	42.0	37.0
11	2.80	5.00	70.2	33.0	28.0
12	1.40	7.00	60.0	17.0	7.50
13	2.80	5.00	45.0	32.5	25.8
14	2.80	1.64	45.0	37.0	24.0
15	2.80	5.00	45.0	31.7	26.1
16	2.80	5.00	45.0	32.3	25.7
17	2.80	5.00	45.0	31.9	25.4
18	4.20	3.00	60.0	34.0	31.4
19	2.80	5.00	45.0	32.1	26.2
20	2.80	5.00	19.8	18.4	21.0

Table 4. Matrix value for different experimental conditions with COD and NH_3 -N removal (Persulfate oxidation)

The results compared with the study achieved by Deng and Casey (2011) used persulfate dosage $(S_2O_8^{2^-}:12COD_0 = 0.1:2)$. The study reported 55% removal for COD at 50 °C. Although several literatures reported different applications in activation of persulfate to enhance its powerful oxidation by release sulfate radicals; such as heat, UV, ozone, Iron ions and high pH (Shiying *et al.*, 2009; Abu Amr *et al.*, 2013a; Lin *et al.*, 2011; Furman *et al.*, 2011; Rastogi *et al.*, 2009; Hung *et al.*, 2002; Gao *et al.*, 2012), however, persulfate can be act alone as a good oxidant and can be efficiently activated with the existing of natural clay menials and inorganic components in leachate (Block *et al.*, 2004). Furthermore, the high level of heavy metals and trace elements found in leachate can be tack place in naturally activation of persulfate. Ahmad *et al.*, (2010) investigated the effect of Iron and manganese oxide found in natural soil on persulfate activation. When persulfate mixed with iron (II), it is capable of forming the sulfate radical (SO $_4^-$) that has an even higher redox potential (E° = 2.6 V) (Killian *et al.*, 2007). Rastogi *et al.*, (2009) explained the effects of iron ions on persulfate activation (Eq. 5 & 6)

$$Fe^{3^{+}}+S_2O_8^{2^{-}} \rightarrow 2SO_4^{\bullet^{-}}+Fe^{2^{+}}$$
 (5)

 $Fe^{2+}+SO_4^{\bullet-}\rightarrow Fe^{3+}+SO_4^{2-}$

(6)

Iron ions in leachate can play a significant role in enhance of persulfate oxidation. In the study that was implemented by Killian *et al.*, (2007), they found that the lower iron concentration in soil could be used as a persulfate activator to reduce the consumption of persulfate and to increase the organic degradation of contaminants. It means that the time and the presence of iron as one of the predominant heavy metals found in the current leachate samples will enhance the treatment processes and the organic removal efficiency.

Tan *et al.*, (2012) achieved high level of diuron reduction in aqueous solution used Iron ions for persulfate activation. Li *et al.*, (2014) employed Zero valent Zn° in activation of persulfate for degradation of methyl orange. The studied leachate reported 48.7 mg l⁻¹, 0.08 mg l⁻¹ and 5.84 mg l⁻¹ for Iron, Manganese and Zinc, respectively (Table 2).

Moreover, the removal efficiencies for COD and ammonia were increased by increasing the pH. At high pH conditions, alkaline activation is very productive in generating sulfate and hydroxyl radicals. Both radicals (SO₄ and OH) have high oxidation potential (E° = 2.80 and E° = 2.70, respectively) (House, 1962). The effect of alkalinity on persulfate oxidation has been reported (Furman *et al.*, 2011; Ocampo, 2009). At high pH value, hydroxyl radical can be act to activate persulfate and initiate sulfate radical (Eq. 7)

$$S_2O_8^2 + OH^- \rightarrow HSO_4^- + SO_4^2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2$$
 (7)

Even though the optimal removal efficiency in the present study was obtained at the optimal temperature of 28 °C and pH (7), significant removal efficiency was obtained also at low pH (3 - 4) (Fig.2). Deng and Ezyske (2011) obtained higher removal of COD and NH₃–N from leachate at low pH (4) using persulfate alone. Shabiimam and Dikshit (2012) reported lower organic removal in stabilized leachate at acidic medium (pH 2.5) using sodium persulfate reaction. Furthermore, Lin *et al.* (2011) obtained the best removal of Phenol from wastewater at high pH (11) using UV for persulfate activation.

