

SIMULTANEOUS REMOVAL OF NO AND SO₂ FROM COMBUSTION FLUE GASES USING SUPPORTED COPPER OXIDE CATALYSTS

O.A. BEREKETIDOU	Technological Educational Institute of Western Macedonia (TEI WM)	
N.D. CHARISIOU	Pollution Control Technologies Department (PCT)	
M.A. GOULA [*]	Laboratory of Alternative Fuels and Environmental Catalysis (LAFEC)	
	Koila, Kozani, 50 100, Greece	
Received: 05/12/11	*to whom all correspondence should be addressed:	

Received: 05/12/11	*to whom all correspondence should be addressed:
Accepted: 09/03/12	e-mail: mgoula@kozani.teikoz.gr

ABSTRACT

Nitrogen oxide (NO_x) and sulphur dioxide (SO_2) emissions produced by the combustion of fuel in stationary sources, such as power stations, industrial heaters or cogeneration plants, cause significant environmental problems. Selective catalytic reduction (SCR) is a well established process for the control of NO_x emissions mainly due to its efficiency, selectivity and economics. High removal efficiencies for both NO_x and SO_2 can be achieved with the use of copper oxide catalysts, as they act as sorbents for the latter - forming copper sulfate - and catalyze the reduction of the former - to N_2 , in the presence of NH_3 . An added advantage is that these catalysts are relatively easy to be regenerated under reducing conditions.

This study examines the deactivation and regeneration procedures of copper oxide catalysts/sorbents that are supported on Al_2O_3 , SiO_2 , CeO_2 - Al_2O_3 , in the presence of SO_2 and identifies the appropriate conditions for the simultaneous removal of NO and SO_2 . The results obtained indicate that copper oxide supported on alumina, ceria-alumina and silica carriers, can be used as effective catalysts for the simultaneous removal of NO and SO_2 . Furthermore, they can be easily regenerated with a gas mixture of 1 % NH₃/Ar at an optimum temperature of 673 K and they retain their initial activity. Thus, the use of regenerative fixed bed catalytic reactor appears as one of the most promising technologies however, further research focusing in new catalytic materials performance is necessary.

KEYWORDS: Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR), Copper Catalysts, NO_x Emissions, Regenerative SO₂ Adsorption.

INTRODUCTION

In the last decades, air pollution has moved at the top of the international political agenda due to its adverse environmental and health effects. The long-range transport of pollutants across country borders has made necessary the extensive cooperation of both the scientific and policy-making communities.

A major cause of concern is the NO_x and SO₂ emissions, which result from the process of fuel combustion in stationary sources (power stations, industrial heaters and cogeneration plants). Typically, NO_x and SO_x in flue gases consist of over 90-95 % of nitric oxide (NO) and more than 98 % of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) (Popp, 2006). NO_x is responsible for the formation of ozone in the troposphere, the production of acid deposition and photochemical smog, while its adverse health effects on humans include a variety of respiratory diseases. Sulphur oxides (SO_x = SO₂ + SO₃) are responsible for the formation of acid rain and the destruction of the ozone layer. Thus, the reduction of NO_x and SO_x emissions has become the focus of air pollution control (Zhao *et al.*, 2008; Cheng *et al.*, 2008).

Selective catalytic reduction (SCR) is a well established process that is used for the control of NO_x emissions mainly due to its efficiency and selectivity. A major constraint is the narrow operating range of the SCR catalysts (i.e., 473-700K). However, post combustion NO_x removal processes such as selective catalytic and non-catalytic reduction are relatively expensive and are economically feasible only for large-scale combusters. Arguably, the simultaneous removal of SO_2 and NO_x , using a regenerable solid catalyst sorbent, will constitute an important improvement over the use of separate processes for the removal of these two pollutants, as it will eliminate several shortcomings.

