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ABSTRACT

Heterogeneous Catalysis and Electrocatalysis can be used very effectively on air pollution control. Air emissions coming either from mobile sources or from stationary sources, including volatile organic emissions, nitrogen oxides, hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide could be well converted to harmless non-pollutants at reasonable temperatures with cost-effective systems utilizing heterogeneous catalysis and suitable catalysts. Some of the disadvantages of conventional heterogeneous catalysts are the high production cost (since most of them are metal supported catalysts), the short life time (due to the catalyst deactivation) and the weakness to control their activity during the catalytic process. A new phenomenon of Solid State Electrochemistry called Electrochemical Promotion of Catalysis (EPOC) combined with classical heterogeneous catalysis could be applied in order to overcome some of the above problems.

In this paper we are trying to show with characteristic examples how EPOC could be useful in environmentally important reactions (oxidations, reductions, etc). The results show that EPOC reveals great perspectives in environmental issues and especially in gas emissions treatment technology. The utilization of EPOC could be really useful since we can increase the catalytic activity, alter the selectivity to the desirable products and simultaneous control the reaction rate during a given electrocatalytic process.
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1. INTRODUCTION OF EPOC

A Greek Professor, Costas Vayenas and his group at MIT in the early eighties (Stoukides and Vayenas, 1981) were the first who reported that the catalytic activity and selectivity of conductive catalysts deposited on solid electrolytes can be altered in a very pronounced, reversible and, to some extent, predictable manner by applying electrical currents or potentials (typically up to $\pm 2V$) between the catalyst and a second electronic conductor (counter electrode) also deposited on solid electrolyte. In addition to the group which first reported the EPOC, the groups of Sobyanin (Politova et al., 1990), Comninellis (Foti et al., 1997), Lambert (Harkness and Lambert, 1995), Haller (Cavalca and Haller, 1998), Anastasijevic (Anastasijevic et al., 1997), Jaksic (Neophytides et al., 1994), Stoukides (Stoukides, 1988), Yentekakis (Yentekakis et al., 1998), Smotkin (Ploense et al., 2000), Imbihl (Poppe et al., 1999), Pacchioni (Pacchioni et al., 1997), Bjerrum (Petrusina et al., 2000), Lee (Hong et al., 1996), Metcalfe (Emery et al, 1998), Janek (Janek et al., 2000) and Barbier (Lamy-Pitara et al., 2000) have also made significant contributions in this area while the importance of EPOC in electrochemistry, surface science and heterogeneous catalysis has been discussed by Bockris (Bockris and Minevski, 1994), Wieckowski (Lu and Wieckowski, 1990), Imbihl (Hong et al., 1996), Metcalfe (Emery et al, 1998), Janek (Janek et al., 2000) and Barbier (Lamy-Pitara et al., 2000) have also made significant contributions in this area while the importance of EPOC in electrochemistry, surface science and heterogeneous catalysis has been discussed by Bockris (Bockris and Minevski, 1994), Wieckowski (Lu and Wieckowski, 1990), Pritchard (Pritchard, 1990) and Haber (Grzybowska-Swierkosz and Haber, 1994) respectively.
Electrochemical Promotion of Catalysis (EPOC or NEMCA effect) (Cavalca and Haller, 1998; Bockris and Minevski, 1994; Lu and Wieckowski, 1990; Pritchard, 1990; Grzybowska-Swierkosz and Haber, 1994; Vayenas et al., 1990; Lambert et al., 2000; Foti et al., 2001; Vernoux et al., 2001; Metcalf, 2001a; 2001b; Leiva and Sanchez, 2003; Vayenas et al., 1996; 2001; Wieckowski et al., 2003) with ionically conducting (Y2O3-stabilized-ZrO2, YSZ) or mixed ionic-electronic conductors (ZrO2, CeO2, TiO2, W6+-doped-TiO2) is a phenomenon of Electrocatalysis which as mentioned above affects the chemisorptive and catalytic properties of metal catalysts in a very pronounced manner. The term electrochemical promotion (Cavalca and Haller, 1998; Bockris and Minevski, 1994; Lu and Wieckowski, 1990; Pritchard, 1990; Grzybowska-Swierkosz and Haber, 1994; Vayenas et al., 1990; Lambert et al., 2000; Foti et al., 2001; Vernoux et al., 2001; Metcalf, 2001a; 2001b; Leiva and Sanchez, 2003; Vayenas et al., 1996; 2001; Wieckowski et al., 2003) is used to describe the very pronounced changes observed in the catalytic properties of conductive catalysts deposited on solid electrolytes upon application of electrical currents or potentials also deposited on the solid electrolyte support. The metal catalyst is usually in the form of a porous and electronically conducting film deposited on the solid electrolyte (e.g. O2− or mixed O2− - electronic conductor). Particularly for the case of O2− conductor supports, the origin of EPOC