3.1 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

Table 5 presents the statistical parameters of ANOVA regression for the predicted models for COD and NH_3 – N removal. The data given in this table demonstrate that all of the models were significant at a 5% confidence level, given that the P values were less than 0.05. The coefficient of determination (R^2) for COD and NH_3 –N removal ($R^2 = 0.970$ and 0.972 respectively). ($R^2 = 0.9236$, and 0.9062, respectively) were higher than 0.80. A high R^2 value illustrates good agreement between the predicted and experimental results and shows that a desirable and reasonable agreement with the adjusted R^2 (Nordin *et al.*, 2004). The "adequate precision" (AP) ratio of the two models are 25.4, which is adequate. AP values above 4 are desirable and confirm that the predicted models can be used to navigate the space defined by the central composite design (CCD). The Model F-value for COD and Ammonia removal of 35.86 and 38.9, respectively, implies the models are significant. The lack of Fit was statistically significant with F-value of 87.01 and 79.01 for COD and Ammonia removal, respectively with p value of <0.0001.

The final regression models, in terms of coded factors, are presented in Eqs. (8&9).

COD removal (%)=
$$70.0 + 18.8 * A + 41.6 * B + 16.2 * C - 3.00 * A2 - 0.270 * B2 - 2.89 * C2 + 27.6 * A * B + 7.56 * A * C + 1.76 * B * C$$
 (8)

$$NH_{3}-N removal (\%) = -30.3 - 132. * A + 29.3* B + 18.5* C - 60.4* A^{2} - 0.739 * B^{2}-0.845* C^{2} + 17.6* A * B + 10.1* A * C + 2.29* B * C$$
(9)

Normal probability plots of the standardized residuals and diagnostics of COD and NH_3-N removal were confirmed that the selected models provided suitable similarity of the real design and demonstrate the normal probability plots for the standardized residuals (Fig 1). The relationship between experimental and predicted results of COD and NH_3-N removals is illustrated in Fig. 1. It can be seen that the predicted and experimental values arranged along with the fit line indicating that the predicted and experimental values were in high reasonable agreement (Fig 1).

NH3-N removal (%)







3.2 Treatment Efficiency and Optimization Process

To determine the interaction between independent factors and the responses, 3D surface response plots were performed by Design Expert 6.0.7 software. The maximum removal of COD and NH₃–N were 45 % and 47%, respectively (Fig. 2). The maximum removal value for COD and NH₃-N was obtained at maximum persulfate dosage of 5.15 g, pH 7 and reaction time 60 min. The maximum persulfate dosage at natural pH (7) resulted in the formation of hydroxyl and sulfate radicals, which is considered as a further improvement of the oxidation process efficiency. However, excess amount of sulfate ions participate in consuming an amount of hydroxyl radical. This condition inhibits a part of the oxidation and decreases the efficiency of the compound pollutants elimination in the treatment process (Tizaou *et al.*, 2007). Deng and Ezyske (2011)

observed the same results when used sulfate radical for leachate treatment. The interaction between pH and persulfate is illustrated in Figs 2.