Copper oxide catalysts on various supports, such as CuO/ZrO₂, CuO/γ-Al₂O₃, CuO/SiO₂ and CuO/TiO₂ exhibit high performance in low-temperature NH₃-SCR. The activity and selectivity of copper-based catalysts are determined to a great extent by the texture and dispersion state of CuO_x species, which are influenced by the surface state of supports (Li *et al.*, 2011). CuO/Al₂O₃ is an important sorbent-catalyst for simultaneous SO_2 and NO_x removal from flue gas (Xie *et al.*, 2004a). During SO₂ removal, CuO reacts with SO₂ in the presence of O₂ to form CuSO₄, whereas some of the Al₂O₃ may also react with SO₂ to form Al₂(SO₄)₃ (Zhao et al., 2007). Upon saturation of the CuO/Al₂O₃ by SO₂, it should be subjected to a regeneration treatment to convert CuSO₄ back to CuO (elementary Cu in some case) and gaseous SO₂ by a reducing gas such as H_2 , NH₃, or CO. The literature on sulfation, regeneration, and effect of SO₂ on NO_x removal of CuO/Al₂O₃ catalyst sorbents indicates that SO₂ has varied effects on NO_x removal in different temperature ranges (Xie et al., 2004b). Specifically, it promotes SCR activity in the range of 623-723K, but reduces it at temperatures below 573K (Zhao et al., 2007). By using copper catalysts/sorbents, high removal efficiencies can be achieved, as they act both as sorbents for SO₂ to form copper sulfate and also catalyze the reduction of NO_x to N_2 in the presence of NH_3 . The development of practical regeneration processes, such as oxidation-regeneration cycles have opened novel applications for catalytic materials which can integrate dual functions (Guo et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2010).

Other studies consider *cerium oxide* as an alternate sorbent to CuO as ceria improves the resistance of the alumina support to thermal sintering (Hedges *et al.*, 1992). The wide application of cerium oxide either as a promoter or as an active catalyst is due to its unique redox and acid–base properties. Lattice oxygen vacancies and bulk oxygen species with relatively high mobility are easily formed during the redox shift between Ce^{3+} and Ce^{4+} under oxidizing and reducing conditions, respectively (Xu *et al.*, 2008).

There are a few studies, which indicate that ceria possesses sufficient activity and selectivity as a catalyst for the reduction of NO with NH_3 at relatively *high* temperatures used for SO_2 removal (Akyurtlu *et al.*, 1999; Bereketidou *et al.*, 2009; Gao *et al.*, 2010).

Silica-supported CuO catalysts have been tested for their activity and selectivity with respect to catalytic reduction of nitric oxide by ammonia (Kiel *et al.*, 1992). All catalyst samples investigated show a high selectivity towards the reaction of NO with NH₃ and O₂, to N₂ and H₂O, irrespective of the degree of sulphation. However, the selectivity of the partially sulphated silica supported CuO catalyst towards the catalytic reduction is maintained up to a temperature of about 648K, which enables application of the sorbent-catalyst in a process for simultaneous removal of SO₂, and NO_x (Kiel *et al.*, 1992).

In the present work, the effect of SO₂ on selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of NO by NH₃ over CuO/Al₂O₃, CuO/SiO₂ and CuO/CeO₂-Al₂O₃ catalyst sorbents has been investigated. The present study aimed at examining the simultaneous removal of SO₂ and NO using fresh and regenerated CuO catalyst sorbents at temperature ranges of 423-623 K. The tolerance to SO₂ presence and the effectiveness of the regeneration process to CuO supported on alumina, ceria-alumina and silica catalysts were also studied.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

As supporting materials, γ -Al₂0₃ (AkZO, S_{BET} = 281 m² g⁻¹) and SiO₂ (Engelhard, S_{BET} = 145 m² g⁻¹) particles (350-500 µm) were used. Prior use, they were dried at 383 K overnight, and calcined at 873 K for 5h in an air furnace. Supporting CeO₂-Al₂O₃ materials were prepared by impregnating γ -alumina particles with aqueous solutions of CeN₃O₉ 6H₂O. Catalysts were prepared by the dry impregnation technique, using Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O aqueous solutions with the appropriate

concentration, in order to obtain a final copper (Cu) content equal to 8 % wt. All the catalyst samples were air-dried overnight and calcined at 873 K for 5 h.

Elemental analysis was performed using the Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy technique (ICP-AES - Perkin-Elmer Optima 4300DV) in order to determine the actual copper content of the catalyst samples. The specific surface area (SSA) of the supports was obtained with BET measurement (Micromeretics Tristar Apparatus with Micromeretics VacPrep 061 Sample Degas System). Catalyst samples were also characterized by XRD (Siemens D500 diffractometer) for the determination of the crystalline phases.