originates from the migration (reverse spillover) of anionic \( \text{O}^{\delta^-} \) species from the support to the metal-gas interface. These electrochemically assisted backspillover \( \text{O}^{\delta^-} \) species together with their image charge in the metal create an overall neutral double layer at the metal-gas interface and thus affect both chemisorption and catalysis at this interface in a pronounced manner. At high oxygen coverages the backspillover \( \text{O}^{\delta^-} \) species are distinct from, and more strongly adsorbed than, oxygen adsorbed from the gas phase (Katsaounis et al., 2004a; 2004b). They are also less reactive for catalytic oxidations than gas-supplied oxygen and thus act as sacrificial promoters (Vayenas et al., 1996; 2001; Wieckowski et al., 2003). The above mechanism supported from Figure 1 which shows the general behaviour of oxygen adsorption on polycrystalline Pt deposited on \( \text{O}^{2^-} \) solid electrolytes, like YSZ. Temperature Programmed Desorption (TPD) of oxygen under Ultra High Vacuum (UHV) conditions have been used extensively to study the differential of non-stoichiometric lattice oxygen from the oxygen originating from the gas phase (Vayenas et al., 1996; 2001; Wieckowski et al., 2003). In Figure 1a is clearly shown one broad oxygen peak (\( \beta_2 \) state) after catalyst (Pt/YSZ) exposure to oxygen atmosphere. In Figure 1b we observe what is happening when we supply oxygen ions (\( \text{O}^{2^-} \)) from YSZ using an external circuit and a constant positive current. In this case, non-stoichiometric oxygen from the support applied and adsorbed on the catalyst surface. The result is a spectrum with one sharp oxygen peak (\( \beta_3 \) state) desorbing at higher temperatures than the oxygen of \( \beta_2 \) state. This confirms the assumption that the backspillover oxygen is more strongly adsorbed on the surface than the gaseous oxygen of \( \beta_2 \) state. If we try to carry out a mixed adsorption (from the gas phase and electrochemically, using a constant positive current) we could simulate the conditions of Electrochemical Promotion where the catalyst is exposed to an oxygen atmosphere (at least for oxidations) and at the same time \( \text{O}^{2^-} \) applied to the surface from YSZ. Under these conditions we observe the behaviour shown in Figure 1c. Two distinguished oxygen peaks, one broad coming from the gas phase (\( \beta_2 \) state) and another one (\( \beta_3 \) state) sharper and more strongly bonded with the surface. What is very interesting is that the existence of \( \beta_3 \) state which represents the backspillover oxygen acts as a sacrificial promoter for gaseous oxygen since the last moves to more weakly (and as a result more reactive) bonded states on the surface (lower desorption temperatures). More discussion about the above mechanism of electrochemical promotion exists elsewhere (Vayenas et al., 1996; 2001; Wieckowski et al., 2003; Ploense et al., 1997; Katsaounis et al., 2004a; 2004b; Vayenas et al., 1995; Vayenas and Neophytides, 1996).

Figures 2 and 3 describe more schematically the basic phenomenon of EPOC when using \( \text{O}^{2^-} \) and \( \text{H}^+ \) conducting supports respectively. In the former case (Figure 2) the (usually porous) metal (Pt) catalyst-electrode, typically 40 nm to 4 \( \mu \)m thick, is deposited on an 8 mol\% Y\text{2}O\text{3}-stabilized-ZrO\text{2} (YSZ) solid electrolyte. In the latter case (Figure 3) the nanodispersed Pd catalyst is deposited on porous conductive graphitic C which is supported on Nafion, a \( \text{H}^+ \) conductor. In both cases under open-circuit operation (\( I = 0 \), no electrochemical rate) there is a catalytic rate, \( r_0 \), of ethylene consumption for oxidation to CO\text{2} (Figure 2) or of 1-butene consumption due to reduction to butane and isomerization to cis-2-butene and trans-2-butene (Figure 3). Application of an electrical current, \( I \), or potential, \( U_{WR} \), between the catalyst and a counter electrode and thus change of the catalyst potential, \( U_{WR} \), with respect to a reference electrode, causes very pronounced and strongly non-Faradaic (i.e. \( \Delta r >> I/2F \) in Figure 2, \( \Delta r >> |I/F| \) in Figure 3) alterations to the catalytic rate (Figures 2 and 3) and to the product selectivity (Figure 3). Two parameters are commonly used to describe the magnitude of EPOC:

1. The apparent Faradaic efficiency, \( \Lambda \):

\[
\Lambda = \frac{\Delta r_{\text{catalytic}}}{(I/2F)} \tag{1}
\]

where \( \Delta r_{\text{catalytic}} \) is the current-or potential-induced change in catalytic rate, \( I \) is the applied current and \( F \) is the Faraday’s constant.
2. The rate enhancement, $\rho$:

$$\rho = \frac{r}{r_0}$$

where $r$ is the electropromoted catalytic rate and $r_0$ is the unpromoted (open-circuit) catalytic rate.

A reaction exhibits electrochemical promotion when $|\Lambda| > 1$, while electrocatalysis is limited to $|\Lambda| = 1$. A reaction is termed **electrophobic** when $\Lambda > 1$ and **electrophilic** when $\Lambda < -1$. In the former case the rate increases with catalyst potential, $U_{WR}$, while in the latter case the rate decreases with catalyst potential. $\Lambda$ values up to $3 \times 10^5$ and $\rho$ values up to 150 (Vayenas et al., 2001) have been found for several systems. More recently $\rho$ values between 300 (Koutsodontis et al., 2006a; 2006b) and 1350 (Bebelis and Kotsionopoulos, 2006) have been measured for $C_2H_4$ oxidation on Pt. In the experiment of Figure 2, $\Lambda = 74,000$ and $\rho = 26$, i.e. the rate of $C_2H_4$ oxidation increases by a factor of 25 and the increase in the rate of $O$ consumption is 74,000 times larger than the rate, $I/2F$, of $O^2-$ supply to the catalyst. In the experiment of Figure 3 the maximum $\rho$ values for the production of cis-2-butene, trans-2-butene and butene are of the order of 50 and the corresponding maximum $\Lambda$ values are of the order of 40 for cis-2-butene formation, 10 for trans-2-butene formation and less than one for butane formation. Thus, each proton supplied to the Pd catalyst can cause the isomerization of up to 40 1-butene molecules to cis-2-butene and up to 10 1-butene molecules to trans-2-butene while the hydrogenation of 1-butene to butane is electrocatalytic, i.e. Faradaic.

### 2. APPLICATIONS OF EPOC ON ENVIRONMENTALLY IMPORTANT SYSTEMS

Up to 2001 (Vayenas et al., 2001), more than 70 different catalytic reactions (oxidations, hydrogenations, dehydrogenations, isomerizations, decompositions) had been electrochemically promoted on Pt, Pd, Rh, Ag, Au, Ni, IrO$_2$, RuO$_2$ catalysts deposited on O$^{2-}$ (YSZ), Na$^+$ ($\beta''\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$), H$^+$ (CaZr$_{0.9}$In$_{0.1}$O$_{3-a}$, Nafion (Ploense et al., 1997; Tsipplakides et al., 1997)), F$^-$ (CaF$_2$), aqueous (Neophytides et al., 1994; Lamy-Pitara et al., 2000), molten salt (Petrushina et al., 2000) and mixed ionic-electronic (TiO$_2$ (Pliangos et al., 1996), CeO$_2$ (Balomenou et al., 1998)) conductors. EPOC seems to be not limited to any particular class of conductive catalyst, catalytic reaction or ionic support. It can be used in order to affect the rate and selectivity of heterogeneous catalytic reactions in a reversible and very pronounced manner. This non-Faradaic activation of heterogeneous catalytic reactions is a novel and promising application of electrochemistry with several technological possibilities, particularly in industrial product selectivity modification and in exhaust gas treatment (Vayenas et al., 2001). The second case is very important from environmental point of view. In the next few paragraphs we will examine applications of EPOC on particular catalytic systems both with environmental and industrial interest.
**C₂H₄ oxidation:** One of the most well studied reaction using EPOC is the complete oxidation of ethylene to CO₂ using various metals (Pt, Rh, Pd) and metal oxides (IrO₂, RuO₂, IrO₂-TiO₂) deposited either on anionic or cationic conductors (Vayenas et al., 2001). We can observe characteristically in Figure 2, using Pt/YSZ, that a very small positive applied current (1µA) causes a 26-fold increase in catalytic rate (ρ=26) while the rate increase is 74000 times larger than the rate I/2F of O²⁻ supply to the catalyst (Λ=74000) (Bebelis and Vayenas, 1989). It's worth noting that this increase is fully reversible since after current interruption the catalytic rate decreases to its initial value. Recently (Koutsodontis et al., 2006a; 2006b) it was found that for the same reaction (C₂H₄ oxidation), using very thin films of Pt deposited on YSZ, using the metal sputtering technique, it is possible to affect dramatically the catalytic rate of the reaction both under anodic and cathodic polarization (Figure 4). Rate enhancement values up to 300 were obtained while the Λ values were up to 500.