		Sum of		Mean	F			
	Source	Squares	DF	Square	Value	Prob> F		
	Model	1.16E+003	9	129.	35.9	< 0.0001	significant	
	A	0.797	1	0.797	0.222	0.648		
	В	214.	1	214.	59.7	< 0.0001		
	C	32.4	1	32.4	9.02	0.0133		
(%	A2	0.207	1	0.207	0.0576	0.815		
al (B2	1.05	1	1.05	0.293	0.600		
λοί	C2	120.	1	120.	33.4	0.000178		
.eπ	AB	243.	1	243.	67.6	< 0.0001		
²	AC	18.3	1	18.3	5.09	0.0476		
2	BC	24.9	1	24.9	6.92	0.0252		
	Residual	35.9	10	3.59				
	Pure Error	0.408	5	0.0817				
	Cor Total	1.20E+003	19					
	Lack of Fit	35.53	5	7.11	87.01	< 0.0001	significant	
	Std. Dev.: 1.90; R ² : 0.970; Mean: 30.0; Adj R ² : 0.943; C.V.: 6.33; Pred R ² : 0.770; PRESS: 275;							
	Adeq Precisi	on: 25.4						
		Sum of		Mean	F			
	Source	Sum of Squares	DF	Mean Square	F Value	Prob> F		
	Source Model	Sum of Squares 2.43E+003	DF 9	Mean Square 270.	F Value 38.9	Prob> F < 0.0001	significant	
	Source Model A	Sum of Squares 2.43E+003 38.9	DF 9 1	Mean Square 270. 38.9	F Value 38.9 5.59	Prob> F < 0.0001 0.0396	significant	
	Source Model A B	Sum of Squares 2.43E+003 38.9 106.	DF 9 1 1	Mean Square 270. 38.9 106.	F Value 38.9 5.59 15.3	Prob> F < 0.0001 0.0396 0.00293	significant	
-	Source Model A B C	Sum of Squares 2.43E+003 38.9 106. 42.4	DF 9 1 1 1	Mean Square 270. 38.9 106. 42.4	F Value 38.9 5.59 15.3 6.11	Prob> F < 0.0001	significant	
(%)	Source Model A B C A2	Sum of Squares 2.43E+003 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0	DF 9 1 1 1 1 1	Mean Square 270. 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0	F Value 38.9 5.59 15.3 6.11 12.1	Prob> F < 0.0001	significant	
val (%)	Source Model A B C A2 B2	Sum of Squares 2.43E+003 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88	DF 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Mean Square 270. 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88	F Value 38.9 5.59 15.3 6.11 12.1 1.13	Prob> F < 0.0001	significant	
moval (%)	Source Model A B C A2 B2 C2	Sum of Squares 2.43E+003 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3	DF 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Mean Square 270. 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3	F Value 38.9 5.59 15.3 6.11 12.1 1.13 1.48	Prob> F < 0.0001	significant	
removal (%)	Source Model A B C A2 B2 C2 AB	Sum of Squares 2.43E+003 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3 98.7	DF 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Mean Square 270. 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3 98.7	F Value 38.9 5.59 15.3 6.11 12.1 1.13 1.48 14.2	Prob> F < 0.0001	significant	
3-N removal (%)	Source Model A B C A2 B2 C2 AB AC	Sum of Squares 2.43E+003 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3 98.7 32.4	DF 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Mean Square 270. 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3 98.7 32.4	F Value 38.9 5.59 15.3 6.11 12.1 1.13 1.48 14.2 4.66	Prob> F < 0.0001	significant	
NH ₃ -N removal (%)	Source Model A B C A2 B2 C2 AB AC BC	Sum of Squares 2.43E+003 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3 98.7 32.4 41.9	DF 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Mean Square 270. 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3 98.7 32.4 41.9	F Value 38.9 5.59 15.3 6.11 12.1 1.13 1.48 14.2 4.66 6.03	Prob> F < 0.0001	significant	
NH ₃ -N removal (%)	Source Model A B C A2 B2 C2 AB AC BC Residual	Sum of Squares 2.43E+003 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3 98.7 32.4 41.9 69.5	DF 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0	Mean Square 270. 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3 98.7 32.4 41.9 6.95	F Value 38.9 5.59 15.3 6.11 12.1 1.13 1.48 14.2 4.66 6.03	Prob> F < 0.0001	significant	
NH ₃ -N removal (%)	Source Model A B C A2 B2 C2 AB C2 AB AC BC Residual Pure Error	Sum of Squares 2.43E+003 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3 98.7 32.4 41.9 69.5 0.868	DF 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 5	Mean Square 270. 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3 98.7 32.4 41.9 6.95 0.174	F Value 38.9 5.59 15.3 6.11 12.1 1.13 1.48 14.2 4.66 6.03	Prob> F < 0.0001	significant	
NH ₃ -N removal (%)	Source Model A B C A2 B2 C2 AB AC BC Residual Pure Error Cor Total	Sum of Squares 2.43E+003 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3 98.7 32.4 41.9 69.5 0.868 2.50E+003	DF 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 1 9	Mean Square 270. 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3 98.7 32.4 41.9 6.95 0.174	F Value 38.9 5.59 15.3 6.11 12.1 1.13 1.48 14.2 4.66 6.03	Prob> F < 0.0001	significant	
NH ₃ -N removal (%)	Source Model A B C A2 B2 C2 AB AC BC Residual Pure Error Cor Total Lack of Fit	Sum of Squares 2.43E+003 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3 98.7 32.4 41.9 69.5 0.868 2.50E+003 68.61	DF 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 1 9 5	Mean Square 270. 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3 98.7 32.4 41.9 6.95 0.174 13.72	F Value 38.9 5.59 15.3 6.11 12.1 1.13 1.48 14.2 4.66 6.03 79.01	Prob> F < 0.0001	significant	
NH ₃ -N removal (%)	Source Model A B C A2 B2 C2 AB AC BC Residual Pure Error Cor Total Lack of Fit Std. Dev.: 2.6	Sum of Squares 2.43E+003 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3 98.7 32.4 41.9 69.5 0.868 2.50E+003 68.61 54; R ² :0.972; N	DF 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 19 5 19 5 19	Mean Square 270. 38.9 106. 42.4 84.0 7.88 10.3 98.7 32.4 41.9 6.95 0.174 13.72 dj R ² : 0.947; C	F Value 38.9 5.59 15.3 6.11 12.1 1.13 1.48 14.2 4.66 6.03 79.01 C.V: 11.4; Prec	Prob> F < 0.0001	significant	