The gas flow system used for conducting catalytic measurements at 1atm total pressure consisted of a mass flow measuring and control system, a mixing chamber, a fixed bed catalytic reactor and Buhler NO_x, SO₂, O₂ analysers. The inlet gas composition was 625 ppm NO, 625 ppm NH₃, 1600 ppm SO₂ (when used), 5.5 vol % O₂, 3 vol % H₂O and balance Ar, simulating a flue stack gas. 2.0 g of sample was placed between two plugs of quartz wool held in the reactor, which was heated by an electrical furnace. In all the runs, the total flow rate was controlled at 3 L min⁻¹, which corresponds to a space velocity (GHSV) of 90,000 mL g⁻¹ h⁻¹. The flow diagram of the system used for catalytic activity measurements is presented in Figure 1.

The catalyst bed in the reactor was preheated in Ar (500 mL min⁻¹) at 673 K for 1h and then was cooled down to the reaction temperature. The effect of the reaction temperature, time on stream and the regeneration capability using NH_3 as regenerating agent, were studied. Moreover, the influence of CeO_2 content of the supporting materials to the overall catalytic performance has also been investigated.



Figure 1. Flow Diagram of the fixed-bed reactor system for catalyst testing

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization results

The specific surface areas and the crystalline phases of the supporting materials being calcined at 873 K for 5 h are presented in Table 1. As can be seen, higher CeO₂ loading (20 wt % CeO₂) decrease significantly the supporting material surface area. The XRD patterns of the supports exhibited the diffraction peaks of crystalline γ -aluminum oxide (γ -Al₂O₃), silicon oxide (SiO₂) and cerianite (CeO₂).

The XRD patterns of the supported catalysts depict that copper has been present as *CuO* crystalline phase on their surface, while elemental analysis reveal that copper loading is approximately 8 wt % for all of the samples.

Table 1. Characterization results of the supporting material

Supporting material			
	Specific surface area (m² g ⁻¹)	Crystalline phases (XRD)	
γ-Al ₂ O ₃	239	γ-Al ₂ O ₃	
SiO ₂	108	silicon oxide-christobalite	
10 % Ce-A	207	γ -Al ₂ O ₃ , cerianite	
20 % Ce-A	185	v -Al ₂ O ₃ , cerianite	



Figure 2. XRD pattern of (a) Al₂O₃ and (b) CuO/Al₂O₃ catalyst sorbent

Crystallite sizes of the CuO/Al_2O_3 of the initial samples pretreated in air at 873 K were determined by the *Scherrer equation*, evaluating the FWHM values with full profile fitting methods and estimated at the range of 20 nm.

Catalytic activity of CuO/Al₂O₃ catalyst

The SCR activity (expressed as a fraction of NO conversion at steady state) of the 8 % CuO/Al₂O₃ at a temperature range of 423–593 K and a space velocity (GHSV) of 90,000 mL g⁻¹ h⁻¹ in the presence and absence of SO₂ is depicted in Figure 3. In both cases, the catalytic activity increases with increasing temperature, up to 523 K. CuO/Al₂O₃ catalyst reveals high catalytic SCR activity (>90 % NO conversion) for temperature values ranging from 473 to 593K in the absence of SO₂ at the inlet gas. When SO₂ is present, the catalytic SCR activity peaks at 533 K.

The copper on alumina system as a catalyst/sorbent for the removal of SO_2 (DeSO_x), acts as an oxidant for SO_2 and as a sorbent to form surface sulfates, and at the same time as a catalyst for the selective reduction of NO with ammonia in the presence of gaseous oxygen, DeNO_x (Centi *et al.*, 1992). After adsorbing SO_2 , the CuO in the CuO/Al₂O₃ catalyst-sorbent transfers into CuSO₄ and must be regenerated for reusing. The regeneration efficiency is closely related to the subsequent sulphur removal activity and stability of the catalyst-sorbent (Xie *et al.*, 2003). The regeneration of the sulphated samples can be achieved using a reductive treatment with H₂, NH₃ or other reducing