**Other light hydrocarbon oxidations:** In addition to C₂H₄, other hydrocarbons like CH₄, C₂H₆, C₃H₆, C₃H₈ or even alcohols like CH₃OH (Vayenas et al., 2001) exhibit very good behaviour under electropromoted conditions during complete oxidation to CO₂. It has been found that C₂H₆ oxidation on Pt/YSZ (Kaloyannis and Vayenas, 1999) under oxidizing conditions exhibits electrophobic behaviour for positive applied currents and electrophilic behaviour for negative polarizations, i.e. it exhibits *inverted volcano* behaviour. In the case of positive potentials ρ values up to 20 and Λ values up to 300 were observed, while in the case of negative potentials these values were 7 and -100 respectively. Using the same type of catalyst (Pt/YSZ) C₃H₈ oxidation was studied at temperatures between 300 and 500°C (Kaloyannis and Vayenas, 1999), resulting under oxidizing conditions and anodic polarization ρ values up to 6 and Λ values up to -3000 (case of purely electrophilic behaviour). Methane oxidation is another reaction exhibiting electrophobic behaviour for positive overpotentials both for Pt and Pd catalysts. In the case of Pt/YSZ (Tsiakaras and Vayenas, 1993; Eng and Stoukides, 1991) strong rate enhancement is also obtained with negative change of work function, ΔΦ, leading to inverted volcano type behaviour. The effect of UWR on catalytic rate is more pronounced when high CH₄ to O₂ ratios are used. For a 40:1 CH₄ to O₂ feed ratio at 700°C, a seventy-fold increase in catalytic rate is obtained for ΔΦ=1eV. This is due to the weakening of the Pt=O bond with increasing work function. Rate enhancement factors Λ are low, typically less than five, due to the high operating temperatures and concomitantly high I₝ (exchange current of the catalyst-solid electrolyte interface) values. The CH₄ oxidation on Pd (Frantzis et al., 2000) exhibits a very pronounced NEMCA behaviour at much lower temperatures (380-440°C) compared with those on Pt catalysts (650-750°C). For positive overpotentials the ρ values are as high as 89, with Λ values up to 105. Negative overpotentials also enhance the rate with ρ values up to 8.

**CO oxidation:** CO oxidation is another environmentally important reaction where a Non-Faradaic rate enhancement was observed using various noble metals on YSZ. Typically Λ values using Pt/YSZ and oxidizing conditions at 550°C (Yentekakis and Vayenas, 1988) were of the order of 10²-10⁵ while ρ values were typically up to five. Using Ag/YSZ (Karavasilis et al., 1991) the reaction of CO oxidation exhibits electrophobic (Λ>0) behaviour for high catalyst potentials and electrophilic behaviour for low catalyst work function values. Typical Λ values under oxidizing conditions at 415 °C were of the order of 20 in the electrophobic region and of
the order of -800 in the electrophilic one. The oxidation of CO on Ag-25 mol%Pd alloys deposited on YSZ by Sobyanin and coworkers (Politova et al., 1997) was the first electrochemical promotion study utilizing an alloy catalyst. The rate was significantly enhanced with negative polarization giving \( \rho \) values up to 20.