Table 5. ANOVA and adequacy of the quadratic model for COD and NH₃-N

Optimization was performed to determine optimum removal of COD and NH₃–N. According to the optimization step, the desired goal for each operational condition (i.e., persulfate dosage, reaction time and pH) was chosen "within" the range. COD and NH₃–N removal were selected as maximum to achieve the highest removal for COD and NH₃–N. The optimum conditions established by software and respective removal efficiencies are presented in Table 6: around 46% and 48% removal of COD and NH₃–N are predicted, respectively based on the model and under optimized operational conditions. An additional laboratory experiment was then performed to confirm optimum results. The said conducted laboratory experiments

were agreed with the predicted response, and values with 45 % and 47% removal efficiency of COD and NH₃-N are obtained, respectively.



Figure 2. Response surface for COD and NH₃-N removal efficiency as a function of persulfate dosage, (5.15), pH (7) and Reaction time, (60) min

Persulfate (g)	*RT (min)	рН	COD removal (%)	Ammonia removal (%)	Color removal (%)	Desirability
5.15	7	11	46	48	-	1
Lab.	Experment		45	47	78	T

Table 6. Optimization results for COD and NH₃–N maximum removal efficiency.

*RT: Reaction Time

4. Conclusions

In this study, the performance of persulfate oxidation for the treatment of solid waste leachate was investigated. The optimum conditions for the treatment were conducted with respect to operational conditions, namely, $S_2O_8^{2-}$ concentration (5.15g), pH (7) variation, and reaction time (60 min). The maximum removal efficiency for COD and NH3-N was 45% and 47%, respectively. The results concluded that persulfate reagent can be used efficiently for pretreatment of high concentrated leachate.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to acknowledge the staff in Public Health Laboratory at the Ministry of Health- Palestine, solid waste management council and the Municipality of Deir El-balah for facilitating and supporting the implementation of the present work.