Figure 3. Effect of temperature on NO Conversion in the presence and absence of SO₂ (Experimental Conditions: 625 ppm NO, 625 ppm NH₃, 5.5 vol % O₂, 3 vol % H₂O, catalyst 8 wt % CuO/Al₂O₃, 2 g of catalyst)

agents (Zhao *et al.*, 2007; Guo *et al.*, 2007). The reaction temperature depends on the reducing agents. The copper formed in the presence of the reducing agent is immediately oxidized to copper oxide upon contact with the flue gas. However, it was found out the problem is more complex than that stated in the literature, which in turn, influences catalyst and reactor design for optimal performances

In the present study, NH_3 was used to regenerate sulphated CuO/Al₂O₃ catalyst-sorbent. It is likely that NH₃ can be used to effectively regenerate the sulfated CuO/Al₂O₃ catalyst-sorbent at temperatures around 673 K, if so, significant economical advantages can be realized due to regenerating the sulfated CuO/Al₂O₃ at temperatures of desulfurization and elimination of SO₂ conversion units (Xie *et al.*, 2003). The effect of regeneration time at constant regeneration temperature (673K) and NH₃ concentration of 1 % was examined. The experimental results showed that the catalyst activity on NO reduction is lower for regenerated catalysts compared to fresh catalysts. Furthermore, the catalytic activity increases slightly with regeneration time of the used catalyst. It was found out that a regeneration time of 120 min is enough to obtain high activity on NO reduction (approximately 70 %).

The effect of time on stream on NO conversion and SO₂ adsorption over a catalyst 8 wt % CuO/Al_2O_3 for two consecutive cycles at a reaction temperature of 513 K is presented in Figure 4. As can be seen, the catalytic activities for NO reduction, as well as SO₂ removal capacity, are slightly lower over two consecutive cycles. Thus, the SO₂ adsorbed CuO/Al₂O₃ can be regenerated in NH₃ at 673 K to recover its SO₂ removal activity and obtain elementary sulfur.



Figure 4. Effect of time on stream on NO conversion and SO₂ adsorption obtained over a 8wt % CuO on alumina for two consecutive cycles (T=513 K)

Catalytic activity of CuO/SiO₂ catalyst

As shown in Figure 5, the CuO/SiO₂ is only highly active at temperatures above 593K (which is in accordance with the literature), while the CuO/Al₂O₃ catalyst (Figure 3) exhibits similar activity at temperatures above 493K.



Figure 5. Effect of temperature on NO Conversion in absence of SO₂ (Experimental Conditions: 625 ppm NO, 625 ppm NH₃, 5.5 vol % O₂, 3 vol % H₂O, catalyst 8 wt % CuO/SiO₂, 2 g of catalyst)

Moreover, the CuO/SiO₂ catalyst exhibits higher activity for NO reduction and almost the same SO₂ removal capacity over two consecutive cycles (Figure 6). It was concluded that after 100 min time on stream, the CuO supported on silica catalyst is stable. It should be noted that even after the regeneration treatment, the SO₂ sorption capacity remains about 30 % of the initial one. Furthermore, the SO₂ capture capacity seems to be higher for CuO supported on silica catalyst compared to CuO supported on alumina one, after 100 min time on stream. This leads to the conclusion that silica can be a promising sorbent-catalyst for the simultaneous removal of SO₂ and NO.



Figure 6. Effect of time on stream on NO conversion and SO₂ adsorption obtained over a 8 wt % CuO on silica for two consecutive cycles (T=623 K)

Catalytic activity of CuO/CeO₂-Al₂O₃ catalysts

Copper oxide (8 % CuO) supported on 10 % CeO₂-Al₂O₃ and 20 % CeO₂-Al₂O₃ catalysts exhibit high values for the NO conversion at temperatures ranging from 493 to 623 K and 573 K to 653 K, respectively, as shown in Figure 7. Increasing the cerium oxide loading in the supporting material, catalysts with improved performance for simultaneous NO and SO₂ removal can be produced. This is attributed to the fact that ceria improves the resistance of the alumina support to thermal sintering and possesses a sufficient activity for the reduction of NO with NH₃ at relatively low temperatures.