**Figure 5.** Effect of the catalyst potential on the \( \text{CO}_2, \text{N}_2, \text{N}_2\text{O} \) formation rates and the selectivity of NO reduction to \( \text{N}_2 \). Conditions: \( T=373^\circ \text{C} \), inlet composition: \( p_{\text{NO}}^0 =1.34 \) kPa, \( p_{\text{O}_2}^0 =0.55 \) kPa. (adapted from Marwood and Vayenas (1997))

**Figure 6.** Transient effect of a constant applied current on the catalytic rates of \( \text{CO}_2 \) production, on NO conversion \( (X_{\text{NO}}) \) and on catalyst potential during NO reduction by \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_6 \) on Rh/SZ in presence of gaseous \( \text{O}_2 \) (adapted from Pliangos et al., 2000b)

From the above examples we can conclude that electrochemical promotion is a very useful tool when we have to deal with a hydrocarbon oxidation. The effectiveness of the above phenomenon have been confirmed either for partial or complete oxidation reactions. What is happening however if we have to face other gas emissions like nitrogen oxides (NO\(_x\)) or even sulfur dioxide \( \text{SO}_2\)? In this case the catalytic process concerns a reduction of a particular oxide, i.e. a much more difficult catalytic reaction. Let us see if EPOC can be useful in this case.

**NO Reduction:** Reactions involving the catalytic reduction of nitrogen oxides are of major environmental importance for the removal of toxic emissions from both stationary and automotive sources. EPOC can affect dramatically the performance of Rh, Pd and Pt (Marwood et al., 1996; Marwood and Vayenas, 1997; Haller and Kim, 1997; Pliangos et al., 2000a; 2000b; 2000c) catalysts (commonly used as exhaust catalysts) interfaced with YSZ, an \( \text{O}_2\)-conductor. The main feature is strong electrophilic behaviour, i.e. enhanced rate and \( \text{N}_2 \) selectivity behaviour with decreasing catalyst potential, \( U_{\text{WR}} \) and work function, \( \Phi \) due to NO dissociation enhancement. Both NO and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) reduction on Pd/YSZ (Marwood et al., 1996; Marwood and Vayenas, 1997) exhibit electrophilic NEMCA behaviour at the temperature range of 350-500\(^\circ \text{C}\). The catalytic activity of Pd for the reduction of NO or \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) by CO (Marwood et al., 1996; Marwood and Vayenas, 1997) was enhanced up to 300\% and 200\% respectively, while the rate increase of NO reduction was typically more than 700 times larger than the rate of \( \text{O}_2\) removal from the catalyst via negative current application. This is shown in Figure 5. Positive potentials cause no promotional effect on the catalyst performance. On the contrary, as the catalyst potential decreases, all catalytic rates start to increase and the system exhibits electrophilic NEMCA behaviour. These changes in catalytic activity are accompanied by significant changes in nitrogen selectivity. The enhancement of the nitrogen selectivity with negative currents or potentials is due to the enhanced dissociative adsorption
of NO. The formation of N₂O results from the reaction between atomic nitrogen, N(a) (originating from a dissociatively adsorbed NO) and a molecularly adsorbed NO. Thus, decreasing the coverage of NO and increasing the coverage of N favours the formation of N₂ vs that of N₂O.

The C₂H₄/NO reaction is a commonly studied model catalytic system for the reduction of NO by a light hydrocarbon (Marwood et al., 1996). The kinetic and NEMCA investigation of this system was carried out on polycrystalline Pt interfaced with YSZ. The reaction was studied at the temperature range of 380-500°C for gaseous compositions p(NO)=0-2kPa and p(C₂H₄)=0-4kPa. The system exhibits remarkable electrophilic promotional behaviour with ρ values up to 20. Application of negative currents between the Pt catalyst-working electrode and the Au counter electrode causes a sharp increase in all reaction rates. In the new steady state of the catalyst (achieved within 1 hr of current application) the catalytic rate increase of CO₂ and N₂ production is about 700%, while smaller increase (250-400%) is observed in the rates of CO and N₂O production.