References

- Abu Amr S.S., Aziz H.A., Adlan M.N. and Alkaseeh J.M. (2014), Effect of Ozone and Ozone/Persulfate Processes on Biodegradable and soluble characteristics of Semi-aerobic Stabilized Leachate, *Journal of environmental progress and sustainable energy*, **33**, 184–191.
- Abu Amr S.S., Aziz H.A., Adlan M.N. and Bashir M.J.K. (2013a), Pretreatment of stabilized leachate using Ozone/Persulfate oxidation process, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **221**, 492 499.
- Abu Amr S.S, Aziz H.A. and Adlan M.N. (2013b), Optimization of stabilized leachate treatment using ozone/persulfate in the advanced oxidation process, *Waste Management*, **33**, 1434 1441.
- Abu Amr S.S., Aziz H.A., Adlan M.N. and Aziz S.Q. (2013c), Effect of Ozone and Ozone/Fenton in the advanced oxidation process on Biodegradable Characteristics of Semiaerobic Stabilized Leachate. WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, *Clean: Soil, Air, Water*, **41**(2), 148–152.
- Adeolu A.O., Ada O.V., Gbenga A.A. and Adebayo O.A. (2011), Assessment of groundwater contamination by leachate near a municipal solid waste landfill, *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, **5**(11), 933-940.
- Ahmad M., Teel A.L. and Watts R.J. (2010), Persulfate activation by subsurface minerals, *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, **115**(1-4), 34-45.
- Al Raisi S.A., Sulaiman H., Suliman F. and Abdallah O. (2014), Assessment of Heavy Metals in Leachate of an Unlined Landfill in the Sultanate of Oman, *International Journal of Environmental Science and Development*, **5**, 60-63.
- Alslaibi T. (2009), Evaluating the Impact of Landfill Leachate on Groundwater Aquifer in Gaza Strip Using Modeling Approach. (Master Thesis) The Islamic University Gaza Palestine.
- Aucott M. (2006), The fate of heavy metals in landfills: A Review, New York academy of sciences.
- Berlin A.A. (1986), Kinetics of radical-chain decomposition of persulfate in aqueous solutions of organic compounds, *Kinetics and Catalysis*, **27**, 34-39.
- Bila D.M., Montalvao A.F. and Silva A.C. and Dezotti M. (2005), Ozonation of landfill leachate: evaluation of toxicity removal and biodegradability improvement, *Journal of Hazardous Materials B*, **117**, 235-242.

- Blakey N.C. (1989), Model prediction of landfill leachate production, in: T.H. Christensen, R. Cossu, R. Stegmann (Eds.), Sanitary Landfilling: Process, Technology and Environment Impact, Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Block P.A., Brown R.A. and Robinson D. (2004), "Novel activation technologies for sodium persulfate in situ chemical oxidation." 4th Int. Conf. on the Remediation of Chlorinated and Recalcitrant Compounds, Battelle, Monterey, CA
- Bookter T.J. and Ham R.K. (1982), Stabilization of solid waste in landfills, *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, **108**, 1089–1100.
- Bozkurt S., Moreno L. and Neretnieks I. (2000), Long-term processes in waste deposits, *The Science of the Total Environment*, **250**, 101-121.
- Bueno P.M. and Bertazzoli R. (2005), Electrodegradation of landfill leachate in a flow electrochemical reactor, *Chemosphere*, **58**, 41–46.
- Deng J., Shao Y., Gao N., Deng Zhou Y.S. and Hu X. (2013), Thermally activated persulfate (TAP) oxidation of antiepileptic drug carbamazepine in water, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **228**, 765–771.
- Deng Y. and Casey M.E. (2011), Sulfate radical-advanced oxidation process (SR-AOP) for simultaneous removal of refractory organic contaminants and ammonia in landfill leachate, *Water Research*, **45**, 6189-6194.
- Furman O., Teel A., Ahmad M. and Merker M. and Watts R. (2011), Effect of Basicity on Persulfate Reactivity, *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, **137**(4), 241–247.
- Gao Y., Gao N., Deng Y., Yang Y. and Ma Y. (2012), Ultraviolet (UV) light-activated persulfate oxidation of sulfamethazine in water, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **195–196**, 248–253.
- Geenens D., Bixio B. and Thoeye C. (2001), Combined ozone-activated sludge treatment of and fill leachate, *Water Science and Technology*, **2**, 359–365.
- Gu X. G., Lu S.G. and Li L. (2011), Oxidation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane stimulated by thermally activated persulfate, Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research, **50**(19), 11029–11036.
- Huang K.C., Couttenye R.A. and Hoag G.E. (2002), Kinetics of heat-assisted persulfate oxidation of methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE), *Chemosphere*, **49**, 413-420.
- Huie R.E., Clifton C.L. and Neta P. (1991), Electron transfer reactionrates and equilibria of the carbonate and sulfate radicalanions, *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, **38**, 477-481.
- Huling S.G. and Pivetz B.E. (2006). In-situ Chemical Oxidation (EPA/600/R-06/072).US EPA.
- Imai A., Onuma K., Inamori Y. and Sudo R. (1998), Effects of Pre-Ozonation in Refractory Leachate Treatment by the Biological Activated Carbon Fluidized Bed Process, *Environmental Technology*, **19**, 213–221.
- Kang K.H., Shin S.H. and Park H. (2002), Characterization of humic substances present in landfill leachates with different landfill ages and its implications, *Water Research*, **36**(16), 4023–4032.
- Kanmani S. and Gandhimathi R. (2013), Assessment of heavy metal contamination in soil due to leachate migration from an open dumping site, *Applied Water Science*, **3**, 193-205.
- Kesson M.A. and Nilsson P. (1997), Seasonal changes of leachate production and quality from test cells, J. Environ. Eng., 123, 892–900.
- Kjeldsen P., Grundtvig A.A., Winther P. and Andersen J.S. (1998), Characterization of an old municipal landfill (Grindsted, Denmark) as a groundwater pollution source: landfill history and leachate composition, *Waste Managemement and Research*, **16**(1), 3–13.
- Kjeldsen P., Barlaz M.A., Rooker A.P., Baun A. and Ledin A. and Christensen T.H. (2002), Present and long-term composition of MSW landfill leachate: a review, *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, **32**(4), 297-336.
- Kjeldsen P. and Christophersen M. (2001), Composition of leachate from old landfills in Denmark, *Waste Management* and Research, **19**, 249–256.
- Kolthoff I.M. and Stenger V.A. (1947). Volumetric Analysis, SecondRevised Ed Titration Methods: Acid-base, Precipitation, andComplex Reactions, vol. II. Interscience Publishers, Inc., NewYork.