Figure 7. Effect of temperature on NO Conversion in absence of SO₂ (Experimental Conditions: 625 ppm NO, 625 ppm NH₃, 5.5 vol % O₂, 3 vol % H₂O, catalysts 8 wt % CuO/10 % CeO₂-Al₂O₃ and 8 wt % CuO/20 % CeO₂-Al₂O₃ 2 g of catalyst)

Nitrogen oxide (NO) conversion and sulphur dioxide (SO₂) adsorption are depicted as a function of time on stream, in Figure 8 & 9. In the case of 8 % CuO supported on 10 % CeO₂-Al₂O₃ catalyst, NO conversion remains constant at 70 % after 100 min time on stream, while the SO₂ adsorption capacity exhibits a sharp decline (Figure 8). In the case of 8 % CuO supported on 20 % CeO₂-Al₂O₃ catalyst, the NO conversion reaches the X_{NO} =1 value at a relatively low temperature (T=613 K), and retains it for about two hours on stream, where the SO₂ adsorption capacity is getting close to zero (Figure 9).

The above observations can be explained by taking into account the sulfation reactions that are assumed to take place on the sorbents consisting of ceria and copper oxide on alumina (Akyurtlu *et al.*, 1999):

 $CuO + SO_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow CuSO_4$

 $CeO_2 + 1 \frac{1}{2} SO_2 + \frac{1}{2} O_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} Ce_2(SO_4)_3$

As reported, (Gao *et al.*, 2010; Du *et al.*, 2012) the formation of surface sulfate will increase the acid number and NH_3 adsorption thus enhancing the SCR activity. In accordance to the literature (Pantazis *et al.*, 2006), copper oxide supported on ceria-alumina catalysts get sulfated (CuSO₄ formation), but they don't loose their SCR activity even after 3 hours time on stream.

These results are indicative of the catalyst's high stability for the SCR reaction, despite the relatively high SO_2 concentration (1600 ppm) in the feed. It is also worth noticing that by increasing the cerium oxide loading in the supporting material, a catalyst with improved performance for simultaneous NO and SO_2 removal can be produced.



Figure 8. Effect of time on stream on NO conversion and SO₂ adsorption obtained over a 8 wt % CuO on 10 % CeO₂-Al₂O₃ catalyst (T=613 K)



Figure 9. Effect of time on stream on NO conversion and SO₂ adsorption obtained over a 8 wt % CuO on 20 % CeO₂-Al₂O₃ catalyst (T=613 K)

CONCLUSIONS

Supported copper oxide (CuO) catalysts have been tested for their activity towards NO conversion and its adsorption capacity towards SO₂ in the 423-673K temperature range. Catalysts with 8 % copper content supported on 10 % CeO₂-Al₂O₃ and 20 % CeO₂-Al₂O₃ exhibit high values for both NO conversion and SO₂ sorption capacity at temperature values ranging from 493 to 623K and 573 to 653 K, respectively.

CuO/Al₂O₃ catalyst reveals high catalytic activity (> 90 % NO conversion) for temperatures ranging between 473-593 K without SO₂ in the gas stream, while the CuO/SiO₂ catalyst is highly active only for temperature values above 593 K. The CuO/Al₂O₃ catalyst exhibits higher SCR activity than the CuO/SiO₂ one (~ 70 % NO conversion), but SO₂ capture capacity seems to be *higher* for copper supported on silica catalysts compared to alumina supported ones.

Moreover, it was concluded that the catalytic activity on NO reduction is lower for regenerated catalysts compared to the fresh ones, increasing slightly with the regeneration time (120 min is considered adequate so that the highest activity on NO reduction is obtained).

In conclusion, the results obtained indicate that copper oxide supported in alumina, ceria-alumina and silica catalysts can be very effective for the simultaneous SO_2/NO_x removal. Additionally, supported catalysts may be regenerated in 1 % NH_3/Ar at an optimum temperature of 673 K.

In any case, research focused on the development of new catalytic materials with improved performance towards the simultaneous SO_2 and NO removal, is needed. This is particularly urgent given that the development of appropriate techniques for combustion flue gases purification is one of the principal topics in environmental catalysis, particularly after stricter legislation being induced from the EU.

REFERENCES

- Akyurtlu J.F. and Akyurtlu A. (1999) Behavior of ceria-copper oxide sorbents under sulfation conditions, *Chemical Engineering Science*, **54**, 2991-2997.
- Bereketidou O., Economopoulos C., Latsios H. and Goula M.A. (2009) Simultaneous removal of NO and SO₂ from combustion flue gases using copper oxide catalysts supported on CeO₂γ-Al₂O₃, Proceedings of Europacat IX Conference 2009, 30 August 4 September 2009, Salamanca, Spain.