The presence of oxygen in the feed composition poses a challenging problem in NO reduction processes. Particularly, in high excess of oxygen, which is the case in lean burn or diesel engines, the high O coverage dominates the catalyst surface and blocks active sites needed for NO adsorption and dissociation. Due to the great environmental importance of such processes, the reduction of NOₓ under lean burn conditions has attracted a large number of investigations during the last years. The NEMCA behavior of NO reduction by C₃H₈ on Rh/YSZ (Pliangos et al., 2000a; 2000b; 2000c) is very pronounced and significantly different from NO reduction in absence of gaseous O₂. Thus, while in absence of O₂ the main goal of electrochemical promotion is to enhance NO dissociation, and this is achieved by lowering the work function via negative potential application, in the presence of oxygen it becomes at least equally important to weaken the Rh=O chemisorptive bond thus enhance the relative NO vs O coverage. The rates of N₂ and CO₂ formation are enhanced dramatically both with positive and with negative ΔU_WR and ΔΦ. As we can see in Figure 6 positive potential or current application leads to rate enhancement, ρ, values up to 125 for the rate of CO₂ formation and up to 50 for the rate of N₂ formation.

**Figure 7.** Effect of catalyst potential on the rates of formation of C₂H₆, C₂H₄, H₂CO, CH₃OH and CH₃CHO during CO hydrogenation on Pd/YSZ, T=350°C, p(H₂)/p(CO)=1.8, flowrate 85 cm³ STP min⁻¹. (adapted from Karasali, 1994)

**Figure 8.** Temperature dependence of ρ and U for the electrocatalytic decomposition of hydrogen sulfide under constant current 10mA (based on Alqahtany et al., 1992)

**CO₂ and CO hydrogenation:** Another aspect of heterogeneous catalysis with environmental interest is the hydrogenation reactions. One of the most important subjects nowadays is the degradation of CO₂. The hydrogenation of CO and CO₂ on transition metal surfaces is a
promising area for using EPOC to affect rates and selectivities. In an older study of CO₂ hydrogenation on Rh/YSZ (Karasali, 1994) where the products were mainly CH₄ and CO, under atmospheric pressure at temperatures between 300 and 500°C, it was found that CH₄ formation is electrophobic while CO formation is electrophilic. The observed increase in CH₄ formation and simultaneous decrease in CO formation with increasing catalyst potential and work function (i.e. with increasing supply of O²⁻ to the catalyst) is remarkable and can be attributed to the preferential formation on the Rh surface of electron donor hydrogenated carbonylic species leading to formation of CH₄ and to the decreasing coverage of more electron acceptor carbonylic species resulting in CO formation. Using Pd/YSZ (Karasali, 1994) for the hydrogenation of CO at a total pressure of 12.5 bar and temperatures of 330-370°C, a variety of products was obtained, including hydrocarbons (CH₄, C₂H₄, C₂H₆), alcohols (CH₃OH) and aldehydes (HCHO, CH₃CHO). It was found that both the catalytic rates and the selectivity to the various products can be altered significantly (rate changes up to 250% were observed) and reversibly under NEMCA conditions. Depending on the product, electrophobic or electrophilic behaviour is observed as shown in Figure 7.

**H₂S dehydrogenation:** EPOC can even affect the H₂S dehydrogenation, one of the most difficult reaction in heterogeneous catalysis. This reaction was investigated by Stoukides and coworkers (Alqahtany et al., 1992) at temperatures between 600 and 750°C. The reaction was found to be electrophobic with ρ values up to 11 (Figure 8). The rate of H₂S decomposition was found to increase exponentially with U_WR and to increase slowly during galvanostatic transients (i.e. constant current applications).

3. SUMMARY

From the above examples is clear enough that EPOC can affect a variety of reaction types, most of them with great environmental interest. The successful utilization of EPOC under tough conditions could help to deal effectively with gas exhausts like hydrocarbons or oxides (NOₓ, SO₂ e.t.c). Also the above effect could lead to the development of catalysts with lower metal loading which is very important from economic point of view. The possibility of the reaction rate control via EPOC is also something very useful in the procedure of gas emission treatment. The commercialization of EPOC was enhanced after the development of the Monolithic Electrochemical Promoted Reactor (MEPR) which can be considered as a hybrid between a classical monolithic honeycomb reactor (of which it has all the geometric dimensions) and of a flat – or ribbed – plate solid oxide fuel cell. This compact and efficient reactor design appears to be significantly promising for practical applications. Using the above reactor (MEPR) basic laboratory problems like expensive catalyst films or very low metal dispersions can be overcome with great success (Balomenou et al., 2004; 2006). The effectiveness of the reactor has been already proved since MEPR used successfully both for oxidations and reductions under really hard feeding conditions (for example: gas stream coming from a diesel engine) (Balomenou et al., 2004; 2006).
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