- Kurniawan T.A., Lo W.H. and Chan G.Y.S. (2006), Physico-chemical treatments for removal of recalcitrant contaminants from landfill leachate, *Journal of Hazardous Materials B*, **129**, 80–100.
- Leng Y., Guo W. and Shi X. (2014), Degradation of Rhodamine B by persulfate activated with Fe₃O₄: effect of polyhydroquinone serving as an electron shuttle, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **240**, 338–343.
- Li H., Guo J., Yang L. and Lan Y. (2014), Degradation of methyl orange by sodium persulfate activated with zero-valent zinc, *Separation and Purification Technology*, **132**, 168–173.
- Liang C., Wang Z.S. and Mohanty N. (2006), Influences of carbonate and chloride ions on persulfate oxidation of trichloroethylene at 20 °C, *Science of the Total Environment*, **370**(2-3), 271–277.
- Lin Y.T., Liang C. and Chen J.H. (2011), Feasibility study of ultraviolet activated persulfate oxidation of phenol, *Chemosphere*, **82**, 1168–1172.
- Lopes de Morais J. and Zamora P.P. (2005), Use of advanced oxidation processes to improve the biodegradability of mature landfill leachates, *Journal of Hazardous Materials B*, **123**, 181–186.
- Martinnen S.K., Kettunen R.H., Sormunen K.M., Soimasuo R.M. and Rintala J.A. (2002), Screening of physical-chemical methods for removal of organic material, nitrogen and toxicity from low strength landfill leachates, *Chemosphere*, 46, 851–858.
- McBean E.A., Rovers F.A. and Farquhar G.J. (1995). Solid Waste Landfill Engineering and Design, Prentice Hall PTR, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Mejbri R., Matejka G., Lafrance P. and Mazet M. (1995), Fractionnement et characterisation de la matiereorganique des lixiviats de dechrgesd'orduresmenageres, *Revue des Sciences de l'eau*, **8**(2), 217–236.
- Monje I.R. and Orta de Velasquez M.T. (2004), Removal and transformation of recalcitrant organic matter from stabilized saline landfill leachate by coagulation–ozonation coupling processes, *Water Research*, **38**(9), 2359–2367.
- Moturi M.Z., Rawat M. and Subramanian V. (2004), Distribution and fractionation of heavy metals in solid waste from selected sites in the industrial belt of Delhi, *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, **95**,183-199.
- Nanny M.A. and Ratasuk N. (2002), Characterization and comparison of hydrophobic neutral and hydrophobic acid dissolved organic carbon isolated from three municipal landfill leachates, *Water Research*, **36**, 1572–1584.
- Nordin M.Y., Venkatesh V.C., Sharif S., Elting S. and Abdullah A. (2004), Application of response surface methodology in describing the performance of coated carbide tools when turning AISI 104 steel, *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, **145**, 46–58.
- O'Melia C.R., Becker W.C. and Au K.K. (1999), Removal of substances by coagulation, *Water Science and Technology*, **40**(9), 47–54.
- Ocampo A.M. (2009), Persulfate activation by organic compounds, PhD thesis, Washington state university, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering. 1 77.
- Osturk I., Altinbas M., Koyuncu I., Arikan O. and Gomec-Yangin C. (2003), Advances physicochemical treatment experiences on yang municipal landfill leachates, *Waste Management*, **23**, 441–446.
- Paxeus N. (2000), Organic compounds in municipal landfill leachates, Water Science and Technology, 42(7–8), 323–333.
- Poznya K.G., Bautista L., Isaac R., Ivan Córdova L. and Elvira R. (2008), Decomposition of toxic pollutants in landfill leachate by ozone after coagulation treatment, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **152**, 1108–1114.
- Qasim S.R. and Chiang W. (1994). Sanitary Landfill Leachate: Generation, Control and Treatment, Technomic Publishing, Lancaster, PA.
- Rastogi A., Al-Abed S.R. and Dionysiou D.D. (2009), Sulfate radical-based ferrous peroxymonosulfate oxidative system for PCBs degradation in aqueous and sediment systems, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 85, 171–179.
- Reinhart D.R. and Townsend T.G. (1998), Landfill Bioreactor Design and Operation, CRC Press, Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL.
- Renou S., Givaudan J.G., Poulain S., Dirassouyan F. and Moulin P. (2008), Review: Landfill leachate treatment: Review and opportunity, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **150**, 468–493