- Centi G., Passarini N., Perathoner S., Riva A., and Giuseppina S. (1992) Combined DeSO/DeNO, Reactions on a Copper on Alumina Sorbent-Catalyst. 3. DeNO, Behavior as a Function of the Surface Coverage with Sulfate Species, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **31**, 1963-1970.
- Cheng W.P., Yu X.Y, Wang W.J., Liu L., Yang J.G and He M.Y. (2008) Synthesis, characterization and evaluation of Cu/MgAIFe as novel transfer catalyst for SO_x removal, *Catalysis Communications*, **9**, 150–155.
- Du X.-S., Gao X., Cui L.-W., Fu Y-C., Luo Z.-Y. and Cen K.-F. (2012) Investigation of the effect of Cu addition on the SO₂-resistance of a Ce-Ti oxide catalyst for selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH₃, *Fuel*, **92**, 49–55
- Gao X., Du X., Cui L.W., Fu Y., Luo Z. and Cen K.F. (2010) A Ce–Cu–Ti oxide catalyst for the selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH₃, *Catalysis Communications*, **12**, 255-258.
- Guo Y., Liu Z., Li Y. and Liu Q. (2007) NH₃ regeneration of SO₂-captured V₂O₅/AC catalyst-sorbent for simultaneous SO₂ and NO removal, *J. Fuel Technol*, **35**(3), 344-348.
- Hedges S.W. and Yeh J.T. (1992) Kinetics of sulfur dioxide uptake on supported cerium oxide sorbents, *Environ. Progr.*, **11**(2), 98-103.
- Klel J.H.A., Edelaar A.C.S., Prms W. and van Swaaq W.P.M. (1992) Performance of silica-supported copper oxide sorbents for SO/NO-removal from flue gas II. Selective catalytic reduction of nitric oxide by Ammonia., *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 1, 41-60.
- Li J., Chang H., Ma L., Hao J.and Yang R.T. (2011) Low-temperature selective catalytic reduction of NOx with NH₃ over metal oxide and zeolite catalysts—A review, *Catalysis Today*, **175**, 147-156.
- Liu W., Bisson T.M., Yang H. and Xu Z. (2010) Recent developments in novel sorbents for flue gas clean up, *Fuel processing technology*, **91**, 1175-1197.
- Pantazis C., Pomonis P. (2006) Synthesis of highly loaded Cu/Ce mesoporous silica. Active catalyst for the simultaneous reduction of SO₂ and NO with CO, *Chemical Communications*, **12**, 1268-1270.
- Popp D. (2006) International innovation and diffusion of air pollution control technologies: the effects of NO_x and SO₂ regulation in the US, Japan and Germany, *Journal of Environmental Economics & Management*, **51**, 46-71.
- Xie G., Liu Z., Zhu Z., Liu Q. and Ma J. (2003) Reductive regeneration of sulphated CuO/Al₂O₃ catalystsorbent in ammonia, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, **45**, 213–221.
- Xie G., Liu Z., Zhu Z., Liu Q., Ge J. and Huang Z. (2004a) Simultaneous removal of SO₂ and NOx from flue gases using a CuO/Al₂O₃ catalyst sorbent I Deactivation of SCR activity by SO₂ at low temperatures, *J. Catal*, **224**, 36-41.
- Xie G. Liu Z., Zhu Z., Liu Q., Ge J. and Huang Z. (2004b) Simultaneous removal of SO₂ and NOx from flue gases using a CuO/Al₂O₃ catalyst sorbent I Promotion of SCR aactivity by SO₂ at high temperatures, *J. Catal.*, **224**, 42-49.
- Xu W., Yu Y., Zhang C. and He H. (2008) Selective catalytic reduction of NO by NH₃ over a Ce/TiO₂ catalyst, *Catalysis Communications*, **9**, 1454-1457.
- Zhao Y., Zhenyu L. and Zhehua J. (2007) Elementary sulfur recovery by H₂-regeneration of SO₂adsorbed CuO/Al₂O₃ — Effect of operation parameters, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **134**, 11– 15.
- Zhao Y., Wang S., Duan L., Yu L., Pengfei C. and Jiming H. (2008) Primary air pollutants emissions of coal-fired power plants in China: Current status and future prediction, *Atmospheric Environment*, 42, 8444-8452.