- Rivas F.J., Beltrán F., Carvalho F., Acedo B. and Gimeno O. (2004), Stabilized leachates: sequential coagulation– flocculation + chemical oxidation process, *Journal of Hazardous Materials* B, **116**, 95–102.
- Rivas F.J., Beltran F.J. and Carvalho F.P. (2005). Alvarez, M., Oxone-promoted wet air oxidation of landfill leachates, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **44**, 749–758.
- Shabiimam M.A. and Dikshit A.K. (2012), Treatment of Municipal Landfill Leachate by Oxidants, American Journal of Environmental Engineering, 2, 1-5
- Shiying Y., Ping W., Xin Y., Guang W., Wenyi Z. and Liang S. (2009), A novel advanced oxidation process to degrade organic pollutants in wastewater: Microwave-activated persulfate oxidation, *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 21, 1175–1180
- Solid Waste Management Council (SWMC), Annual report of Khan Younis and Deir AL-balah governorates, 2012.
- Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, APHA, WPCF, AWWA, 21st ed., American Public Health Association (APHA), Washington, DC, 2005.
- Tan C., Gao N., Chu W. and Li C. and Templeton M.R (2012), Degradation of diuron by persulfate activated with ferrous ion, *Separation and Purification Technology*, **95**, 44–48.
- Tatsi A., Zouboulis A.L., Matiss K.A. and Samaras P. (2003), Coagulation–flocculation pre-treatment of sanitary landfill leachates, *Chemosphere*, **53**, 737–747.
- Trebouet D., Schlumpf J.P., Jaouen P. and Quemeneur F. (2001), Stabilized landfill leachate treatment by combined physicochemical–nanofiltration processes, *Water Research*, **12**, 2935–2942.
- US EPA (Environmental Protection Agency), Urban Watershed Management Research Terminology, 2005 (http://www.epa.gov/ednnrmrl/terminology.html).
- Welander U. and Henrysson T. (1998), Physical and chemical treatment of a nitrified leachate from a municipal landfill, *Environmental Technology*, **19**, 591-599.
- Wu J. J., Wu C.C., Ma H.W. and Chang C.C. (2004), Treatment of landfill leachate by ozone-based advanced oxidation processes, *Chemosphere*, **54**(7), 997–1003.
- Zhang H., Zhang D. and Zhou J. (2006), Removal of COD from landfill leachate by electro-Fenton method, *Journal of Hazardous Materials B*, **135**, 106–111.